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GIGA is one of the leading European research institutes for area studies and comparative area studies. It analyses political, economic and social trends in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East. GIGA’s research connects the strengths of area- and country-specific knowledge and in-depth field research with cutting-edge theoretical and methodological approaches from political science, international relations, economics, sociology, and other related disciplines.

GIGA’s four regional institutes – the Institute of African Affairs, the Institute of Asian Studies, the Institute of Latin American Studies, and the Institute of Middle East Studies – have extensive expertise on and long-standing working relations with the regions they study. They play a key role in the academic debates within their respective area studies communities. At the same time, GIGA’s research – with its empirical basis in the non-OECD world – contributes important insights to overarching scholarly debates in both intra- and interdisciplinary fora.

GIGA’s four research programmes (RPs) – Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems, Violence and Security, Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalization, and Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations – cut across the regions and deal with both theoretical and practical questions from a comparative perspective.

Comparative area studies (CAS), one of the unique selling points of GIGA’s work, systematically combines the regional focus and expertise of area studies with the explicit and rigorous use of comparative methods to generate additional insights into the cases under study and to contribute to broader discipline-specific and theoretical debates. CAS’s cognitive interest is hence both generalization and individualization. The comparative approach is not restricted to a particular number of cases and may include various units of analysis and (corresponding) inference techniques (for example, small-N controlled comparison, variants of QCA, large-N regressions). CAS may use comparative methods to investigate a specific world region (intraglobal comparisons), but it is particularly suited to overcoming the limitations of traditional single-area studies through interregional and cross-regional comparisons.

The interest in comparative perspectives on cases outside the northern hemisphere has increased notably in recent times. This expanded interest is linked to real-world changes in the political, economic and societal spheres: Political regimes that for decades seemed to be stable are undergoing massive changes. New forms of violence and conflict that have emerged since the end of the East–West conflict are challenging classical notions of security. The globalized economy has brought about new opportunities and threats, as well as calls for answers to issues like poverty or climate change. And the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) have emerged in various world regions as new powers that are able to challenge the traditional dominance of the trans-Atlantic players. Comparative area studies can play an important role in better understanding these developments and their repercussions for Asia, Africa, Latin America and the Middle East – as well as for Germany and Europe.

In recent decades the increasingly vast differentiation between various forms of rulership – from authoritarian to hybrid to democratic – has become evident. Along with this proliferation of regime types in entirely different sociocultural contexts have come several critical questions: How and under which conditions do different regimes legitimate themselves? What explains the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East? Can we observe mutual learning processes between autocracies in different world regions? How much power do courts have within political systems in different regions? Which relationships can be identified between regime type, inequality and poverty reduction? GIGA’s Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Globalization
Globalization has in many cases led to economic growth and a higher standard of living, the benefits are not distributed evenly among and inside countries. Poverty, inequality, changes of land-use patterns, and adaption to climate change are some of the challenges individuals, households, firms and states now have to cope with. GIGA’s Research Programme 2: Violence and Security analyses these issues in its comparative research, focusing on the following questions: Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace? Do ethnicity and natural resources jointly increase the risk of violent conflict onset? How can institutional engineering and power-sharing be utilized effectively and successfully in post-conflict societies in different regions of the world? Are sanctions an effective way to deal with so-called pariah states?

The GIGA research profile reflects the above societal and political changes and the corresponding academic debates. Political science and economics form the backbone of the institute’s disciplinary focus, but GIGA remains open to the incorporation of other disciplines such as history, cultural anthropology, and sociology. Because its scholars have both a distinct background in a particular discipline and profound area-specific expertise on Africa, Asia, Latin America, or the Middle East, the GIGA combines the respective strengths of area studies and disciplinary approaches. Through its innovative comparative area studies approach the institute contributes not only to a deeper understanding of the global distribution of power and have become important players in both their respective regions and at the global level. At the same time, intergovernmental organizations – especially regional organizations – and non-state actors such as transnational companies and non-governmental organizations are playing an increasing role in addressing social problems that are beyond the individual control of nation states. These developments give rise to a number of questions that call for comparative research: How are the emerging countries influencing regional and global shifts of power? What role do non-state actors play in global norm-building processes? What determines the institutional design of regional organizations in different world regions? GIGA’s Research Programme 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations addresses these and related questions.
Third-party funding plays an important role in the implementation of the GIGA research agenda. Roughly 25 per cent of the institute’s overall budget comes from competitive calls or programmes. Funds from the German Research Foundation (DFG) account for approximately 30 per cent of all third-party funding. A considerable share also comes from independent foundations such as the Volkswagen Foundation, the Fritz Thyssen Foundation and the German Foundation for Peace Research, which together account for 20 per cent of all third-party funding. Another 30 per cent of third-party funding is competitively acquired from federal ministries, and a further 20 per cent comes from other sources, among them the German-Leibniz Association (SAW-Verfahren), implementation of the Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation. In 2012, for example, the GIGA applied for and received 850,000 EUR in funding from the Europe and Global Challenges programme, a collaborative initiative of the German Volkswagen Foundation, the Italian Compagnia di San Paolo and the Swedish Riksbankens Jubileumsstiftelse. It also acquired 800,000 EUR through the Leibniz Association (SAW-Verfahren) and is currently participating in two FP 7-funded projects where its share of the budget is roughly 500,000 EUR. Altogether, the GIGA’s third-party funding amounted to nearly 2.6 million EUR in 2012, more than double the total of only five years ago.

All the entities within the matrix structure – the four regional institutes and the four research programmes – are well positioned in national and international networks and associations. GIGA’s lead researchers are represented on the boards of area-specific associations such as the African Studies Association in Germany (VAD), the Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS), the German Association of African Studies (DAFAS), the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (OSPAR), the Association for Interdisciplinary Studies (ALEA), the Conference on International Relations, Peace and Security (RID), the Institute of Contemporary History (IHK) and the European Network of Political Science (ENPADS). These associations offer an excellent platform for sharing knowledge and expertise, and for joint projects and publications.

All the GIGA’s four regional institutes – the African Centre, the Centre for Asian Studies, the Latin American Centre and the Institute for Middle Eastern Studies – are headquartered in universities in their respective areas. Together with the University of Oxford, the University of Hamburg and Sciences Po/Paris, the GIGA has initiated the Regional Powers Network (RPN), which addresses the rise of regional powers in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East and brings together researchers from 60 institutions in more than 20 countries. The Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP) Network was initiated together with the Peace Research Institute Oslo, the University of Uppsala, the University of Oslo, the Graduate Institute Geneva and the School of Oriental and African Studies in London. It deals with the role of institutions in divided societies and their contribution to peace processes. A third cross-regional network, International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (IDCARE), is currently being developed.

The GIGA is also well positioned in the German academic landscape. It collaborates closely with a number of universities (for example, Hamburg, FU Berlin, Frankfurt, Kiel, Lüneburg) and non-university research institutes (for example, WZB, HSG) through joint research projects, teaching activities, the joint appointment of professors and/or joint doctoral training. The institute has a long and fruitful history of cooperation, especially with the University of Hamburg. GIGA researchers teach up to 20 courses per semester at the university’s School of Business, Economics and Social Sciences and its School of Humanities. The GIGA and the University of Hamburg also collaborate in doctoral training. Together they successfully acquired funding for a structured graduate school from the Leibniz Association (SAW-Verfahren) and the Excellence Initiative of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. With this funding (which runs from 2010 to 2013) they jointly run the Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers, which offers 12 part-time positions for doctoral students. Students with external funding positions are also welcomed at the graduate school, and are supervised by professors from the institute and the University of Hamburg. From 2013 on GIGA will invest considerable funds to continue its high-quality doctoral training and to anchor it in all four GIGA research programmes. Doctoral students participate in many of the research projects detailed in the research plan; their training and qualification is an integral part of GIGA’s personnel development strategy.

Ultimately, it is the GIGA’s highly qualified academic and non-academic staff who are key to the successful implementation of the research agenda outlined above. Their strong commitment to excellence ensures the institute’s position as an innovative leader in area studies and comparative area studies work.

#### 3. Research Programmes

**Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems**

In recent years, international calls for minimum standards of rule in terms of democracy and human rights in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East have continued. These calls have had consequences on the ground: not only is there resistance within these regions to these standards, but the resistance has also impacted universal norms. Research Programme (RP) 1’s researchers thus examine the tensions between the efficiency and legitimacy of political systems in the above-mentioned regions.

No political regime can survive for long without the diffuse legitimacy of the political system as a whole. At times this more general legitimacy can be replaced by a specific legitimacy that comes from the economic and social benefits of the system. Conversely, citizens might accept the inefficiency of political institutions for some time if, for example, the system simultaneously broadens participation or improves the protection of minority rights. What RP 1 analyses is how the reproduction of systems occurs despite a lack of legitimacy and/or efficiency. Within national political systems, legitimacy and efficiency are influenced by changes in statehood, and by international and transnational linkages. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of these processes usually requires multilevel analyses.

The RP investigates not only formal but also informal mechanisms that lead to the reproduction of different types of political systems, such as the interrelated formal and informal actors, organizations and institutions involved. Informal mechanisms for the reproduction of political systems or subsystems have only rarely been analysed comparatively. If such mechanisms have been the subject of interest, they have usually been dealt with in a typifying and normatively prejorative manner. These informal mechanisms, however, can also have positive effects, including increased efficiency or even the legitimacy of governance within a particular political system.

The RP combines institutional and actor-oriented approaches to study the reproduction, transformation and consolidation of political systems as well as the quality, direction, and distributional effects of these systems’ policy outputs.

**Research Team 1: Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes**

With the ebbing of the “third wave of democratisation”, the number of non-democratic regimes has remained largely constant. In addition, the international influence of a number of authoritarian states, particularly the People’s Republic of China, has increased significantly, while some democracies have suffered an extensive loss of democratic quality and are now classified as “hybrid regimes”. As the glamour of the Western model of democracy has appeared to fade, the question of whether there is stability with a global shift in favour of liberal political models has emerged. The ambiguous evolution of the “Arab Spring”, which has not yet resulted in a democracy, mirrors this contradictory development. Against this background, the empirical and theoretical analysis of non-democratic regimes has gained relevance.

The research team’s aim is to explore the functional logic of authoritarian and hybrid regimes in non-OECD regions, and to analytically capture their similarities and differences, their transformation processes and their developmental leaps. The team analyses not only formal institutions but also informal strategies of legitimation, such as the patronage-based preservation of power and institutions. RT 1 researchers apply relational and differential sociological approaches in their investigations of civil society, associations, the public sphere and social movements.
Research team members analyse the following key issues within the scope of their individual projects:

- Which legitimization strategies do authoritarian regimes pursue, and are these strategies successful? What role do economic performance, the strategic distribution of resources to certain parts of society, and the normative justification of rulership and the preservation of power play in this context?
- Which processes of adoption to international and economic standards, as well as related learning and interaction processes, can be observed among non-democratic and, above all, authoritarian regimes?
- How are political actors and institutions in non-democratic regimes interrelated, and do they form lasting alliances? Which governance outcomes do civil society organizations and actors in particular generate, and what role do these groups play in the maintenance of undemocratic regimes?
- Which factors favour the development of neopatrimonial rule in non-democratic regimes?

Authoritarian and hybrid regimes can also be effectively analysed through the investigation of selected subregimes, policy areas, issues or conflicts. For this purpose, individual case studies that generate theoretical explanations can be of great importance. The research team’s preferred approach, however, is a comparative (small- and large-N) research design that aims to produce middle-range theoretical explanations. Finally, the research team aims to determine whether regimes have region-specific characteristics that allow for the development of convincing typologies and whether such typologies are useful.

Research Team 2: Law and Politics

Research in the area of law and politics is a long-standing tradition in the field of political science. Such studies have dealt with legal regulations to guide the behaviour of government officials and organizations (constitutional aspects), or with the influence of political actors, institutions and processes on the law (judicial policy aspects). For the most part, this branch of research has focused on Europe and North America, although there has recently been an increase in non-OECD country studies – but on a very unequal basis. While there has been a great deal of research on Latin America since the beginning of the “third wave of democratization”, which resulted in numerous judicial- and constitutional-reform processes, Africa, Asia and the Middle East are still neglected, even though this topic is of great importance in understanding democratization processes in those regions.

The research team therefore has two main objectives: On the one hand, to contribute to the research on those regions which have been heavily neglected. On the other, to (further) develop appropriate research methods, which are essential for research on law and politics from a cross-regional comparative perspective.

RT 2 analyses the following questions:

- How much power do courts have within a political system? Has there been an increase in the power of courts following democratization processes?
- Do courts have the ability to control the executive branch? How independent are courts – in democratic as well as in authoritarian regimes?
- Which actors are involved in constitutional amendment processes? How are constitutional amendment processes related to their political context (for example, in the context of regional administrations)?
- What influence do constitutional reforms have on the political system?
- What are the effects of the privatization of security on human rights, the anchoring of the rule of law, and democracy in general?

Research Team 3: Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

Within Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East the global call for democratic equality is being challenged by societies characterized by strong internal inequity, from significant social and economic inequalities to ethnic, religious and gender-related differences. Even though these societies – which include but are not limited to India, Indonesia, Brazil and South Africa – are in many ways marked by a high degree of political and social fragility, among other problems, relatively stable democratic development can also be observed. These countries seem to refute the conventional wisdom that democracy and participation are based on a certain degree of societal homogeneity and that pronounced social inequality represents a threat to democracy. It is therefore evident that in these regions the potential threat to democracy cannot be reduced to the classical issue of the unresolved “social question”. Any analysis of it requires the inclusion of multiple types of inequality.

The RT’s research agenda is not confined to young democracies. It also includes some well-established democracies, since most of the democracies in the regions under study are fragile rather than consolidated. This means they are potentially affected not only by declining democratic quality but also by possible transitions into hybrid and authoritarian regimes.

The research team thus investigates the following questions through both Intra-regional and cross-regional comparisons:

- What impact do the aforementioned inequalities have on civic participation and therefore on both the quality and endangerment of democracy?
- Under which conditions does an increase in (1) political participatory rights, (2) civil society organizations and (3) partisan and social mobilization encourage democratic development?
- What are the conditions under which an increase in participation encourages clientelism, corporatism and populist regimes with authoritarian traits?

Research Programme 2: Violence and Security

The Research Programme 2 focuses on violence and security issues that affect Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East and also have repercussions for Germany, Europe and the West. In current world politics, intrastate and transnational conflicts dominate. These are often characterized by asymmetrical conflict structures and exhibit many region-specific features. State failure, transnationally active terrorist and criminal networks, tensions between ethnic and religious identity groups, the spread of weapons of mass destruction, and the quest for scarce raw materials are among today’s key security challenges.

Within RP 2, five research teams focus on five sets of problems, all of which can be researched especially effectively using comparative area studies: RT 1 deals with natural resources and security; RT 2 studies religion, conflict and politics; RT 3 examines war and peace processes; RT 4 is dedicated to international sanctions; and RT 5 focuses on forms of violence and public (in)security.

The programme’s researchers use a variety of theoretical approaches and methodologies. Methodologically, they utilize both quantitative and qualitative approaches, including inferential techniques such as multivariate regressions, configurational and interpretative methods (QCA, discourse analysis), and carefully selected small-N samples and (country) case studies. They are particularly interested in adopting a mixed-method approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methodologies and different levels of analysis within single projects.

Research Team 1: Natural Resources and Security

Given the numerous conflicts in resource-exporting countries and the growing concern about the secure supply of strategic resources (particularly energy resources), the realpolitik importance of natural resources and security is obvious. In recent years, peace and conflict studies have increasingly focused on the relationship between prosperity and a dependence on natural resources on the one hand and violence on the other – especially civil war (“economies of violence”, “greed and grievance”, resource-curse approach). The growing global scarcity of strategic resources such as oil and the increasing demand for such resources on the part of China and the USA also raise the spectre of intensified global conflicts over resource distribution in the future. The possible negative consequences of climate change represent an additional security challenge.

The research team’s central questions relate to the ambiguous and context-dependent consequences of natural resources on security within and between states:
- Under what conditions does the exploitation of natural resources lead to violence? And when, in contrast, does it serve as a power resource for political stability?
- How does the strategic importance of some resources ("security of supply") influence security in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East and the foreign policy of Western as well as Southern governments?
- How can key concepts such as rents, raw materials, and resources be adequately operationalized to generate meaningful research results?

Most of the countries that produce strategic resources and export them to industrialized countries are located in the Middle East, Africa, Latin America, Central Asia and the Caucasus. Due to the GIGA staff's regional expertise, the issues raised in RT 1 can be addressed especially well using comparative area studies. To this end, the research projects use both econometric techniques (large-N) and qualitative comparisons of case studies with a smaller number of cases (small-N).

Research Team 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics

The events of 9/11 and the recent political upheavals in the Arab world have underscored the growing importance of religion in global political developments. Conventional wisdom claims that religion's catalyst effect results in an exceptionally high potential for conflict in political processes. The research team focuses on the following research questions:

- Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence, and when do they lead to peace?
- How are religious identities mobilized in political processes? Does mobilization on the basis of religion involve international actors, and if so, to what extent?
- Which problem-solving strategies have been used to date, and have they been successful? To what extent and under which conditions are religious peace initiatives successful?
- What determines whether religious, and especially Islamic, groups are dialogue- or conflict-oriented towards the West?

The RT has already established a research project on the subcategory "religion and conflict". It assumes that the connection between religion and (violent) conflict is much more complex than the public debate suggests. According to Scott Appleby (The Ambivalence of the Sacred), religion is fundamentally ambivalent, and mediation efforts based on religion indicate religion's peaceful potential. Additionally, the various dimensions of religion – demographic structures, identities, ideas, institutions and elites – have to be differentiated if one is to understand, for example, the mechanisms used to mobilize religious identities in political processes.

The RT's second analytical focus is the role and potential of political Islam/Islamism as a factor in conflict and/or peace. Building on previous research on political Islam's transnational network-building processes, RT members have reacted to new challenges and questions deriving from the "Arab Spring" by redirecting and diversifying their research. One of the new projects focuses on, for instance, recent developments within Salafism, such as the development of a political strand that explicitly promotes participation in formal politics. Another looks at power-sharing arrangements in multireligious societies with the aim of determining whether such arrangements, which have shown promise in Lebanon and Iraq, would work in Syria and Bahrain. A further project examines contemporary interactions between the Islamic and the Bolivarian revolutionary projects and thus contributes to the research on alternative projects shaped by a "Southern" view of the world.

Research Team 3: War and Peace Processes

Organized violent conflicts outside of Europe and North America are becoming increasingly relevant since they involve a growing number of different types of actors; they often display a transnational dimension; and, in the context of globalization, they have repercussions well beyond the actual battlefields. At the same time, in recent decades there has been a trend towards the peaceful settlement of violent conflicts. The latter phenomenon can be attributed to a paradigm shift within the United Nations ("responsibility to protect") and to the growing engagement of regional organizations, neighbouring states, and non-state actors in conflict resolution.

Recent experiences with state-building in post-war societies show that the way in which state institutions are designed and structured can contribute to peace or conflict and that the content of peace agreements, the handling of war crimes and the specific ways in which post-war societies come to terms with the past deeply influence peace processes’ chances of success. In turn, the failure of peace processes can lead to the renewed escalation of violence and to a resumption of conflict.

Against this backdrop, RT 3 investigates and analyses those factors – at the international, regional, national and local levels – that determine the transition from war to peace and, possibly, back to war. The team focuses on organized violent conflicts and on the institutional and processual features of conflict conduct and settlement. Moreover, the RT aims to assess which institutional arrangements help promote peace (decentralization, electoral systems, party regulations, government systems, etc.). RT researchers investigate these topics using qualitative and quantitative methods, paying particular attention to intra- and interregional comparisons.

The RT focuses particularly on the following research questions:

- What are the implications of (1) the institutional design of a peace treaty and (2) how a society comes to terms with the past for the sustainability of a peace process?
- How can institutional design contribute to guaranteeing long-term peace in divided societies?
- What are the consequences of failed peace efforts for the intensity of violence in civil wars?
- What unintended effects of peace agreements (for example, the negative effects of power-sharing on democracy, an increase in intrasocietal tensions, the ethnicization of conflict) can be identified? How can these be countered?

Research Team 4: Causes and Effects of Sanctions

International sanctions have a bad reputation: many researchers perceive them as ineffective or even counterproductive. In contrast, the United Nations, the United States and the European Union are increasingly using sanctions to enforce certain behaviours, to restrict the sanctioned unit's room to manoeuvre or to signal disapproval. However, various autocratic regimes such as Iran, Cuba, North Korea and Zimbabwe have proven to be extremely resilient to the long-enduring sanctions levied against them.

To date, researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of sanctions on the stability of autocratic regimes. In response, RT 4 comparatively analyses the reactions of autocratic regimes to external pressure. Building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the team's researchers theoretically identify the potential effects of sanctions and the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable in the face of such external sanctions.

The RT is particularly interested in autocratic regimes' international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions: How do sanctions affect such regimes' use of repression, their legitimacy and their ability to safeguard their rule? To what extent does external pressure impel the maintenance of power? How do autocratic regimes use sanctions as a resource, and how do they try to influence the imposition of sanctions?

The RT addresses the following questions:

- What exactly does external pressure look like – that is, which actor is applying which form of pressure with which intensity?
- What factors account for the long-term stability of autocratic regimes in the face of external sanctions?
- Which structural power resources (for example, repression, rents, legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they react in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes and rulers pursue, nationally and internationally?
Research Team 5:
Globalization has been accompanied by unprecedented economic challenges in the context of globalization. These can be broadly grouped into three important theoretical categories: First, rational choice approaches, including neoclassical price theory. Such approaches can be used to model how actors respond to changes in economic conditions. Second, sociological approaches, including social order and norm formation, as well as institutional economics approaches. These can be used to explain the inability of actors to respond or adapt to globalization and the persistence of some socio-economic phenomena, such as informal sector activity or strong income disparities. Third, social network theories, which can capture the degree and quality of the actors’ social interaction. Social networks often play a crucial role in facilitating or inhibiting agency and responsiveness.

Research Programme 3:
Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalization

Research Programme 3 focuses on selected socio-economic challenges in the context of globalization. Globalization has been accompanied by unprecedentedly swift periods of economic and societal transformation in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East. As part of RP 3, two research teams RTs and the Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics investigate these socio-economic transformations from different perspectives and with different focuses:

- RT 1 analyses the strategies adopted by individuals, households, firms or specific social groups in order to adapt to challenges related to globalization. It also examines how these actors make use of new opportunities and the extent to which they contribute to social and global change.
- Starting from the observation that economic growth puts pressure on the world’s resources and ecosystems, RT 2 studies the sustainability of economic transformations. It analyses possible trade-offs and win-win situations between sustainable development on the one hand and economic and human development on the other.
- The Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics studies the patterns of socio-economic transformation at the macro-level, focusing on the interdependence of long-term economic growth and socio-economic structural change.

RT 5 comparatively investigates the questions related to this issue in two specific contexts: post-war societies and democratization processes. Its research focuses on the following questions:

- Which types of violence, which spatial contexts and which perpetrator-victim structures do we observe in each of these contexts?
- How does the societal construction of violence take place, and which discourses about violence are dominant?
- What are the dominant strategies for dealing with violence? Which institutions (state and non-state) are the most relevant?
- How does violence impact and interact with the transformation processes of coming out of war or of establishing democratic institutions?

Research Team 1:
Local Actors of Globalization: Agency and Responsiveness

The transformation of social structures and the societal context in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East generates new challenges and opportunities for local actors (individuals, households, firms, social groups, and networks). RT 1 thus examines the following two central questions:

- How do actors react to local and global change – that is, how do they choose to adapt and respond to the challenges related to globalization (responsiveness)?
- How do actors influence local and global change – that is, how do actors use the new possibilities offered by globalization, and how do they contribute to local and possibly global change (agency)?

Answering these two central questions requires a comparative research approach as well as substantial country- and region-specific expertise. The interdisciplinary team applies quantitative and qualitative techniques, often to primary data they have collected themselves. The theoretical concepts used are of course shaped by the individual researchers’ different disciplinary backgrounds. These can be broadly grouped into three important theoretical categories: First, rational choice approaches, including neoclassical price theory. Such approaches can be used to model how actors respond to changes in economic conditions. Second, sociological approaches, including social order and norm formation, as well as institutional economics approaches. These can be used to explain the inability of actors to respond or adapt to globalization and the persistence of some socio-economic phenomena, such as informal sector activity or strong income disparities. Third, social network theories, which can capture the degree and quality of the actors’ social interaction. Social networks often play a crucial role in facilitating or inhibiting agency and responsiveness.

Research Team 2:
The Socio-Economics of Sustainable Development

Of the many pressures that human activity puts on natural resources and the environment, RT 2 focuses on two key, interrelated issues: climate change and land-use change. The RT studies the drivers and consequences of these phenomena to answer the following two fundamental research questions:

- How can economic and social development be reconciled with environmental sustainability?
- How can possible trade-offs be managed, and can we identify win-win situations that foster both human and “green” development?

Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges. While it was initially caused by the industrialization of today’s developed world, its continued intensification is mainly attributable to increased emissions from rapidly growing low- and middle-income economies. Identifying climate policy options that will enable these countries to achieve lower-carbon trajectories without compromising economic development and poverty reduction is hence of the utmost importance. Similarly, land-use change entails important trade-offs between economic development and environmental sustainability. While increased agricultural production and more land under cultivation are necessary to feed the globe’s growing population, land-use change threatens biodiversity-rich areas, particularly tropical forests. A particular facet of land-use change is at the core of the team’s current research activities: large-scale land acquisitions in poor countries. While some observers view “land grabbing” as a major threat to the rights and livelihoods of the rural poor, others point to the potential opportunities that could arise from new investments in a long-neglected sector.

To address these issues, RT 2 applies a wide range of (mainly) empirical methods, which are often embedded in comparative research designs. These methods range from case study approaches (using qualitative techniques such as focus group discussions) to microeconometric methods and economy-wide modelling approaches. The comparative approach most often involves comparing micro-evidence at the national level – for example, the quality and practice of land governance or the distributional effects of a carbon tax – across countries.

One of the professorship’s underlying research hypotheses identifies long-term economic growth as the main driving force behind the multidimensional phenomenon of structural change. Conversely, structural change has, through its many facets, certainly been influencing economic growth. The hypothesis of “change through growth” implies that the focus on single dimensions of structural change – for example, democratization and higher levels of public education – is misleading. Instead, sustainable development requires an integrated approach that considers multiple dimensions of structural change and their interactions with different levels of development. These considerations raise two issues: First, growth and socio-economic structural change must be analysed and explained using a theoretical framework that allows for the derivation of explicit hypotheses about causal relationships. Such a framework needs to combine growth and trade theory with insights from polit-
cal science. Second, the social relevance of the hypoth-
thesis of “change through growth” needs to be examined
empirically and must include the identification of causality
as the key challenge.

The Clausen-Simon Professorship conceives of socio-
-economic structural change as systematic patterns of
interdependent changes. These interdependencies, as
well as possible common drivers of structural change, are
the professorship’s empirical focus. The goal of the re-
search agenda is thus to generate a better understanding
of long-term multidimensional development processes.

Research Programme 4:
Power, Norms and Governance in international Relations

Over the last few decades the nature of international
relations has changed considerably. Alongside the
process of globalization, two major political transforma-
tions have occurred: the shifting of global power from
the US or Western powers to emerging powers (Bra-
zili, Russia, India, China) and a shift in power from state
to non-state actors. This development is likely to have
significant repercussions for the international system: We
are already observing the emergence of new governance
structures that more prominently feature non-state actors
and emerging powers. We are also witnessing regions and
regionalization processes become increasingly important.
Furthermore, we are witnessing a lively debate about
whether the rise of emerging powers such as China will
lead to increased violent conflict in the transition phase.

Therefore, Research Programme RP 4 has two main
research priorities. First, its researchers describe and
explain the new patterns of interaction between states,
non-state actors and international organizations. Seco-
dond, they identify what direction international relations
will take in the future. RP 4 transcends the traditional state-
and hegemony-centred debates on the existence of uni-
polar or multi-polar hegemonic structures (USA/Europe/
China/Japan) as well as those concepts that emphasize
a mere regional shift in the international system (“Pacific
Century”).

Three research teams (RTs) deal with these issues:
- RT 1 analyses the foreign policy strategies of emerging
powers and the effects of these strategies on different
international policy fields.
- RT 2 focuses on the emergence of global govern-
ance and transnational norm-building and norm-cre-
ation processes, and also examines non-state actors’
participation in these processes.
- RT 3 deals with the increasing influence of regions and
regional organizations in international relations.

The RT’s research on these topics is based on the
assumption that even though similar challenges ex-
ist around the globe, such as climate change or the
perceived threat of emerging states to international
security, they result in the evolution of regionally specific
governance structures. This is precisely because these
structures are influenced by the particular group of actors
involved: the emerging powers, transnational and hybrid
actors, and regional organizations. The RTs analyse these
similarities and variations in regional power and govern-
ance structures through inter-, intra- and cross-regional
comparisons.

Research Team 1:
Foreign Policy Strategies in a Multipolar System

RT 1 focuses on the foreign policy of emergent powers.
There are several deductive categories for such powers
in international politics (status-quo oriented, revisionist)
which assume that these powers exhibit divergent for-
gnary policy behaviour. However, we actually know very
little about which foreign policy strategies these powers
really pursue, or about the factors explaining the choice
of a specific strategy. As a country’s actual strategic be-

Research Team 2:
Global Governance and Norm-Building

In the debate on globalization, researchers have con-

The research focuses on transnational hybrid norm-building processes, within which states, international governance organizations, transnational enterprises and civil society organizations interact and cooperate on the same level. The research is grounded in the assumption that in a world shaped by globalization,
universal norm-building and the diffusion of norms through central authorities such as states are increas-
ingly becoming subject to fundamental criticisms. The
researchers thus deal with the following core questions:
- What interests and power resources do the various state and non-state actors introduce, and how do
these influence global norm-building processes?
- How do these actors legitimize themselves, and what
does this mean for the nexus of voice and entitlement?
- How do the governance processes of transnational
networks generate norms, and how is compliance
with these norms ensured?

Sectoral global governance processes in the health, edu-
cation and environment policy fields are a further topic
of the RT’s research. The example of health policy dem-
strates how extremely complex actor structures have
evolved in the transnational sphere. The RT is building
on existing research through its study of key aspects of
this sector (the plurality of actors, the role of foundations,
partnerships, foundations as a source of international
financing) as a result. With the increasing engagement
of non-state actors, transnational political control has

gained creative power. The importance of intergovern-
mental organizations and agreements has declined in
many policy fields, and global networks have increased
the relevance of transnational norm-building.
security cooperation. Here the EU has assumed an important role as an exporter of regional integration.

Although (comparative) research on regionalism has blossomed since the 1990s, there is very little systematic knowledge about which organizations assume which tasks, or about which organizations are successful and which are not. RT 3 therefore focuses on examining the institutional design of regional organizations, looking specifically at how external factors influence this design. In particular, it explores the innovative argument that it is processes of diffusion between regional organizations that determine their institutional design. The Comparative Regional Organization Project is currently coding 83 regional organizations in terms of their institutional characteristics and measuring external diffusion influences. Additional focuses of the RT’s research include rising powers’ strategies vis-à-vis regional organizations and the interactions between regional organizations. Many regional organizations compete with each other in a regional context or have overlapping member states. The RT’s research questions are as follows:

- How are regional organizations structured?
- How do these structures change over time?
- How do their institutional design influence the effectiveness of regional organizations?
- How do rising powers (in particular China) perceive the EU, and how have these perceptions changed in the course of the European financial crisis? How do financial crises change the role of regional organizations?
- How does competition impact the effectiveness of regional organizations?

As a result of the restructuring of international relations, the importance of regions has increased (and continues to increase). The United Nations now even conceptualizes regions as security regions that should be more strongly integrated in the management of regional conflicts. However, it is not just regional organizations’ influence in security matters that has been increasing. Since the beginning of the 1990s, the number of regional trade agreements has increased enormously as more and more regional organizations have devoted themselves to both economic and security cooperation. Here the EU has assumed an important role as an exporter of regional integration.

Institute of African Affairs (IAA)

Africa south of the Sahara demonstrates a specific mix of real-world challenges and opportunities that has attracted significant academic interest on various issues. The latter include armed conflicts, their causes, and their resolution; difficulties in consolidating democratic institutions; the widespread poverty; and new investments, be they for large-scale land acquisitions or for the conquest of the growing consumer markets. A limited number of African governments have now become global players, and they are also receiving more international scholarly attention. This pattern of interest in Africa is reflected in the IAA’s research programme. The institute makes an effort not only to be part of major Africa-focused research initiatives (for instance, the second round of the DFG’s special programme “Adaptation and Creativity in Africa”), but also to engage in the many cross-regional studies undertaken within GIGA. The analysis of institutional change (which highlights the different – formal and informal – notions of institutions) plays a role in all of the IAA’s research projects, and IAA scholars also look particularly at the continent’s openness to external influences.

Within the GIGAs Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Institutions, IAA researchers continue to focus on hybrid regimes and are contributing to a cross-regional research project on the degree of judicial independence vis-à-vis the political power vested in the chief of government. The African countries under study are Benin, Madagascar and Senegal. The RP’s well-established research on neopatrimonial regimes now includes a new EC-funded project on corruption (a cross-regional project with many African cases).

As part of RP 2: Violence and Security, the IAA’s research is examining the institutional prerequisites for lasting peace. IAA scholars are studying local power-sharing arenas (Burundi, DRC, Kenya, Liberia) and investigating the local impact of post-war institutions. The IAA is also the lead organization in the cross-regional network project “Institutions for Sustainable Peace”, which includes several internationally renowned researchers. This network explores how different institutional combinations impact peace; it also looks at the effects of particular forms of conflict resolution on the choice of institutions (specific electoral systems, security sector legislation, territorial autonomy, etc.). An additional three-year research project on the inclusiveness of power-sharing is now in its second year. Here, the IAA is investigating how the sharing of positions within the “inner core” of political, military, economic and territorial power in a post-conflict setting impact the recurrence of armed conflict. The RP has also secured funding for a new project investigating the combined effects of resource abundance and ethnic diversity on peace and war dynamics. In a well-established research project on the ambivalence of religion in armed conflict, the extent to which and the conditions under which religion is an explanatory factor for conflict escalation or de-escalation is the main research question. This project has been extended for another year to allow for the inclusion of analysis on South Sudan, among other countries. Finally, a further project within RP 2 focuses on the effectiveness of sanctions directed at authoritarian regimes.

The growing commercial interest in Africa has reignited academic interest in foreign direct investment (FDI). In RP 3: Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalization, a research project hence investigates the productivity effects in Africa of FDI from North-South and South-South firms. In cooperation with the IAS, the IAA also continues to investigate the local implications and perceptions of the ever-growing Chinese presence in African economies. This project originally focused on interactions between Chinese and local entrepreneurs (Ghana, Senegal) and is now looking at West African traders who travel to China to establish commercial re-
relationships. Furthermore, many rural African locations are now the scene of large-scale land investments. While this is common knowledge, the exact scope of the phenomenon, how these operations are implemented, and their social consequences still necessitate empirical research. An RP 3 project that is currently examining the exact transmission channels and negotiation processes utilized in land acquisitions is addressing this gap. The classical interest in questions of economic development also continues to play a role in the IAS’s work. IIA research on labour markets in Africa focuses on the constraints faced by the large number of microenterprises. These businesses account for 60 to 80 per cent of employment in the sub-Saharan region and will remain the most important employers in the foreseeable future. The project (undertaken in cooperation with the KfW and funded by the BMZ) explores the multidimensional relationship between the employment, empowerment and living standards of poor individuals and households, inter alia in Burkina Faso and Uganda. It also explores other poverty dynamics, focusing on education and the role that targeted interventions can play in breaking the cycle of poverty.

Finally, the IAA also continues to analyze the roles of both of the major continental powers, Nigeria and South Africa, in international affairs. Within RP 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations, a further project examines regionalism across sub-Saharan Africa. This analysis goes beyond the level of texts and conventions; it studies the extent to which regional cooperation and/or regional integration have occurred by providing an empirically grounded analysis of the scope and the level of regionalism in Africa.

**Institute of Asian Studies (IAS)**

Asia – by far the world’s largest and most populous continent – exhibits a remarkable diversity in terms of its political, economic, and social settings. As a consequence, Asia-related research has tended to focus on individual subregions and countries. The IAS focuses particularly on China and India, which also happen to be the two Koreas.

Political regimes in Asia range from (post-)totalitarian North Korea at the one extreme to well-established democracies such as India, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan at the other. Asia is also home to various “hybrid regimes” that are located in the “foggy zone” between clear-cut autocracies and democracies. The GIGA’s research on political regimes in Asia, which also examines these regimes from a comparative perspective, is carried out within Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems. IAS scholars are currently engaged in RP 1 research on ideological change and regime legitimacy, as well as on social conflict and its management in China. Funding proposals for two new projects, one on Chinese political scientists’ discourse on China’s political system and another on the role of civil society organizations in Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique, were submitted in 2012. Political parties and party systems in Asia constitute another long-standing focus of the IAS’s RP 1 research. IAS scholars are currently examining the formal and informal institutions they use in the leadership selection processes of various Northeast Asian political parties. They are also investigating the causes and manifestations of factionalism – that is, the existence and competition of intra-party groups – as well as its impact on party-system change in six young Southeast Asian and South Asian democracies.

Despite a number of unresolved international conflicts in the region, for example, on the Korean peninsula and across the Taiwan Strait, there have been remarkably few battlefield-related deaths in Asia over the past three decades. Domestic confrontations in a number of Asian nations have, however, led to heavy bloodshed. Against this background, mediation in (post-)civil war contexts is at the centre of the IAS research that falls within GIGA’s RP 2: Violence and Security. A third-party-funded pilot study on the impact of failed mediation on the escalation of conflict, which focused on Sri Lanka, was completed in 2012. IAS staff submitted funding proposals for a related full-scale project in mid-2012. Additional research on domestic conflicts in Asia is planned for 2013 and beyond. A new post-doctoral fellow, who will join the IAS in 2013, will examine “islands” of non-conflict in Indonesia’s conflict-ridden eastern Moloka province. External funding permitting, the IAS will also undertake research on Maoist insurgencies in India. The latter research project is intended to form part of a broader project on three of India’s newer states.

Asia’s tremendous heterogeneity in terms of socioeconomic development is reflected in the projects carried out by IAS scholars within RP 3: Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalization. A multi-method research project is currently examining the interconnections between employment, empowerment and standards of living in three less developed African and Asian nations, including Sri Lanka. This project reflects the IAS’s sustained interest in conceptualizing and measuring “well-being” from a cross-national perspective. In recent years, China has become Asia’s most robust growth engine. IAS scholars are examining Chinese overseas economic activities, which have increased by leaps and bounds. Chinese foreign direct investment in Europe and its impact on reverse flows of technology are at the centre of one stream of IAS research. Furthermore, as very little is known about the small-scale economic activities of individual Chinese entrepreneurs, such activities are the focus of another IAS project, funded by the German Research Foundation, currently underway. The project investigates the activities of Chinese petty entrepreneurs in West Africa, and their local impact, from an ethnographic perspective. Empirical macro-quantitative research is the mainstay of the Glausszen-Simon Professorship on Economics in Asia (held by Prof. Erich Gundlach). Ongoing research here focuses on the connections between a number of global economic, political and social transformations as well as on Asian-specific issues including fiscal federalism in India and China’s changing terms of trade.

The fact that China and India are increasingly assuming roles as regional and global powers makes these nations important research topics for GIGA’s RP 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations. China’s posture within the UN and India’s relations with Pakistan – understood as a secondary power in the South Asian subregion – are currently being analysed by junior IAS scholars as part of larger third-party-funded research projects. Within RP 4’s comparative regionalism research team, IAS scholars are also examining the role of diffusion effects on regional integration in Southeast Asia.

**Institute of Latin American Studies (ILAS)**

Due to a resource-driven boom, Latin America has become a self-asserative actor in global politics and an important player in the global economy. At the same time, serious problems regarding democratic governance, public security and socio-economic inequality persist.

In Latin America, democracy’s presence as “the only game in town” is challenged less by coups or military dictatorships and more by competing understandings of the substance of democratic governance. Of particular importance for the quality of democracy is the rule of law and the interplay of the judicial system with the executive and legislative powers. Within GIGA’s Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems, ILAS scholars are analysing the “new constitutionalism” and new and contested practices of democracy in three Andean countries. Another project examines the implementation of and obstacles to independent judiciary systems in Argentina, Paraguay and Chile. In line with GIGA’s commitment to comparative area studies, the research project goes beyond the three Latin American cases alone, comparing them with new democracies in West Africa: Benin, Madagascar, and Senegal. Also as part of RP 1, ILAS researchers are studying Latin American states’ increased outreach to their emigrated citizens. A continent-wide study investigates the instruments used as part of these new “emigrant policies”. In light of the strong presidentialist mould of Latin America’s political systems, another project undertakes a multicultural study of how the single most powerful institution of each nation, the presidency, is organized and operates.

While Latin America no longer makes headlines because of guerrilla fighting and civil wars, the continent continues to be conflict-ridden. Although organized armed political violence has become rare, Latin America continues to be the most violent region in the world in terms of interpersonal and “criminal” violence. LAS addresses these issues as part of RP 2: Violence and Security. Because violence remains a key problem across the region, ILAS
researchers analyse the relationship between different forms of violence and the consolidation of democratic regimes. The research focuses on two specific aspects of this relationship: The first is the impact of public security problems on the democratic process, particularly as governments turn to “iron fist” approaches – including repressive practices clearly outside of the rule of law – in their efforts to fight crime and violence. The second is the nexus between political actors and organized crime, which is examined with a focus on Central American countries. A related trend is that media and politicians in Latin America are singing out youth – that is, mostly young males – as the key perpetrators of crime and interpersonal violence. Against this background, ILAS scholars are attempting to identify pathways out of violence and alternatives to violent transitions into adulthood, particularly in post-war societies. Other sources of conflict in the region are the large-scale resource-extraction projects that are a pillar of Latin America’s commoditites-driven economic boom. ILAS research analyses the consultation processes undertaken with local populations regarding oil extraction in Ecuador, Peru and Bolivia and the potential of these consultations to prevent conflict from escalating into violence, comparing the legal provisions and the actual experiences. Latin American cases also figure prominently in RP 2’s “Institutions for Sustainable Peace” project, which addresses the challenges of institutional reform intended to prevent the recurrence and outbreak of armed conflict.

Strong and persistent socio-economic inequalities are both cause and consequence of some of the phenomena described above. This is why most of the projects in RP 3: Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalization – which include examinations of Brazil’s policy towards Africa, its influence in global sectoral governance, its nuclear policy, and the interplay of state and non-state actors in its international profile. ILAS scholars are also analysing China’s increasing influence on Latin America’s international relations. Finally, Latin America figures prominently in a cross-area comparative study of regional governance (especially security governance) and the role of the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR).

Institute of Middle East Studies (IMES)

The profound changes in North Africa and the Middle East that have resulted from the upheavals of early 2011, now called the “Arab Spring”, will most definitely influence the content, range and scope of Middle East–related research in the coming decades. Here, the IMES has the advantage of in-depth experience in a variety of important fields such as authoritarianism, democratization and political reform, conflict studies, poverty reduction, the power balance within the regional system, and – last but not least – political Islam/Islamism. The IMES has already adapted quickly and successfully to the new challenges posed by the “Arab Spring” and has won third-party funding for a number of promising research projects.

Within GIGA’s Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems, IMES scholars are investigating the conditions under which authoritarian regimes – which had been stable for decades – became vulnerable and began to transform. Additionally, they are attempting to determine what explains the remarkable differences in these transformation processes. This ques-
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Middle East Monarchies: A Configurational Comparison of Breakdown and Survival since 1945

André Bank, Thomas Richter, Anna Sunik

Project Description

Research Questions

While monarchical rule was for a long time considered a political anachronism (Huntington’s kings’ dilemma), the survival of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East is one of the twenty-first century’s political realities. This research project thus addresses the following research questions:

- Under which conditions do authoritarian monarchies in the post-colonial Middle East, contrary to Huntington’s dictum, reproduce themselves?
- Which general and case-specific explanations concerning the (un)successful survival and/or breakdown of monarchies in the region can be identified?
- Which general and theoretical conclusions can be made regarding the dynamics and trajectories of other authoritarian regimes?

Although recent quantitative authoritarianism research has identified monarchies as the most durable subtype of authoritarian regime (Hadenius/Teorell), the historical conditions for the reproduction of such regimes since 1945 have not been investigated systematically. The existing literature focuses only on single cases and has produced mainly particularistic and, to some extent, contradictory explanations for the breakdown and survival of authoritarian monarchies.

Thus, the project breaks new ground in the fields of comparative politics and Middle Eastern studies by attempting to systematically explain both monarchical survival and breakdown, by including a number of key explanatory conditions (external support, rents, family participation, legitimation, repression, co-optation) and taking into account their interplay, and, finally, by comparing all these aspects over the longue durée of over sixty years.

Research Design and Methods

Based on the systematic collection of existing, international secondary literature, the project undertakes a configurative, QCA-based comparison of all Middle Eastern states between 1945 and 2011. This systematic procedure offers the possibility of identifying explanatory factors that go beyond the previous research and simultaneously constitutes a precondition for developing an explanatory theoretical model regarding the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies.
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I ideological change and regime legitimacy in China

>> Heike Holbig, Maria Bondes, Sandra Heep

Project Description

Research Questions
Against the backdrop of the increasing emphasis that the CCP has put on ideological adaptation and innovation in recent years, this project analyses the changes in official party ideology and their implications for regime legitimacy. Based on discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China, the project explores (a) how ideological change is organized in institutional, personnel and financial terms; (b) which ideological innovations have been made since the 1990s; (c) which positive and negative lessons have been drawn from international experiences; (d) which impacts on regime legitimacy and stability can be detected; and (e) which implications these factors have for future institutional change and political reform in China.

Contribution to International Research
The explanation of the resilience of non-democratic systems in general and authoritarian China in particular has become one of the major challenges confronting political scientists. In recent years, a growing number of scholars have studied the importance of institutional factors such as elections for the stability of authoritarian regimes. However, since these researchers’ work has to a significant degree been shaped by expectations of democratization, the political adaptability of authoritarian regimes has so far been neglected in scholarly work. This project thus draws attention to the normative dimension of authoritarian regimes’ legitimacy by examining the role that innovations in official party ideology play in the reproduction of regime legitimacy in China.

Research Design and Methods
The project explores the above questions using discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China. The analysis of qualitative data is carried out with the software MAXQDA.

Preliminary Results
Our research has drawn attention to the fact that the leaders of authoritarian regimes propagate official frames in an effort to reproduce the populace’s belief in the elites’ leadership qualities, and in their determination to serve the common interest. It has also clarified the relationship between official frames and ideological innovations, arguing that official ideologies are both more abstract and more comprehensive than official frames and thus function as their theoretical underpinnings. In applying this framework to the case of China, we have shown that the CCP has demonstrated its dedication to the public good by drawing on the guiding ideology of socialism with Chinese characteristics. The main shift in the frames propagated in recent years has been a greater focus on the people’s well-being. This can be understood as a direct response to popular grievances.

Project: Institutional Change and Regime Legitimacy in China

Goals
- To contribute to the understanding of the role of official Chinese Communist Party (CCP) ideology in the reproduction of regime legitimacy.
- To provide a comprehensive understanding of the systematic change in official party ideology.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of Institutional Change
- Theories of Ideology and Political Legitimacy
- Discourse and framing theories

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Visual Data, Official and Media Documents
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse and Frame Analysis, Grounded Theory

Cooperation
- Prof. Dr. Böhm Alpermann, University of Würzburg
- Prof. Dr. Thomas Heberer, University of Düsseldorf-Essen
- Prof. Dr. Sebastian Heidmann, University of Trier
- Prof. Dr. Gunter Schubert, University of Tübingen
- Prof. Dr. Josef Gregory Mahoney, East China Normal University, Shanghai
- Prof. Dr. Hongqian Yang, Renmin University, Beijing (visiting scholar, Oct.–Nov. 2011)
- Dr. Hongyun Zhou, China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing (visiting scholar, May-August 2012)

Teaching
- “Ideological Change in the People’s Republic of China,” University of Frankfurt, Summer Semester 2011
- “The International Dimension of Regime Legitimacy. Reflections on Western Theories and the Chinese Experience”, Presentation at Seminar on Government Innovations, China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing, China, 30 October 2012 (M. Bondes)
- “China’s Unwritten Constitution – Ideological Implications of a ‘Nonideological’ Approach”, Presentation at Social Change and the Constitution conference, Free University of Berlin, 14–16 June 2012 (H. Holbig)
- “Frames We Can Believe In: Official Frames and Ideology in China’s Quest for Legitimacy”, Joint International Conference of the Governance in China Research Network and the Association for Social Science Research on China (ASC), Hamburg, 10 December 2011 (M. Bondes, S. Heep)
- “The International Dimension of Regime Legitimacy, Reflections on Western Theories and the Chinese Experience”, International Conference on “Legitimacy and Governance”, Fudan University Shanghai, 3 July 2010 (H. Holbig)
- “Ideological Innovation in Recent Years”, Frames We Can Believe In: Official Framing and Ideology in the CCP’s Quest for Legitimacy, GIGA Working Papers, 187, Hamburg: GIGA.

Publications

Funding
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research) (Part of the Governance in China Research Network), approved

Duration
2010–2014
### Project Description

**Research Questions**

Since the mid-1970s the number of autocracies around the globe has declined. However, in some regions, such as Asia, a comparatively high number of autocracies have proven to be resilient. The project thus asks the following questions: What have the particular trajectories and (institutional) configurations of authoritarian rule been in the region? What instruments have Asia’s autocrats used to shore up their rule? And how have Asian autocracies handled the potentially destabilizing and hence rather delicate succession issue?

**Contribution to International Research**

After years of relative neglect, the study of autocracies has been revived in recent years. As a field of study, the research on autocracies has become more diverse in terms of the analytical lenses, theories and methods involved. For example, a number of large-N studies have investigated the broader causes of authoritarian stability and decline. Numerous case studies have also examined the structure and dynamics of individual authoritarian regimes. However, genuine comparative approaches have remained seldom. Few intraregional, context-sensitive comparisons exist.

In this project, J. Gerschewski focuses on the different paths of authoritarian rule in contemporary Asia, as well as – from both a theoretical and empirical perspective – on the instruments and mechanisms used by autocrats to shore up their rule.

In Asia and beyond, P. Köllner explores the issue of political succession in autocracies in Asia, focusing on the cases of North Korea and China. He is also advancing the comparative study of autocracies by organizing a series of national and international workshops and panels.

While J. Gerschewski employs a mixture of configurative approaches (QCA) and in-depth case studies of crucial cases, P. Köllner relies on qualitative methods such as process tracing (for within-case analyses) and pattern matching (for across-case analyses). The researchers rely on primary sources (party statutes, party communiqués) as well as a host of secondary sources. They also conduct semi-structured interviews with academics in the region.

**Preliminary Results**

This project, which adopts a network-based approach, has helped put comparative research on autocracies on the political science and Asian studies agendas in Germany and beyond. A number of project-related panels have taken place at both international (ECPR, IPSA) and national (DVPW) conferences. In terms of publications, the project will result in, among others, two special/thematic issues of Democratization (2013) and Politische Vierteljahresschrift (2012), as well as in a doctoral dissertation by J. Gerschewski, to be submitted in 2013. Knowledge transfer in the form of briefing papers, public lectures, editorials, and numerous radio and TV interviews has also taken place on a frequent basis.

### Project Team

**Principal Investigators**

- **Patrick Köllner**
- **Johannes Gerschewski**

**Co-Investigator**

- **Dr. Christian Göbel**

**Research Assistants**

- **Steffen Kailitz**

**Research Assistant (guest editor)**

- **Dr. Fritz Thyssen Foundation**

**Project Coordinators**

- **GIGA Foundation**

**Funding and Partners**

- **Berlin Graduate School of Social Sciences**
- **East Asia Institute**
- **European Consortium for Political Research**
- **German Research Foundation**
- **Hannah Arendt Institute**
- **Jun.Prof. Christian Göbel, University of Heidelberg**
- **PD Dr. Steffen Kailitz, Hannah Arendt Institute, Dresden**

**Duration**

2009-2013
Civil Society Organizations as Supporters of Authoritarian Rule? A Cross-Regional Comparison (Vietnam, Algeria, Mozambique)

Patrick Köllner, Jörg Wischerthmann

Project Description

Research Questions

The project intends to explore which types of CSOs contribute in which ways to the preservation or weakening of the authoritarian state’s infrastructural and discursive power. The cross-regional comparison of three very similar cases includes the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria, and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. The basic assumption is that CSOs and the state form an interdependent whole in which the two sides reciprocally influence each other. Which features CSOs develop, and which effects their various activities might have, become apparent only from a relational perspective and by analysing these relationships of dependence and influence. CSOs are thus “polyvalent” (Kössler).

Contribution to International Research

Research on authoritarian regimes and civil society lacks theoretically based and cross-regional comparisons regarding what the power of the authoritarian state is based on and how this power is preserved. The variety of roles that civil society organizations (CSOs) play in this context is also under-researched. The project’s main contribution to the international research will thus be the generation of new and complex hypotheses on the interdependency between various types of CSOs and the preservation or weakening of various forms of authoritarian state power. These hypotheses will be tested in further research.

Research Design and Methods

The cross-regional comparison of three most-similar cases includes all the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria, and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. All three post-socialist and post-colonial countries have authoritarian political regimes. They demonstrate a number of similarities including partly liberalized economies in which state-owned enterprises still play a leading role, the abandonment of socialism as a direct political goal in the early/late 1980s, authoritarian political structures and political systems that are still dominated by a strong ruling party, and the continued application of socialist legacies such as democratic centralism in state and ruling party decision-making processes. Each of the three countries has experienced a phase of civil war in recent decades. These experiences still haunt many citizens and counterbalance too many political changes at a time.

The project’s basic assumption is that CSOs and the state are interrelated and interdependent. The features that CSOs develop and the effects their various activities might have have become apparent only from a relational perspective and through the analysis of these mutual relations and interdependencies. These interdependencies and the polyvalency of CSOs (Kössler) are explored in two steps:

First, we will investigate the context in which various types of CSOs develop authoritarian and authoritarian-supportive and democratic and democracy-conducive features – or mixtures of both – in their internal structures and activities, and in the worldviews of their representatives. We will also investigate how such features are related to particular understandings of civil society. Here we will apply a tested typology of CSOs and three criteria checklists.

Second, we will examine whether and in which ways various types of CSOs that deliver services in the healthcare sector and CSOs that are involved in the formulation and implementation of economic policies help strengthen or weaken the infrastructural power of the state. We will also examine how various types of CSOs help strengthen or weaken the discursive power of gender norms propagated by the state.

These two steps are to be followed by a concluding, comparative analysis of results that should generate new, more complex hypotheses.

Project Description

The project intends to explore which types of CSOs contribute in which ways to the preservation or weakening of the authoritarian state’s infrastructural and discursive power. The cross-regional comparison of three very similar cases includes the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria, and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. The basic assumption is that CSOs and the state form an interdependent whole in which the two sides reciprocally influence each other. Which features CSOs develop, and which effects their various activities might have, become apparent only from a relational perspective and by analysing these relationships of dependence and influence. CSOs are thus “polyvalent” (Kössler).

Contribution to International Research

Research on authoritarian regimes and civil society lacks theoretically based and cross-regional comparisons regarding what the power of the authoritarian state is based on and how this power is preserved. The variety of roles that civil society organizations (CSOs) play in this context is also under-researched. The project’s main contribution to the international research will thus be the generation of new and complex hypotheses on the interdependency between various types of CSOs and the preservation or weakening of various forms of authoritarian state power. These hypotheses will be tested in further research.

Research Design and Methods

The cross-regional comparison of three most-similar cases includes all the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria, and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. All three post-socialist and post-colonial countries have authoritarian political regimes. They demonstrate a number of similarities including partly liberalized economies in which state-owned enterprises still play a leading role, the abandonment of socialism as a direct political goal in the early/late 1980s, authoritarian political structures and political systems that are still dominated by a strong ruling party, and the continued application of socialist legacies such as democratic centralism in state and ruling party decision-making processes. Each of the three countries has experienced a phase of civil war in recent decades. These experiences still haunt many citizens and counterbalance too many political changes at a time.

The project’s basic assumption is that CSOs and the state are interrelated and interdependent. The features that CSOs develop and the effects their various activities might have have become apparent only from a relational perspective and through the analysis of these mutual relations and interdependencies. These interdependencies and the polyvalency of CSOs (Kössler) are explored in two steps:

First, we will investigate the context in which various types of CSOs develop authoritarian and authoritarian-supportive and democratic and democracy-conducive features – or mixtures of both – in their internal structures and activities, and in the worldviews of their representatives. We will also investigate how such features are related to particular understandings of civil society. Here we will apply a tested typology of CSOs and three criteria checklists.

Second, we will examine whether and in which ways various types of CSOs that deliver services in the healthcare sector and CSOs that are involved in the formulation and implementation of economic policies help strengthen or weaken the infrastructural power of the state. We will also examine how various types of CSOs help strengthen or weaken the discursive power of gender norms propagated by the state.

These two steps are to be followed by a concluding, comparative analysis of results that should generate new, more complex hypotheses.
Concepts of Political Change and Legitimate Modes of Governance in the People's Republic of China

Nele Noesselt

The project focuses on four main research questions:

1. What are the main ideas regarding political change and legitimate modes of governance in the PRC as documented in academic (political science) debates?
2. Should these ideas be understood as abstract theoretical, philosophical frames or as ideological concepts that legitimate the existing political structures?
3. How do Chinese scholars' analyses of China's historical development and the crises of other political regimes impact the (re-)formulation of their theoretical frames?
4. Is there any observable interplay between the concepts and ideas developed by China's political scientists and the political decision-making processes? How do academic and political debates interact?

Research Questions

Contribution to International Research

Research on Chinese politics often focuses on official political statements and visible political actions without analysing the considerations and strategic reflections that underlie political decision-making. As China is classified as an authoritarian system, most analyses follow a comparative systemic approach and focus on the factors political change and transformation, which have been identified as key variables of post-communist change (Soviet Union, Eastern Europe).

This approach neglects the adaptability of the Chinese political system. By measuring and reflecting on development models as well as the success or failure of other political regimes, the government of the PRC tries to stabilize and perpetuate its one-party system. The pragmatic flexibility of the Chinese system is subsumed under the frame of "authoritarian resilience". So far, however, most studies subscribing to this approach have limited themselves to a retrospective analysis of the political elites' efforts to re-legitimate their political rule. The prevailing reflections on China's future development options and ideal(ized) configurations of political rule have not yet been systematically documented. The projects aims to fill this gap and to contribute to the understanding of (hybrid) authoritarian regimes' learning and adaptation processes.

To map the main ideas of political change and the theoretical and philosophical configurations of legitimate political rule in China, the project will undertake a discourse analysis of China's leading political statements and official political discourses related to political decision-making. Focusing especially on the period 2002–2012 (Hu-Wen administration), the researcher will conduct expert interviews in China. In order to generate a more diversified picture of the landscape of political theory, the research will be based on three data sets: The project will examine the research approaches and theoretical frameworks of the academic communities in Beijing (the political power centre), Shanghai (the economic centre in China's South, with a historical orientation towards the "West") and Guangzhou (close to the two special administration regions Hong Kong and Macao).

The second part of the project will undertake multivariate time series analyses of the emergence and development of the central ideas and concepts (of political change and legitimate political rule) identified in Part I. It will use these to identify the interplays and correlations between the academic debates and the official political discourses related to political decision-making.

Research Design and Methods

Workshops/Conferences
- "Chinesische 'Reform'-Diskurse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation) - under review

Duration
2013-2015
Stability and Change of Authoritarian Regimes: A Systematic Comparison of Institutional and Material Conditions

>> Thomas Richter, Viola Lucas

**Research Questions**

- What impact does the interaction between the quantity and quality of material resources on the one hand and different political institutions on the other have on stability and change in authoritarian regimes?
- What parsimonious combination or combinations of resource-specific and institutional factors can be identified to explain stability and/or change in authoritarian regimes?
- Is there more than one combination of explanatory factors that determines stability and change in authoritarian regimes, regardless of region and time?

In particular, the project analyses three different aspects of authoritarian regimes:

- Regime stability: duration of the survival of an authoritarian regime
- Regime breakdown: events in the disintegration of an authoritarian regime
- Authoritarian regime change: quality of a regime after the breakdown of an authoritarian regime

The project aims to fill an existing gap between the fields of political economy and comparative politics in the study of authoritarian regimes. It is closely linked to the research on the structural determinants of authoritarian rule, a literature largely dominated by US researchers. By systematically broadening the perspective adopted in this literature, the project unites the two central branches of this macro-comparative research. It also improves the validity of important measures of state revenues and expenditures, which will be useful for more general analysis of political regimes over time.

**Project Description**

The project focuses primarily on the following issues:

- To determine the influence of material resources and political institutions upon stability and change in authoritarian regimes.
- To develop a parsimonious explanation regarding the resource-specific and institutional factors related to stability and change in authoritarian regimes.

**Methods**

- Data Collection: Documents (coding of historical documents from the International Monetary Fund archives), Databases (creation of the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Data Set)
- Data Processing: STATA, R, Tosmana

**Contribution to International Research**

To map the main ideas of political change and the theoretical and philosophical configurations of leg The project triangulates documents analysis with regression and QCA techniques. During the first phase, the researchers have compared existing data on authoritarian regime types and have developed a framework for evaluating different measurements of authoritarian regime type. They have also collected data on types and levels of income as well as data on the distributional capacities of authoritarian regimes from sources at the IMF archives.

Additionally, they have created the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Data Set, which includes over 50 indicators. In the project’s second phase, project staff are combining statistical data analyses with methods of qualitative comparison at a macro-level. In addition to descriptive statistics and simple correlation and regression techniques (logistic regression), they are using statistical models taken from survival analysis. Three different QCA techniques are also being deployed during the course of the project.

**Preliminary Results**

A beta version of the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Data Set will be released in Spring 2013.
RP 1 LEGITIMACY AND EFFICIENCY OF POLITICAL SYSTEMS

RT 1: Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes

Learning through Conflict. Managing Society in Authoritarian China

>> Günter Schucher

Project Goals
- To better understand the mechanisms of authoritarian learning and adaptability in China.

Theoretical Approaches
- Sociological theories of systemic and organizational learning

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Expert interviews, Documents (official documents, newspaper and journal articles), Databases (researchers' own database on conflicts in China)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis

Teaching
- "Modernisierung und Protest: Soziale Bewegungen in der VR China und Taiwan (Modernization and Protest: Social Movements in the PR China and Taiwan)“, Free University of Berlin, Winter Semester 2011/12
- "Warum hat es die Demokratie in Asien so schwer? (Why Does Democracy in Asia Have It so Hard?)", 4. Nacht des Wissens, GIGA, Hamburg, 29 October 2011

Cooperation
- H. Christoph Steinhardt, Department of Government and Public Administration, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- Zhou Hongyun, China Center for Comparative Politics & Economics, Beijing, China

Workshops/Conferences
- "Democracy and Governance in the New Transitional Economies: The Case of "BRIC Countries", panel at the 22nd IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 8-12 July 2012

Knowledge Transfer

Funding
- GIGA

Duration 2013-2015

Research Questions
The Chinese authoritarian regime has proved to be rather resilient and adaptable. Faced with an increasingly individualized and pluralized society, China’s authoritarian leadership has developed the concept of “social management”, which involves new forms of inclusive social development as well as improved institutions of social control. Strengthened self-management at the lowest administrative level, the development of social organizations to represent the public’s interests, improved communication channels between the party-state and the public, the modernization of public security work and the like. Based on the programmatic platform of a “harmonious society”, the concept acknowledges public protests (offline as well as online) as (more or less) unavoidable expressions of discontent and clashes of interest.

The official concept of social management, and its promotion, indicates that the party-state is highly aware that it has to listen to public opinion more closely and further engage people in risk management if it really wants to identify social trouble spots and ease social conflicts. By acknowledging protests, the party has broadened the discursive space in China and enabled itself not only to listen to public opinion but also to “learn” from these conflicts - where it is not able to prevent them. The goal of these feedback loops is the fine-tuning of the party’s management methods and the avoidance of further escalation.

In the growing literature on the adaptability of authoritarian regimes, including China, the regimes’ processes of observing, analysing, and learning have only recently attracted scholars’ attention. These processes are, however, hard to conceptualize. The project contributes to the emerging debate through its utilization of the classical method of content analysis.

In a first step, the project will identify major protests inside and outside of China that have attracted widespread attention from Chinese state-led media and Chinese scholars. Based on systemic and organizational learning theories, the study will then analyse the Chinese public discourse on these protests. Finally, it will look for conceptual adaptations that demonstrate both the Chinese party-state’s ability to “learn through conflict” and its limitations.

Preliminary Results
Still afflicted with a mistrust of its own population, and particularly of the more or less autonomous social organizations, the Chinese party-state wishes to “manage” society. It wants to improve and not reduce its capacity to direct. Consequently, its stability preservation and social management concepts follow a top-down approach and are geared to conservative ideas of management and control rather than to modern methods of governance.

Nevertheless, the agreed-upon concepts are by no means fixed and non-modifiable. On the contrary, they are constantly being recalibrated in view of new contentious events, both within and outside of China. This recalibration, however, takes place within the boundaries of the concepts themselves and is affected by disputes within the leadership or between the different levels of administration, particularly between the central and the local levels. Thus, the actual value of the learning that results from social conflicts may be limited.
### RP 1: LEGITIMACY AND EFFICIENCY OF POLITICAL SYSTEMS

#### RT 2: Law and Politics

**Project:** Judicial (In)dependence in New Democracies. Courts, Presidents and Legislatures in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa

**Authors:** Mariana Llanos, Alexander Stroh, Charlotte Heyl, Cordula Tibi Weber

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Project</strong></th>
<th>To analyze and explain the different degrees of judicial independence vis-à-vis the political power invested in the elected branches of power, particularly in the chief of government.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goals</strong></td>
<td>- To determine how elected executives (with varying levels of political and institutional power) exercise their influence on three institutional components of the judiciary – structure, appointments and budget – and which factors determine the different degrees of influence or encroachment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theoretical Approaches</strong></td>
<td>- New institutionalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Design</strong></td>
<td>- Comparative Design: Intergovernmental Comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>2011-2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research Questions**

- What impact have judicial reform processes had on judicial independence?
- What is the political context in which courts operate?
- What kinds of mechanisms have the elected branches of government employed to increase their influence on the judicial branch? What impact has political influence had on the three institutional components of the judiciary – structure, appointments and budget?
- What impact have judicial reform processes had on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?

**Contribution to International Research**

The study of judicial independence shows the interaction among the three branches of government and, especially, the ways in which the two elected branches – the executive and the legislature – interfere with the judicial branch. This project’s analysis stresses the difficult balance between judicial independence and judicial accountability. This topic is an incipient but growing research area in the developing world, and much original comparative empirical evidence is still needed.

**Research Design and Methods**

This project adopts a cross-regional small-N comparative design. It includes six cases from two different regions. The cases belong to similar institutional contexts in terms of culture and history, but have different judicial independence assessments. In short, the sample includes one pair each of best-performers (Chile, Benin), medium-performers (Argentina, Senegal), and low-performers (Paraguay, Madagascar).

**Preliminary Results**

In 2012 the project members undertook fieldwork in the six countries included in the study. The results of this work will be presented in 2013. Meanwhile, the collection and analysis of constitutional texts (Llanos et al., 2012) identified considerable differences in the formal constitutional rules regarding the autonomy, accountability, and power of the courts.
New Constitutionalism and New Practices of Democracy: Venezuela, Ecuador and Bolivia

Project
- To operationalize the different dimensions and types of democracy in legal norms and in practice: liberal-representative, participatory, deliberative and communitarian democracy.
- To describe the characteristics of each type of democracy in practice and how each type has been shaped by the new constitutions in Venezuela, Ecuador and Bolivia (time frame: since 1990).
- To explain how the diverse democratic regimes interact with each other.

Theoretical
- Theories of democracy

Research
- Comparative Design: Intragovernmental Comparison

Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Observation, Documents (country reports on the quality of democracy)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, QCA

Cooperation
- Dr. Marco Navas Alvear, Universidad Andina, Quito, Ecuador
- Dr. Eduardo Rodriguez Veltzé, Universidad Católica, La Paz, Bolivia
- Dr. Simón Pachano, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Quito, Ecuador
- Dr. Richard Lander, University of Stockholm

Teaching
- "Politische Systeme und politische Herausforderungen in Lateinamerika (Political Systems and Political Challenges in Latin America)", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (D. Nolte)
- Constitutions in Latin America: Institutional Change and Human Rights, University Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (A. Schilling-Vacafior, M. Lianos)

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation) in preparation
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development) and Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen Foundation), funding for international conference (2010) and edited volume (2012) approved

Duration
2010-2015

Research Questions
The project focuses on the following research questions:
- How do the new constitutions and secondary legislation of Venezuela, Ecuador and Bolivia design and regulate the liberal-representative, direct-participatory, deliberative and self-governing dimensions of democracy?
- What are the characteristics of these types of democracy in practice, and how have they been shaped by the new constitutionalism?
- How do the diverse types and dimensions of democracy interact with each other?

Contribution to
- How do the new constitutions and secondary legislation of Venezuela, Ecuador and Bolivia design and regulate the liberal-representative, direct-participatory, deliberative and self-governing dimensions of democracy?
- What are the characteristics of these types of democracy in practice, and how have they been shaped by the new constitutionalism?
- How do the diverse types and dimensions of democracy interact with each other?

The impact of new constitutions on the quality of Latin American democracies has been the subject of contentious debate. To a large extent, the diverse and partly contradictory interpretations of the democracies in countries with new constitutions, such as Venezuela, Bolivia and Ecuador, can be explained by different underlying concepts of democracy. Authors who focus on direct-participatory or deliberative forms of democracy generally evaluate the democracies of these countries more positively, whereas those who apply concepts of liberal-representative democracy are more critical. Self-governing entities and forms of communitarian democracy still remain largely under-researched in the existing research on the democratic regimes of the selected countries. Additionally, the interactions and interrelations between diverse types of democracy have not yet been systematically investigated. The proposed research project aims to address these research gaps and to generate increased academic knowledge and comprehension of post-liberal democracies in the new constitutionalism countries.

After operationalizing the liberal-representative, direct-participatory, deliberative and communitarian types of democracy, we will collect data (secondary literature, databases, expert interviews) on each for Venezuela, Ecuador and Bolivia, focusing on the period 1990–2012. Based on the analysis of these data, we will assess the development of the various types of democracy during this time period; we will also investigate how the adoption of the new constitutions and the respective secondary legislation have shaped these democratic types and their interactions in practice. We will complement the (synchronic and diachronic) comparative-systematic study of these democracies over the past 22 years with in-depth studies on current democratic practices in each country. Therefore, in addition to semi-structured expert and actor interviews, we will also use the method of participatory observation. We plan to observe/participate in diverse arenas and processes of liberal-representative, direct-participatory, deliberative and communitarian democracy.

Preliminary Results
From 2010 to 2012 the project comparatively investigated constitutional changes (causes, processes, results, impacts) in the entire Latin American region. Within this research period we identified important phenomena in the “new constitutionalism” countries Bolivia, Ecuador and Venezuela, which will be at the centre of our future research agenda: these new constitutions have created new institutions (such as a fourth or citizen power in Venezuela, or a branch for citizen participation and social control in Ecuador); have included more elements of direct and deliberative democracy; have expanded the rights of citizens (especially their social and group rights); and have changed the concept of the state (e.g. plurinational states in Bolivia and Ecuador). Though the mechanisms of vertical accountability have been strengthened within the constitutional texts, in practice the rather weak checks and balances between the state powers (which are due to the strong executive powers) limit the democratization processes in these Andean countries.
Causes of Hybrid Regimes in Sub-Saharan Africa –
A Systematic Comparison

Gero Erdmann, Sebastian Elischer, Alexander Stroh

Project
- To identify the historical-institutional explanations for the evolution of different regime types (democratic, hybrid, and authoritarian) in Africa.

Goals
- To understand why some regimes have remained democratic, while others have become hybrid and authoritarian following a process of liberalization and democratic elections.

Theoretical Approaches
- Historical institutionalism (critical junctures and path-dependent development)

Research
- Comparative Design Intraregional Comparison

Design
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Organizations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (constitutions, policy papers)
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing, Pattern Matching

Cooperation
- History and political science departments of universities in Ghana, Benin, Niger, Zambia, Cameroon, and Togo

Workshops/Conferences
RP 1

LEGITIMACY AND EFFICIENCY OF POLITICAL SYSTEMS

RT 3: Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

Political Regimes, Reduction of Poverty and Inequality

>> Gero Erdmann, Jann Lay

Project Description

Research Questions

Poverty reduction strategies aim to foster growth patterns that favour the poor (millennium development goals and poverty reduction strategy papers). However, in the past rapid economic expansion in developing countries has often been associated with increased inequality. Redistributive policies could counteract such trends. The commitment to reduce poverty is likely to differ according to political regime type. The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence, democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. What kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. The project’s basic questions are thus as follows:

- Which political regime type provides the most effective institutional arrangement for poverty reduction?
- Which policy or policy mix is most effective for poverty alleviation?
- Which conditions are most favourable for the effective implementation of this policy or policy mix?

Contribution to International Research

The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence, democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. However, what kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. Cross-country research on the relationship between political regimes and poverty/inequality is inconclusive. There are various reasons for this state of affairs: case and period selection, the limitations of the statistical instruments, and conceptual and measurement shortcomings.

Research Design and Methods

Our approach will enhance the differentiation of the regime variable to overcome the democracy/dictatorship dichotomy by introducing a third hybrid-regime category. In addition, we will specify different qualities within these three categories, such as diminished subtypes and partial regimes. We intend to:

- assess the relationship between poverty/inequality and regime type;
- examine which policies are most likely to be adopted by specific regime types; and
- analyse the differences in the effectiveness and efficiency of specific policies under different regime types.

Such an analysis will provide answers as to why some countries are less effective in policy implementation. The study will comprise a small-N comparison of selected country cases from Africa, Asia and Latin America according to regime type, policies, and governance efficacy (centralized and decentralized administration and/or governance, as well as ill-devised decentralization). It will identify key variables, which will then be tested in a cross-country large-N study.
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**Project**
- To understand the implications of increased transnational migration for the sending states' policies and politics.
- To identify how “emigrant politics”, that is, the interaction of homeland political actors with emigrants, play out in the adoption of these policies.
- To identify what drives the adoption of these policies?
- To understand the implications of increased transnational migration for the sending states’ policies and politics.

**Research Questions**
- What are the social and political forces at the national policy-making level that explain the variations in the adoption of emigrant policies?
- What drives the adoption of these policies?
- How do “emigrant politics” – that is, the interaction of homeland political actors with emigrants – play out in the adoption of these policies?
- What is the empirical focus on Latin America and the Caribbean?

**Methods**
- Data Collection: Interview, Documents, Development of New Emigrant Policies Database
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing, Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis

**Cooperation**
- Prof. Dr. Marco Martiniello, Center for Ethnic and Migration Studies (CEDEM), University of Liège
- Prof. Dr. Günther Maihold, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- Prof. Dr. Hans-Jürgen Puhle, University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main

**Publications**

**Funding**
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): under review

**Duration**
2013–2015

---

**Project Description**

A current of research in migration studies – transnationalism – has since the mid-1990s demonstrated that emigrants are increasingly maintaining economic, social and political ties with their country of origin. However, only recently has political science research begun focusing on what we call “emigrant policies” – that is, policies adopted by the sending states that primarily concern their citizens abroad. The findings thus far have been largely confined to either case studies that cannot be generalized to other situations or broader work on specific sub-issues, such as external voting rights. As a result, the reasons why states adopt these policies, as well as their variations and their effects, remain largely unknown.

Focusing on the Latin American and Caribbean countries as global front-runners in the adoption of emigrant policies, this research project thus addresses two central questions: (1) How do homeland states reach out to emigrants, and what drives the adoption of these policies? (2) How do “emigrant politics” – that is, the interaction of homeland political actors with emigrants – play out in the adoption of these policies?

The project will adopt a comprehensive empirical and mixed-methods approach. It will provide new insights on how and why sending states actively adopt policies to engage with their emigrated citizens abroad, and on how this interaction impacts not only the politics but also the politics of the sending societies.

The proposed project seeks to address key gaps in the extant research. First, research on emigrant politics and emigrant policies is still stuck between a large number of migrant-centred studies that document the transnational political practices of emigrants on the one hand, and a more limited number of studies on sending-state policies on the other hand. Second, research on emigrant policies is usually conducted on a case-study basis. The analysis has generally been conducted at the regional level (e.g. Escobar 2007; Rhodes and Hirst, 2013) and has been limited to very specific policies. It therefore has not explained the broader development of emigrant policies in Latin America. This study’s development of an original, solid and quantifiable emigrant policies index for all the countries of the study region and the combination of this index with a small-N analysis in a nested research approach will break new ground. This approach promises to overcome the major limitations of the political science research on transnational migration.

The project is based on a mixed-methods research design that proceeds in three steps: First, we will generate an original data set that identifies and codifies the principal emigrant policies of all Latin American and major Caribbean countries at the large-N level. This is something that has been missing in the research to date. Second, we will use this database for a quantitative large-N analysis in order to test hypotheses on the structural reasons for the adoption of emigrant policies. To do this we will correlate a number of structural factors regarding the sending state and its emigration community with the dependent variable. Third, we will undertake a qualitative, small-N case study to understand the political dynamics of the sending states and emigrants in the design and adoption of emigrant policies. In accordance with Lieberman’s concept of “nested analysis”, we will select the cases for this latter step as a result of the large-N analysis.

The research carried out so far has confirmed the hypothesis that the transnational character of today’s migration presents not only new challenges but also new opportunities for sending states to reach out to their emigrant communities. These activities, however, call into question fundamental concepts such as the traditional idea of nation-state sovereignty and the linkage of citizenship to the territorial boundaries of the country in question. As a result, the emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are the subject of political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigrant policies.
### Project Description

**Research Questions**
- What are the causes of corruption?
- What accounts for low levels of corruption?
- How does corruption impact societies?
- What impact does the behaviour of governmental and non-state actors have on the fight against corruption?

**Contribution to International Research**
While there is increasing awareness of corruption, as well as an interest in curtailing it, there has been no systematic research on questions relating to the transition of particularistic societies towards those characterized by ethical universalism – that is, public good-oriented governance. There is also a lack of consensus regarding the definition of corruption, as well as its possible causes and effective measures for fighting it.

The main aim of ANTICORRP is therefore to align different concepts and insights from interdisciplinary research. The project will address existing research gaps through a comparison of EU countries’ trajectories with those of neighbouring states and a global sample of countries. Within this framework, the GIGA project comparatively analyses country cases in Latin America, Africa, Asia and the Middle East.

The project will conduct a diagnosis of governance regimes using indicator-based methods in order to understand local dynamics. Based on a large-N quantitative study, two groups of cases will be selected in different world regions. The two main criteria are: (1) that they show a significant reduction in corruption over time and (2) that the degree of corruption has recently improved or at least remained at the same level. Through this comparison, the project will enhance our understanding of why various societies have developed different equilibria of political accountability and corruption control.

### Project Description

**Research Questions**
- To assess which factors are responsible for corruption and the alleviation of corruption.
- To analyse actors’ impact upon levels of corruption.

**Theoretical Approaches**
- Contemporary concepts of corruption
- Political economy approaches

**Research Design**
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Organizations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

**Methods**
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Focus Group Discussions, Documents (official and legal documents)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti, MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

**Cooperation**
- ANTICORRP Consortium (21 partners led by Quality of Government Institute, University of Gothenburg. Partners include, among others, Hertie School of Governance, Germany; European University Institute, Italy; University College London, Transparency International, Germany; Gallup Europe, Belgium.)

**Teaching**
- “Stateness in Africa”, Free University of Berlin, Summer Semester 2010 (C. von Soest)
- “Choices by the Elite: Botswana’s Governance Record Revisited”, paper presented at the 12th IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 10 July 2012 (C. von Soest)
- “Neopatrimonialism in Various World Regions”, international workshop as part of the “Persistence and Change of Neopatrimonialism in Various World Regions” project, GIGA, Hamburg, 23 August 2010
- “Informal Institutions in the Age of Globalisation – Different World Regions Compared”, panel at the 5th ECPR General Conference, Potsdam, 12 September 2009 (G. Erdmann, C. von Soest)

**Publications**

**Funding**
- EC, 7. Forschungsrahmenprogramm (FP7) (EC, Seventh Framework Programme), coordinated by University of Gothenburg: approved

**Duration**
2012–2016
Intraparty Factionalism and Party System Change: Lessons from Young Democracies in Asia

Andreas Ufen, Paul Chambers, Patrick Kölner, Siegfried Wolf

Project
- To illustrate what gives rise to factionalism and how factional evolution causes changes in parties and party systems that are on the path to institutionalization in young democracies.

Theoretical Approaches
- Historical institutionalism
- Comparative Design: Retrospective Comparison

Research Design
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Organizations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Documents (publications by political parties, newspaper reports)
- Data Processing: SPSS
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Thailand: Dr. Napisa Waitoolkiat, Southeast Asian Institute of Global Studies (SEAIGS), Payap University, Chiang Mai; Institute of Security and International Studies (ISIS)
- Philippines: Dr. Julo Tiestebarneko, Department of International Studies, De La Salle University, Manila; Institute for Popular Democracy; Institute for Strategic and Development Studies
- Indonesia: Mr. Sunny Tanuwidjaya, Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSS), Jakarta
- Timor Leste: Mr. Antoro Benedetto Da Silva, Universidade National Timor-Lorosae
- Nepal: Dr. Manish Thapa, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu; Prof. Yoga Prasad Adhikari, Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS), Kathmandu
- Bangladesh: Dr. K.M. Mahfuzuddin, Department of Government and Politics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka

Teaching
- "Politics in East Asia", University of Erlangen/Nuremberg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (A. Ufen)
- "Parties and Party Systems in Asia", University of Erlangen/Nuremberg, Summer Semester 2012 (A. Ufen)
- "Introduction to Party Research", University of Erlangen/Nuremberg, Winter Semester 2011/12 (A. Ufen)
- "Direct Local Elections and the Fragmentation of Party Organization in Indonesia", presentation at APSA Annual Meeting, Seattle, 1 September 2011 (A. Ufen)
- ECPR Research Sessions, Research Group on Party System Change, European University Institute, Florence, 19-22 June 2012
- Diverse lectures on cleavages and clientelism in Southeast Asia at universities in Jakarta (29 February), Kuala Lumpur (26 and 30 March) and Hong Kong (3 April) in 2012 (A. Ufen)

Publications

Research Questions
- In new democracies, what accounts for the rise of factionalism in some parties and party systems relative to others?
- How and why might factionalism affect party system change?

Contribution to International Research
- Studies have argued that this phenomenon is generally due to local elites’ early domination of regionalized parties and an inability to clearly translate social cleavages into the party system (Ufen, 2008:342; Kuhonta 2009:4-5). One symptom of such under-institutionalization has been intraparty factionalism. This factionalism is significant because factions can act as building blocks which keep parties together, or alternatively, they can force coalitions and parties to collapse.

Thus, in many party systems throughout the world, factions determine patterns of stability or instability in party systems. However, little has been published on political parties in Asia, and a comparative empirical survey on factionalism in Asia has not yet been published. Additionally, a comparative operationalization of the effective number of factions in different party systems has never been undertaken. Finally, except for the possible exception of works by Krauss and Pekkanen (2011) and Hellmann (2011), historical institutionalism has never been used to account for the rise of factionalism in terms of changes across party organizations and party systems.

The project compares cases of factionalism in selected emerging democracies in Southeast Asia (Thailand, the Philippines, Indonesia, Timor Leste) and South Asia (Bangladesh, Nepal). It combines historical institutionalism with qualitative and quantitative methodology. The entire evolution of each faction under study is traced, and these factions are also measured and correlated against measurements of party system change. Asia has a rich diversity of peoples and cultures (this project examines both Southeast and South Asia), and it is home to many relatively young democracies.

To measure factions in each country case, the project’s researchers will interview academics, journalists, and retired or active politicians. In addition, they will measure the different forms of party system change in each country case: effective number of parties, party volatility (vote shares/seat shares over time), party duration, and party cohesion (party switching). This will involve the gathering of legislative data, which will either be available online or will require manual primary data collection in the particular country.
Articles in Refered Journals


Contributions to Edited Volumes

A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict Onset

>> Matthias Basedau, Carlo Koos, Annegret Mähler, Jan Pierskalla

Research Questions
- Do ethnicity and natural resources jointly increase the risk of conflict onset?
- Which ethnicity-related, resource-related, and other conditions have an impact on conflict?

Methodologically, the project engages in georeferencing below the national level and combines macro-, meso- and microperspectives in a three-level analysis. At the macro level, a global database that identifies the overlapping locations of resources, relevant and deprived ethnic groups, and conflict is being created in order to uncover general patterns. For this purpose, existing data on resources (PETRODATA, GEMDATA, DIADATA, US Geological Survey) and ethnicity (Ethnic Power Relations Dataset and its georeferenced variant GEO-EPR) is being used. At the meso level, the project is engaging in a within-country comparison of two pertinent country cases (Bolivia and Nigeria) where the presence of resources and relevant ethnic groups, as well as the level of violence, varies across subnational units. While Nigeria has experienced high intensity violence, Bolivia is well suited for a study of lower levels of violence. At the micro level, extensive fieldwork in two carefully selected local sites per country aims to uncover the precise mechanisms that may lead to violence in the presence of both resources and ethnic diversity.

According to the literature on conflict, ethnicity and natural resources can, under certain conditions, increase the risk of intrastate conflict. Theoretically, the combination of ethnic diversity and resources should be particularly dangerous, as both together may generate strong motives for resistance and increase an ethnic group’s capacity for insurgency by providing financial means and recruitment pools. In sum, ethnicity and resource-specific conditions can assist in overcoming the collective-action problems that rebellion (and hence civil war) entails.

However, studies on ethnicity and conflict on the one hand (e.g. Gunn, Cederman, Wimmer, Horowitz) and resources and conflict on the other (e.g. Collier/Hoeffler, Le Billon, Ross) have operated independently of each other thus far. Moreover, previous research has investigated causal mechanisms only at a rather aggregate level. This project unifies both strands of the literature and investigates the precise mechanisms that may lead to violence in the presence of both resources and ethnic diversity.
Prior Consultation and Conflict Transformation in Resource Governance: Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador

>> Almut Schilling-Vacaflor

Project Description

Research Questions
- What characteristics have consultation procedures, and their outcomes, in the Bolivian and Peruvian hydrocarbon sectors demonstrated since 2007?
- Which conflict constellations and dynamics can be observed within those procedures?
- Which factors enable or limit the implementation of comprehensive consultations, the guaranteeing of the human rights of those involved, and the just balancing of the interests at stake?
- How have prior consultations contributed to conflict escalation or transformation?

Contribution to International Research
Despite their great practical relevance, prior consultations remain under-researched. The research project aims to address the following research gaps:
- A lack of systematic and comparative studies on consultation cases that are based on detailed knowledge of each case
- A lack of in-depth ethnographic case studies that explore formal and informal local processes as well as the perceptions, interests and strategies of the heterogeneous actors involved
- A lack of investigation into the connections between consultation practices and socio-environmental conflicts
- A lack of research that focuses on the substantial dimension of prior consultations/FPIC

The project brings together diverse theoretical strands. The dialogue between these theories and empirical data will contribute to the development of a middle-range theory on prior consultations/FPIC in extractive industries.

In the first stage of the project we will operationalize “comprehensive consultations”, drawing particularly on international human rights instruments. We will also complete data collection on prior consultations/FPIC in the Bolivian and Peruvian hydrocarbon sectors. These data stem from existing case studies and secondary literature, interviews with experts and the actors involved, official ministry reports, media articles and participatory conflict analyses. The data will be analysed with the support of ATLAS.6 and SPSS, with the aim of answering the main research questions. Ten consultation cases for each country will be incorporated into a database on prior consultation practices, as well as on the respective legal norms and legal struggles.

The researchers will accompany two ongoing consultation procedures (one in each country), using ethnographic methods to explore the emic perspectives of the actors involved and the complex relationship between these theories and empirical data. The in-depth studies will be compared with each other at two levels: intracountry comparisons and cross-country comparisons.

Preliminary Results
In Bolivia’s hydrocarbon sector the consultation processes and their outcomes have varied greatly depending on the negotiating capacity of the indigenous organizations involved. The relationship between the particular indigenous group affected and the Bolivian government is a decisive factor in explaining the characteristics of each consultation case. In Peru, the absence of national legislation and of competent state institutions as well as unfavorable jurisprudence has meant that until 2012 only informative events, and no prior consultations, were undertaken. In both countries the “national interest” and the biased state institutions limit the possibilities for initiating comprehensive consultation.
WP 2 VIOLENCE AND SECURITY
RT 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics

Religion and Conflict: On the Ambivalence of Religious Factors in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East

>>> Matthias Basedau, Georg Strüwer, Johannes Vüllers

Project Description

Research Questions
- Ambivalence: Under what conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace?
- Multidimensionality of religion: What particular religious factors (group identities, religious ideas, religious organizations) lead to violence or peace?
- Non-religious context: What non-religious factors impact violence and peace independently from or in conjunction with religion?

Contribution to International Research
The project on religion and conflict seeks to fill a gap in peace and conflict studies. While many theoretical arguments can be made regarding the link between religion and conflict or peace (e.g. Appleby, Toft), comprehensive empirical studies are scarce and quantitative analyses are generally based only on demographic data. Many case studies exist, but these are hardly comparable given the different research questions and theoretical and methodological approaches. Among the few comprehensive studies to date is a research project on religion and conflict in Africa which was conducted at GIGA (and funded by the German Foundation of Peace Research) and upon which this project can build.

Research Design and Methods
The project uses a variety of methodologies and thus entails a pronounced multi-method approach:
- A qualitative small N-comparison of several country cases in Africa, Asia, the Middle East and Latin America (Algeria, Niger, Nigeria, South Sudan, El Salvador, Philippines, Indonesia)
- Local studies of so-called “hot spots” within the country cases, that are designed to shed light on the micro-level
- A large N comparison of almost all countries in the four regions that builds on a comprehensive database of 115 developing countries (which is an extension of an Africa database).

Preliminary Results
The project has already yielded many results, which can be summarized as follows: Preliminary analysis of the database on developing countries confirms the assumption that religious factors beyond religious demographics impact the risk of armed conflict. These factors include the overlap of religion with ethnic and regional identities as well horizontal inequalities between religious groups. Discourse also plays a role as, for instance, incitement to violence and grievances over perceived discrimination increase the conflict risk in some circumstances.

Another important finding is that results differ according to the type of conflict and the role of religion therein. If warring factions have different religious affiliations, the aforementioned overlaps become more important. When an incompatibility over religious ideas is part of the conflict, discourse becomes more important. Interestingly, the research has found little evidence of a proactive impact of religious factors on peace. While the database (as well as the preliminary findings from the country case studies) reveals many instances of peace activism and interreligious dialogue on the part of religious actors, regression results do not indicate that these efforts have substantially reduced conflict risk.

Project Goals
- To identify the conditions under which several religious factors lead to violence or peace.

Theoretical Approaches
- Rationalist approaches to the study of civil war
- Social psychology of intergroup dynamics (e.g. social identity theory)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational, National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Focus Group Discussions, Databases (creation of a new database on religion and conflict in 115 developing countries)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis

Cooperation
- Religion and Conflict Network (Netzwerk Religion und Konflikt)

Partners
- Dr. Jonathan Fox, Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel

Teaching
- “Glaubenskonflikte und Konflikte im Subsahara (Religion and Konflikt)”, University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)
- Religion and Konflikt (Religion and Conflict), University of Hamburg, Two-Day Seminar, January 2010, January 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)
- “Religion and Conflict (Religion und Konflikt)”, University of Hamburg, Senior Seminar 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)

Publications

Funding
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development) (until February 2013): approved
- Deutsche Stiftung Friedensforschung (DSF) (German Foundation for Peace Research) (until May 2011): approved

Duration
2008–2013
The Egyptian Salafist Movement from 1971 to 2012: Ideational Developments and the Genesis of the Salafist Political Trend

Henner Fürtig, Annette Ranko, Nikolai Röhl

Project Description

Research Questions
- Which ideational developments has the Egyptian Salafist movement demonstrated over the period from 1971 until 2012?
- Which internal ideational dynamics have shaped its development?
- How has the political arm within the Salafist movement emerged and developed? How has it been articulated vis-à-vis the movement’s mainstream, apolitical arm?
- How have the Salafist movement’s ideational developments unfolded?
- What impact have other Egyptian Islamist (but non-Salafist) actors had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?
- What influence have transnational Salafist debates and thinkers had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?

Contribution to International Research
So far research on Islamist movements has focused either on terrorist groups or on those groups – mostly affiliated with the Muslim Brotherhood – that have long participated in formal political processes. The Salafist movement – which is marked by a mainstream apolitical (quietist) approach that rejects participation in formal politics and instead focuses on spreading the “correct” Islamic way of life through missionary work – has long been deemed less relevant politically than terrorist or Muslim Brotherhood groups. Thus, research on the Salafist movement has been scarce. Furthermore, the movement has often been viewed in a simplistic manner and reduced to its apolitical mainstream. Important internal developments such as the development of a political strand that explicitly promotes participation in formal politics have often been overlooked, even though this political strand has now developed into a tangible empirical phenomenon. In Bahrain and Kuwait, for example, Salafist groups entered parliament several years ago.

The research project seeks to fill this research gap and focuses specifically on the Salafist movement in Egypt, where several Salafist parties have been founded since Mubarak’s fall in 2011. These parties have quickly risen to become the second-strongest force in the Egyptian parliament. In the parliamentary elections of 2011/12, they won 24 per cent of the seats; they were second only to the Muslim Brotherhood, which won roughly 45 per cent of the seats. The entrance of Egyptian Salafists into electoral politics might mark the beginning of a new era within the transnational Salafist movement. This movement has long been dominated by Saudi influences, but we might now see Egypt emerge as its new intellectual centre.

Research Design and Methods
The project will use summarizing qualitative content analysis as its primary research method. It will analyse the most important programmatic writings, sermons and fatwas of Salafist leaders from 1971 to 2012 in order to discern the different ideational trends, their development and their articulation in relation to each other. In order to analyse these developments, the project will utilize social movement theory approaches that integrate process tracing.
New Power-Sharing Arrangements in Multi-Ethnic Arab States

Henner Fürtig, Stephan Rosiny

- To compare the conflicts in four divided Arab societies (Bahrain, Lebanon, Iraq, and Syria).
- To investigate the discussions on and options for power-sharing arrangements in the four countries.

The theoretical approaches include:
- Peace and conflict research on ethnically and confessionally divided societies
- Power-sharing theories

Research design and methods:
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Data Collection: Interviews, Observation, Documents (constitutions, specific laws (e.g. election laws, minority-rights laws), party programmes, official statements)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, Hermeneutic Analysis

Cooperation partners:
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation): approved

Teaching:
- "Historical Roots of the Arab Spring", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (H. Fürtig)
- "Realigning Power Geometries in the Arab World" Conference, Leipzig, 24-26 February 2012 (S. Rosiny)
- "Power-Sharing in Fragmented Societies. Lessons from Lebanon and Iraq for the "Arab Spring" in Syria and Bahrain", presentation at the conference "The Arab Uprising one Year Later: Examining the Possibilities and Risks", Middle East Institute, Singapore, 24-25 May 2012 (S. Rosiny)
- "Nach der Wahl in Ägypten: Wird aus dem "arabischen" ein "islamischer" Frühling?" (After the Elections in Egypt: Will the "Arab Spring" become the "Islamic Spring"?), GIGA Gespräch, GIGA, Berlin, 19 January 2012 (S. Rosiny, H. Fürtig)

Funding:
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation): approved

Duration: 2012–2014

Research questions:
- The research project stems from the observation that some of the "Arab Spring" uprisings, namely, those in Bahrain and Syria, slipped into bloody crackdowns and/or civil wars. In other countries, such as Lebanon and Iraq, there were hardly any protests. In all four countries we find multiple ethnic-sectarian divisions within society. Yet, whereas Lebanon and Iraq have implied power-sharing arrangements, the other two (Bahrain and Syria) are mainly mono-ethnic autocracies.

The research questions are as follows:
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Contribution to international research:
- While quite a lot of research has been carried out on the two existing power-sharing arrangements in Lebanon and Iraq, the ongoing debates on their reform or even their abolishment in these two countries as well as the possibility of transferring such power-sharing arrangements to Syria and Bahrain have not yet been investigated. The current project is innovative in this respect. Further innovative elements include the project's comparative design and its aim of investigating future options for such reforms and arrangements for all four countries.

Research design and methods:
- In several steps, the project investigates the history and current situation of these divided societies, as well as their political structures. It also analyses the existing constitutions and laws, as well as the reforms and alternatives under debate, primarily in comparison to the consociationalist (Lijphart, Lehmbruch) and the centripetalist approach (Horowitz).

Preliminary results:
- In an initial workshop, participants identified several similar or comparable experiences between the cases under investigation. They also focused on the dynamics between the four countries and how the positive and negative experiences of each country influence the power-sharing debate in the subregion.
Shared Histories and Contemporary Interactions between Iran and Venezuela

Henner Fürtig, Leslie Wehner, Oliver Borszik

Project: To study the shared histories of Iran and Venezuela as well as the contemporary cross-regional interactions between Venezuela’s Bolivarian revolution and Islamic revolutionary projects.

Goals: To determine the historical factors and actors that are driving these interactions and to assess the consequences and prospects of this process.

Theoretical Approaches:
- Global history – historische Kreise
- Comparative history
- Foreign policy analysis
- International and diplomatic history

Research Design:
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis

Methods:
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis

Cooperation Partners:
- Faculty of World Studies, University of Tehran, Iran
- Middle East Program, University of Durham, UK
- EU Institute for Security Studies (EUISS), France
- Institute of International Studies, Universidad Central de Venezuela
- Department of Social Sciences / International Relations, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Venezuela

Teaching:
- “Competing Models of Nation-Building in Iran, 1905–1979”, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (H. Fürtig)

Workshops/Conferences:

Knowledge Transfer:
- “Arab Spring” in the Gulf Region: Is Iran affected? Federal Academy for Security Politics (B Koblenz), Berlin, 26 April 2012

Publications:

Funding:
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration: 2013–2014
Institutions for Sustainable Peace, Comparing Institutional Options for Divided Societies and Post-Conflict Countries

>> Matthias Basedau, Sabine Kurtenbach, Andreas Mehler, Nadine Ansorg, Julia Strasheim, Felix Haaß

Project Description

Research Questions

- How can institutional engineering be effective and successful in post-conflict and, in particular, divided societies?
- Which institutions and which combination of institutions reduce the potential for violent conflicts and other types of violence?
- How do specific factors such as the character of divisions or the traumatic experience of violence impact the prospects for successful institutional engineering?

The project connects to the debates on institutional engineering (e.g. Lijphart, Horowitz, Reynolds) and systematically analyses the effects and success of different institutional designs. Research on institutional conditions for and determinants of peace is often geographically and – due to a focus on the field of post-conflict studies – thematically fragmented. The present project aims to overcome this fragmentation by initiating cooperation between several research institutions, with GIGA as the main partner.

The project studies the success of particular institutional designs (decentralization or federal systems, particular election systems, regulation of party systems, power-sharing) and undertakes integrative analyses of interactions among the entire set of institutions that influence the potential for violence. The project furthermore identifies how societal divisions and/or post-conflict situations affect the prospects of particular institutional options: specific economic, cultural, political and historical contexts are included in the analysis of institutions. Non-institutional factors such as ethnicity, religion or resources are also considered.

Using a comparative area studies approach, the project carries out a comparative investigation of those societies that are studied that display a specific risk of conflict escalation, such as post-conflict societies and “divided societies” (societies divided along ethnic, religious or other social lines). The project brings international experts from this field of research together in one collaborative project. Individual findings are exchanged in order to systematically correlate them within a new research approach. Research agendas are discussed and developed at conferences and workshops, then carried out in concrete research and publication projects. The networking process is institutionalized by an academic exchange programme and a substantive “research unit” at the GIGA. A further element of the project is the “Institutions for Sustainable Peace” database, which systematically links different findings from within the field and fills research gaps by including the complex set of institutional choices available as well as the exact character of divisions and conflict risks.

Core Network Partners: Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), School of Oriental and African Studies (London), University of Uppsala (Department of Peace and Conflict Studies), The Graduate Institute Geneva (Centre on Conflict, Development and Peace-Building).

Workshops, Conferences, Teaching

- “Harmony or Cacophony? The concert of institutions” in divided societies”, Second ISP Network Conference, Oslo, 13-14 June 2013
- “Peace through Institutions: What works under which conditions?”, GIGA International Conference, Hamburg, 13-14 April 2011
- “How Institutions Shape the Chances for Sustainable Peace.” One-day workshop with international diplomats from the Foreign Ministry’s “International Futures” programme, Hamburg, 17 August 2012
- “Institutions for Sustainable Peace – Prospects for Divided Societies?” Presentation at the GIGA Consulting Group, Hamburg, 4 July 2012 (N. Ansorg)

Publications


Funding

- “Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, SAW-Verfahren (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation): approved

Duration

2012-2015
Civil War and the Social Contract – State Services, Political Trust, and Political Violence

Alexander De Juan

Research Questions:
- Does the inadequate or inequitable delivery of basic services affect the risk of political violence?
- Does the effective and equitable delivery of basic services affect levels of political trust?
- How should external/international support for service provision be designed in order to contribute to improvements in trust levels?

Contribution to International Research:
Debates on post-conflict state-building and conflict recurrence have largely been detached from research on political trust. The role of legitimacy and political trust is emphasized in peace and conflict studies; however, it is not adequately conceptualized and is seldom analysed empirically. Similarly, few studies on political trust explicitly consider how intra-state conflict might affect such trust. The research project aims to bring both areas of research together and to analyse the determinants of political trust in post-war societies by focusing on the role of basic service delivery.

Research Design and Methods:
The project will combine quantitative and qualitative research methods. The first pillar will consist of an opinion survey to be conducted in the southern region of South Sudan (case selection contingent on local security situation). Approximately 1,200 people will be interviewed in the Greater Equatoria region. Quantitative and semi-quantitative research at the subnational level will analyse the role of varying degrees of basic service delivery in the occurrence of violence. Using household survey data, the project will measure the availability of basic services in subnational administrative units. The spatial distribution of violence will be measured using geocoded event data. The project will assess the impact of effective service delivery on two kinds of political violence: violent events in civil wars and more decentralized civil violence in post-war situations (riots, demonstrations, protests).

Quantitative comparisons at the subnational level will constitute the project’s third pillar. In addition to the quantitative techniques applied in the aforementioned components, in-depth comparative analysis will take place in selected subnational regions of at least two other countries. Through focus group discussions and semi-structured interviews, the researchers will cross-check the correlations found in the quantitative analyses.
The Unintended Consequences of Conflict Management

>> Sandra Destradi, Johannes Vüllers

Research Questions
- Under what conditions do conflict management activities impact the internal structures and dynamics of conflict parties in civil wars?
- How do these changes within the conflict parties affect the peace process?

Contribution to International Research
Most studies on different forms of conflict management focus on the preconditions for success of these third party–promoted initiatives. An aspect that has been surprisingly understudied, however, is the consequences of these conflict management efforts for the conflict parties themselves. While some studies address the problem of the unintended consequences of conflict management efforts in single cases, a normative bias, based on the assumption that trying to promote peace is, per se, something positive, still prevails in the literature. In the field of mediation, for example, the mere establishment of a dialogue between the conflict parties is usually seen as an achievement, while no critical consideration is paid to the potential negative implications this dialogue might have. Only recently have some studies addressed the impact of conflict management on the conflict parties in a systematic way, for example, by highlighting that mediation can contribute to the splintering of rebel groups (Olson Lounsbery/Cook 2011). Our own pilot project, sponsored by the German Foundation for Peace Research, has shown that mediation failure contributed to the escalation of the civil war in Sri Lanka since it led to a strengthening of hardliners within the government and to a shift to less negotiable strategic goals on the part of both conflict parties.

Building upon these findings, in this project we aim to identify the impact of different types of conflict management activities on the internal dynamics and structures of conflict parties in civil wars. Since shifts in the strength of hardliners and moderates as well as changes in the strategic goals of the conflict parties can be expected to have an impact on conflict dynamics, this question is of utmost relevance to the field of peace and conflict studies.

Research Design and Methods
To address the research question, we carry out a small-N comparison of selected cases of conflict management in civil wars. In order to identify the impact the conflict management activities have had on the conflict parties, in-depth qualitative analysis based on interviews and on a frame analysis of selected documents is required. The researchers will employ the process-tracing method in order to reconstruct the causal chain of events through which shifts within the conflict parties impacted conflict dynamics and the level of violence.
Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace

Andreas Mehler, Martin Ottmann, Johannes Vüllers

Project
- To advance the academic debate on post-conflict power-sharing by analysing the impact of power-sharing institutions that concern the “inner core” of political, military, economic and territorial power on the recurrence of armed conflict.
- To provide practical advice to the policy community in Germany and beyond. As power-sharing has “taken a firm place in the current toolbox for peace-making and peace-building”, there is a great practical need to improve our knowledge concerning the substance and prospects of power-sharing tools.

Theoretical Approaches
- Consociational power-sharing theory
- Bargaining theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Time Series
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: State and rebel groups, institutions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (cross-sectional data, event history data)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Event history analysis

Workshops/Conferences
- “To Engineer or Not to Engineer, That is the Question. When Does Constitutional Engineering in Divided Societies Occur?”, presentation at the ISA Annual Convention, San Diego, 1 April 2012 (A. Mehler)

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Research Questions
- While the majority of civil wars during the Cold War culminated in the military victory of one conflict party, the 1990s saw the rise of negotiated solutions to internal strife. Provisions for power-sharing between former adversaries figure prominently in almost all of these settlements, and consequently underlie both the constitutional arrangements in many recent peace agreements and political settlements in countries that have not experienced full-blown civil war. The problem with post-conflict power-sharing is that it has a very mixed track record. While there are some examples of apparent success, there are also many cases of outright failure – as well as some in-between cases where power-sharing remains fragile but nonetheless offers grounds for (cautious) optimism.

These differences give rise to a number of research questions:
- Are specific post-conflict power-sharing institutions associated with lasting peace?
- What are the institutional prerequisites for successful post-conflict power-sharing? Which powers need to be shared and to what extent? And which actors need to be included?
- Which contextual factors are important in explaining the resolution of violent conflict? And how do these contextual factors interact with the effects of power-sharing institutions?

Contribution to International Research
Controversy has surrounded the impact of power-sharing on post-conflict peace for almost forty years. Theoretically, consociationalism has been challenged by the so-called “integrative approach” to power-sharing (Kriesi 1985; Sisk 1996; Bogaards 2003; Wimmer 2003). While the former addresses the problem of post-conflict peace by proposing strategies to make democracy work in plural societies, the latter explores how power-sharing institutions might best be designed to stabilize the transition to lasting peace.

The present project undertakes a detailed and disaggregated analysis of the different mechanisms and institutions of power-sharing to assess both approaches. The project also addresses a limitation of the existing empirical studies on post-conflict power-sharing. So far, there is still surprisingly little systematic empirical evidence on the prospects of post-conflict power-sharing. Most large-N studies have confined themselves to analysing the effects of power-sharing promises on the duration of peace and have disregarded the actual implementation of these promises (Kriesi & Hoddie 2003, 2007; Muherenchi 2006; Pearson et al. 2006; Derouen et al. 2009). The qualitative literature on the other hand primarily focuses on the in-depth study of single cases and fails to systematically compare the successes and failures of post-conflict power-sharing. The present project attempts to address these shortcomings by analysing both the promises of and the implementation of power-sharing agreements using quantitative and qualitative methods.

Research Design and Methods
To allow for generalization and particularization, the study employs a mixed-method research design that combines econometric techniques with qualitative case studies. It will begin with a statistical study covering all instances of post-conflict power-sharing since the end of the Cold War (1989–2011). Using event history analysis, this statistical study will explore the impact of promises of power-sharing, as well as their eventual implementation, on civil war recurrence. The necessary data for this analysis will be taken from a newly constructed database on power-sharing institutions in post-conflict countries. The project’s researchers will then conduct comparative case studies of post-conflict power-sharing in four selected countries to explore in more detail the causal mechanisms linking power-sharing institutions and peace. The final selection of cases for this qualitative part will be informed by the statistical results.
The Local Arenas of Power-Sharing, Patterns of Adaptation or Continued Disorder?

Andreas Mehler, Franzisca Zanker

Project Description

Research Questions
- To explore the complex dynamics of power-sharing and peace-building in countries affected by violent conflict.
- To deepen our understanding of post-conflict peace-building through power-sharing-related institutional reform.
- To consider the conditions under which the effective translation and local adaptation of top-down institutional reforms occur.
- To investigate the extent to which power-sharing agreements are implemented at the local level and the implications for national peace processes.

Theoretical Approaches
- Conceptualization: Power-sharing as a process of institutional reform and adaptation.
- Methodological: Content analysis, process tracing, case studies.

Research Design
- Site: Case studies in Burundi, DRC, Kenya, and Liberia.
- Data Collection: Interviews, focus group discussions, documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports).
- Methods: Content analysis, process tracing.
- Hypotheses: Testing the translation and local adaptation of power-sharing agreements.

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Denis Tull, Claudia Simons, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- "Contested Peace Processes in Local and National Arenas" Panel, VAD Conference, Cologne, 30 May–2 June 2012 (A. Mehler, D. Tull)

Publications

Funding

Duration
2011–2014
**Ineffective Sanctions? External Sanctions and the Persistence of Autocratic Regimes**

>> Christian von Soest, Julia Grauvogel, Matthias Basedau

---

**Project Description**

**Research Questions**
- What exactly does external pressure look like – that is, which actor is applying which form of pressure with which intensity?
- What factors account for the long-term stability of autocratic regimes in the face of external sanctions?
- Which structural power resources (e.g. repression, rents, legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they use them in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes and rulers pursue, nationally and internationally?

Researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of sanctions on the stability and repression of autocratic regimes. In response, this research project comparatively analyses the reactions of autocratic regimes to external pressure. Building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the project identifies the potential effects of sanctions and the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable in the face of such external sanctions. Of particular interest are autocratic regimes’ international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions: How do sanctions affect their use of repression, their legitimacy and their ability to safeguard their rule? To what extent does external pressure impel the maintenance of power?

**Research Design and Methods**

The project combines several research methods. Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) serves to establish the different combinations of sanctioned autocratic regimes’ characteristics and to select country case studies for further in-depth analysis. These case studies are then analysed comparatively. In addition, two data sets will be created. One will provide information on the different sanctions implemented by the UN, the US and the EU, and the other will focus on the legitimacy of autocratic regimes. The data sets will also allow for quantitative-statistical analyses of the effects of sanctions.

**Preliminary Results**

The first results of the fsQCA study point to (1) the importance of legitimation in almost all configurations; (2) the relevance of the interplay between internal regime characteristics and sanctions; (3) the varying effects of certain conditions, for instance, hard repression, as a result of their specific interactions with other conditions; (4) the principally different factors that account for autocratic persistence and democraticization (“causal asymmetry”); and (5) the different causal pathways, which will be used to select the further in-depth case studies.
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Research Questions
- This project analyses organized crime from the perspective of the state and its institutions. Rather than considering organized crime as something external to the state, the project understands it as part of the state-formation process. The relationship between the state and organized crime is seen as one where the protection of political authority is fundamental to the actions of criminal organizations. Thus, the link between politics and criminal organizations is defined as a system of perdurable sociopolitical relations in which cooperative and clientelistic interactions between public officials and criminals are established for profit and in which violence is used as the ultimate means to ensure the stability of the political–criminal nexus. Organized crime needs and strives for stable relations with public officials and state institutions to sustain criminal activities rather than attempting to bend the state to its needs by using force against it. The project’s research focuses on political systems as the field in which this political–criminal nexus is sustained and reinforced. It examines two political dimensions: political parties and local authorities such as municipalities. Which institutional patterns create the conditions for the emergence and continuity of or, conversely, the elimination of a political-criminal nexus?

Contribution to International Research
- Organized crime is currently considered to be one of the main threats to governance, at both the national and the transnational level. However, the relationship between politics and criminal organizations remains under-researched. Most of the existing analysis lacks long-term perspectives and empirical data. This research aims to address these shortcomings by bringing a historically and theoretically grounded perspective to the study of organized crime.

Research Design and Methods
- The project uses qualitative historical methods to analyse the trajectories of political parties and decentralization processes. A process-tracing design seeks to identify the path-dependent processes that have reinforced institutional patterns in the political systems under investigation. The researcher will undertake a comparative historical analysis of the three selected cases, all of which exhibit similarities in political context and political processes – a long tradition of patrimonial politics, internal conflicts, and peace and democratization processes – yet demonstrate different outcomes in terms of the scope of the political–criminal nexus. This historical comparative analysis will seek to identify differences in the three political systems’ trajectories that could explain why some of the countries have developed a strong political–criminal nexus and others have not.

Project Description

- Project
  - To study the institutional and political trajectories of the three countries’ political systems in order to determine why some countries develop a strong political-criminal nexus and others do not.

- Theoretical Approaches
  - Historical institutionalism

- Research Design
  - Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
  - Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
  - Spatial Dimension: Local, National
  - Level of Analysis: Countries
  - Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

- Methods
  - Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (historical archives, closed judicial processes)
  - Data Analysis: Process Tracing

- Cooperation
  - Centre for Development and the Environment (SUM-UoO), Norway
  - Universidad Nacional de Colombia (IEPRI), Bogotá, Colombia
  - Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Superiores en Antropología Social (CIESAS), Tlalpan, Mexico

- Workshops/Conferences
  - “The Institutional Patterns of Organized Crime in Central America”, presentation at the 54 International Congress of Americanists, Vienna, Austria, 15–20 July 2012

- Publications

- Funding
  - Norwegian Research Council: under review

- Duration
  - 2013–2014

Otto Argueta

Political–Criminal Nexus in Central America: Organized Crime and Politics in Guatemala, El Salvador and Nicaragua
Public Security and the Transition to Democracy

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Hanspeter Mattes, Annegret Mähler

Project: Public Security and the Transition to Democracy

**Project**
- To determine which contextual factors in political transformation processes cause public security problems.
- To identify the implications of public security problems for political transformation processes.
- To assess whether the political transitions in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa (Project 1: Kurtenbach/Mähler) can provide lessons learned for the North African countries of the "Arab Spring" (Project 2: Mattes).

**Theoretical Approaches**
- Peace and conflict studies, particularly civil-military relations
- Data Collection: Documents, official white papers, statements from armed groups, debates in parliament and media
- Databases (Polity IV, Freedom House, Bertelsmann Transformation Index, UCDP, PTS, UNODC Homicide Data)

**Methods**
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing, QCA
- To assess whether the political transitions in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa (Project 1: Kurtenbach/Mähler) can provide lessons learned for the North African countries of the "Arab Spring" (Project 2: Mattes).
- To identify the implications of public security problems for political transformation processes.
- To determine which contextual factors in political transformation processes cause public security problems.

**Workshops/Conferences**
- "Public Security and the Transition to Democracy", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/2013 (S. Kurtenbach)
- VolkswagenStiftung (VolkswagenFoundation): in preparation

**Research Questions**
- Which actors are responsible for the production of security or insecurity during transition periods, when old institutions are being dismantled and new ones are not (yet) functioning (e.g. state actors, non-state armed actors, criminal actors)?
- Are there general patterns identifiable in the relationship between public security problems and transformation processes?
- Are there general patterns identifiable in the relationship between public security problems and transformation processes?

**Funding**
- VolkswagenStiftung (VolkswagenFoundation): in preparation

**Duration**
- 2013-2015
Youth in Post-War Societies – Pathways Out of Violence

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Janina Pawelz

Project Goals
- To analyse how young people can transition into adulthood without using violence in the high-risk contexts of four post-war societies (Nicaragua, Peru, Nepal, Sri Lanka).
- To generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth), something which is highly relevant for future societal development.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories on youth violence
- Concepts on youth civic engagement

Research Design and Methods
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organizations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Data Collection
- Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (government and civil society documents on youth)
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing

Teachings
- "Jugend und Gewalt (Youth and Violence)“, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/2012 (S. Kurtenbach)
- "Jugendliche in gewaltsamen Lebenswelten (Youths in Violent Lifeworlds)", Ringsvorlesung Friedensbildung, University of Hamburg, 2011 and 2012

Project Description
- To analyse how young people can transition into adulthood without using violence in the high-risk contexts of four post-war societies (Nicaragua, Peru, Nepal, Sri Lanka).
- To generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth), something which is highly relevant for future societal development.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories on youth violence
- Concepts on youth civic engagement

Research Design and Methods
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organizations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Data Collection
- Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (government and civil society documents on youth)
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing

Publications

Funding
- Jacobs Foundation: under review

Duration
- 2013–2015
Articles in Refereed Journals


Contributions to Edited Volumes

- Peetz, Peter (2012), Maras, Medien, Militär: Gesellschaftlicher Diskurs und staatliche Politik gegenüber Jugendbanden in Honduras (Maras, Media, Military: Societal Discourse and State Policy on Youth Gangs in Honduras), Berlin and Münster: Lit Verlag.

Monographs and Edited Volumes

Entrepreneurial Chinese Migrants and Petty African Entrepreneurs: Local Impacts of Interaction in Urban West Africa (Ghana and Senegal)

>> Karsten Giese, Laurence Marfaing, Alona Thiel

**Research Questions**

- Where do Chinese migrant entrepreneurs come from and why do they migrate?
- Where do they settle, and how do they organize their economic and social activities?
- How are they perceived by local and migrant African entrepreneurs in their host country?
- Does this perception correspond to the discourse of cooperation propagated by the Chinese and African governments?
- How does the Chinese presence influence the development of African host societies?

This comparative study on the Chinese migration into two West African countries explores the economic and political processes triggered by the Chinese migration. It analyses the interactions of the local population with the Chinese migrant entrepreneurs as well as the former’s innovative reactions towards the strategies and practices of the latter. The combination of the different regional research capacities at the GIGA within one research team allows us to address this multidimensional research problem with adequate regional and multidisciplinary competences and research strategies.

Our research field is characterized by high degrees of informality, especially regarding migratory paths, residence status, economic activities, social organization and the political action of all actors involved. In view of this, existing quantitative data on the micro- and meso-levels could not be taken as a reliable basis for our analyses. Moreover, the economical interests that characterize our field had the effect of reduced acceptance on the part of our informants of standardized instruments such as questionnaires. For these reasons, our research concentrates on coordinated qualitative comparative case studies within and across Ghana and Senegal in order to produce reliable research findings. In accordance with our research questions, qualitative data collection was conducted on a micro-level, drawing on the method of actor-centred participant observation and its adaptations in narrative interviews. Additional semi-structured interviews were conducted to ensure comparability across cases. In addition, visual ethnographic methods and tools were applied (photo essays, network-mapping) as a basis for joint interpretation in the overarching research context.

We had assumed that networks formed the dominant model of social organization for both the African and the Chinese actors and groups we studied, and that networks were the key factors to understanding the interaction between these two groups. In the field, however, we were unable to establish any empirical evidence that the Chinese individual economic sociostructure (or small groups forming family-owned businesses), whose business models tend to be highly speculative, are engaging in any form of meaningful social and economic interaction with their African counterparts beyond primarily functional and opportunistic buyer-seller or employer-employee relationships. African traders also did not reveal any stronger motivation to open their networks to their Chinese counterparts, which we attribute to the fact that our observations, we conclude that a wide range of African actors engages in innovative practices not through social exchange and mutually beneficial cooperation with the Chinese newcomers but by creatively appropriating the unintended opportunities that Chinese actors provide in the local African settings through their distinct social and economic practices.

However, the significations that are inscribed into the diverse Chinese social and economic practices and the stimuli they represent are contested between diverse African actors, since the economic positioning, their interests and interpretations, and their capacities for adaptation differ greatly. The Chinese business strategy of large-scale wholesale trading in combination with the low cost of the commodities they sell has facilitated the engagement of larger social strata with limited financial means in trading activities. These changes in market access, not least, have had a profound impact on Senegalese and Ghanaian market orders. Not surprisingly, many of the new traders whose access to this profession has directly benefited from the Chinese presence also closely observe the latter’s business strategies. All interviewed Chinese traders, for instance, unanimously employed the logic of high turnover at low profit rates, aspiring to maximize incomes through sheer volume. Once the African traders have realized that trading in Chinese goods provides solid opportunities for capital accumulation and growth, they have turned their gaze toward China as source for their commodities.

**Contribution to International Research**

This comparative study on the Chinese migration into two West African countries explores the economic and political processes triggered by the Chinese migration. It analyses the interactions of the local population with the Chinese migrant entrepreneurs as well as the former’s innovative reactions towards the strategies and practices of the latter. The combination of the different regional research capacities at the GIGA within one research team allows us to address this multidimensional research problem with adequate regional and multidisciplinary competences and research strategies.

Our research field is characterized by high degrees of informality, especially regarding migratory paths, residence status, economic activities, social organization and the political action of all actors involved. In view of this, existing quantitative data on the micro- and meso-levels could not be taken as a reliable basis for our analyses. Moreover, the economical interests that characterize our field had the effect of reduced acceptance on the part of our informants of standardized instruments such as questionnaires. For these reasons, our research concentrates on coordinated qualitative comparative case studies within and across Ghana and Senegal in order to produce reliable research findings. In accordance with our research questions, qualitative data collection was conducted on a micro-level, drawing on the method of actor-centred participant observation and its adaptations in narrative interviews. Additional semi-structured interviews were conducted to ensure comparability across cases. In addition, visual ethnographic methods and tools were applied (photo essays, network-mapping) as a basis for joint interpretation in the overarching research context.

We had assumed that networks formed the dominant model of social organization for both the African and the Chinese actors and groups we studied, and that networks were the key factors to understanding the interaction between these two groups. In the field, however, we were unable to establish any empirical evidence that the Chinese individual economic sociostructure (or small groups forming family-owned businesses), whose business models tend to be highly speculative, are engaging in any form of meaningful social and economic interaction with their African counterparts beyond primarily functional and opportunistic buyer-seller or employer-employee relationships. African traders also did not reveal any stronger motivation to open their networks to their Chinese counterparts, which we attribute to the fact that our observations, we conclude that a wide range of African actors engages in innovative practices not through social exchange and mutually beneficial cooperation with the Chinese newcomers but by creatively appropriating the unintended opportunities that Chinese actors provide in the local African settings through their distinct social and economic practices.

However, the significations that are inscribed into the diverse Chinese social and economic practices and the stimuli they represent are contested between diverse African actors, since the economic positioning, their interests and interpretations, and their capacities for adaptation differ greatly. The Chinese business strategy of large-scale wholesale trading in combination with the low cost of the commodities they sell has facilitated the engagement of larger social strata with limited financial means in trading activities. These changes in market access, not least, have had a profound impact on Senegalese and Ghanaian market orders. Not surprisingly, many of the new traders whose access to this profession has directly benefited from the Chinese presence also closely observe the latter’s business strategies. All interviewed Chinese traders, for instance, unanimously employed the logic of high turnover at low profit rates, aspiring to maximize incomes through sheer volume. Once the African traders have realized that trading in Chinese goods provides solid opportunities for capital accumulation and growth, they have turned their gaze toward China as source for their commodities.

**Contributions to Theoretical Approaches**

- The socio-economic networks of petty African entrepreneurs and the Chinese migrant entrepreneurs have developed in a context of growing globalization and liberalization of local markets. The Chinese business strategy of large-scale wholesale trading in combination with the low cost of the commodities they sell has led to an engagement of larger social strata who previously did not have the financial means to establish their own networks at market entry.
- The Chinese presence in West African markets has been met with different perceptions among African entrepreneurs. Some have realized that trading in Chinese goods provides solid opportunities for capital accumulation and growth, while others have been deterred by the perceived lower quality of Chinese products and services.
- The interactions between Chinese and local entrepreneurs in urban Ghana and Senegal have led to innovative practices, such as the creation of mixed networks and the exchange of resources and knowledge. These practices have contributed to the development of new economic strategies and the adaptation of existing ones.
- The study of these interactions has shed light on the social and economic dynamics that underpin the adaptation of strategies by both groups. It has also highlighted the importance of understanding the local context and the multiple factors that influence these processes.

**Research Design and Methods**

- The research design focuses on coordinated qualitative comparative case studies within and across Ghana and Senegal. The study is based on fieldwork conducted by the researchers, interviews with local and migrant entrepreneurs, and analysis of secondary data from government sources, media reports, and academic publications.
- The research is structured around three main phases: the first phase focused on the initial fieldwork and data collection in 2011; the second phase involved in-depth interviews and follow-up observations in 2012; and the third phase concluded with a final report and dissemination in 2013.

**Workshops/Conferences**

- "Entrepreneurial Chinese Migrants and Petty African Entrepreneurs: Local Impacts of Interaction in Urban West Africa (Ghana and Senegal)" at the Centre de Ressources en Education et Technologie (CRETE), Dakar, 4-6 July 2012 (L. Marfaing)

**Publications**


**Knowledge Transfer**

- "Le misère entreprenante chinoise en Afrique: opportunité ou contrainte au développement", at the Centre de Ressources en Education et Technologie (CRETE), Dakar, 4-6 July 2012 (L. Marfaing)
- "Les entrepreneurs chinois en Afrique: opportunité ou contrainte au développement", at the Centre de Ressources en Education et Technologie (CRETE), Dakar, 4-6 July 2012 (L. Marfaing)

**Funding**

West African Traders as Translators between Chinese and African Urban Modernities

Karsten Giese, Laurence Marfaing, Alena Thiel

**Project Description**

**Research Questions**
- What transnational practices shape the encounters with and the experiences of urban Chinese modernity for various groups of West African traders?
- What are the markers of the personal experience of China left on African traders through their economic sojourns to the Chinese supply centres of global capitalism (material objects and/or abstract concepts alike)?
- In what ways are the African traders’ experiences and interpretations of China that are formed by various social actors and influenced by social formations (networks) and belief systems (religion) relevant to them?
- How do the traders individually select, interpret, translate and redefine “things Chinese” (ranging from material objects to abstract concepts, lifestyles, ideologies) within the context of their home societies by enacting their social capital as members of an imagined community?
- What is the role of the cosmopolitan avant-garde through economic activities (introduction of products), invention of practices (business and private strategies), acts of self-staging (fashion and lifestyle) and authoritative dissemination of “authentic information” in the translation process?
- In what way might the discursive processes of translation and creative appropriation impact negotiating social change and re-ordering (institutions, practices, social formations, policies) in urban West Africa in an era of accelerated and increasingly accessible economic globalization?

**Contributions to International Research**
The combination of the different regional research capacities at the GIGA within one research team allows us to address this multidimensional research problem with the adequate regional and multidisciplinary competences and research strategies. In the field we will closely cooperate with a number of individual partners from academic institutions in China, Ghana, Senegal and Europe who have been engaged in research closely related to our proposed study.

**Research Design and Methods**
In order to study the socio-economic practices of the West African transnational traders with regard to their specific experiences of urban Chinese modernity and to reconstruct the original Chinese significations of the translation products within the framework of multiple modernities that can be identified in Ghana and Senegal, intensive fieldwork will be conducted in Guangzhou, Yiwu and Hong Kong as the three most important destination cities for West African traders. We widen the original multi-sited ethnography approach by engaging in mobile (accompanying) ethnography, which we will achieve by joining our research subjects on their sojourns to China and back. We make use of a specific mix of qualitative methods based on a participatory approach including narrative and open interviewing. Biographical information will be included to a large extent. We will evaluate the observed processes and significations of translational products against the backdrop of a range of pre-structuring factors that lie within the social, economic and political orders and local power relations insofar as they can be regarded relevant. To that end, we will conduct additional desk research as well as semi-structured interviews with selected informants in key positions of society, as well as with state authorities and representatives from associations, lobby groups and other relevant institutions.

**Project Partners**
- University of Dakar and IFAN, Dakar
- University of Ghana, Legon
- Project partners within the Priority Programme 1448: “Adaption and Creativity in Africa”

**Data Collection**
- Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Observation/Ethnography, Visual Data, Databases
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Grounded Theory

**Methods**
- Comparative Design: Inter- and Interegional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Global
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Observation/Ethnography, Visual Data, Databases
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Grounded Theory

**Partners**
- Project partners within the Priority Programme 1448: “Adaption and Creativity in Africa”
- University of Dakar and IFAN, Dakar
- University of Ghana, Legon

**Workshops**
- Funding: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation), Priority Programme 1448 (second phase): approved
- Duration: 2013–2014

**Cooperation**
- Project partners within the Priority Programme 1448: “Adaption and Creativity in Africa”
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Observation/Ethnography, Visual Data, Databases
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Grounded Theory

**Methods**
- Comparative Design: Inter- and Interegional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Global
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis
Poverty and Inequality Dynamics and the Role of Social Policies

Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Miquel Pellicer

Research Questions
- How and to what extent do determinants of poverty dynamics differ between countries and regions, and under what circumstances can general mechanisms be identified?
- What determines differences of educational quality?
- What is the relationship between education, employment and poverty dynamics?
- How is (chronic) poverty publicly perceived and how do poor people themselves experience poverty?
- How do risk and strategies applied to deal with these risks relate back to observed poverty dynamics?

Contribution to International Research
Research on diverging welfare trajectories on a micro-level stems from the macro-economic literature on poverty dynamics. However, regional differences, the consequences of risks, and asset-based poverty traps on the micro-level have not been sufficiently captured empirically. In addition, development research has mainly been concerned with the direct effects of income on poverty. There is a lack of research on how and why the living standard of people sustainably improves, through employment and education; for instance, both of which can be paths to upward mobility as well as factors solidifying existing inequality. There is a need to understand how both education and employment contribute to or break the cycle of inequality persistence. The research on public opinions on poverty and its determinants as well as the subjective experience of being in poverty contributes to the international research on the determinants of (chronic) poverty and the perception of subjective well-being.

Research Design and Methods
Our research is based on both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitatively, we use specific micro-level surveys (household surveys, schooling surveys, etc.). In this area, particular attention is paid to proper identification of causal effects by means of the use of natural experiments. Qualitative methods include life and employment histories, focus group discussions, open-ended interviews, etc.

Preliminary Results
Research on the public perception of poverty confirms a consistent global belief that poverty is persistent. We show that interests, position, knowledge, and shared values relating to social justice are important factors that shape public attitudes to chronic poverty. Specific results for rural Mozambique, for example, show that households converge to one stable equilibrium in the medium term. However, this equilibrium is close to the poverty line, indicating that these households are collectively trapped in generalized underdevelopment. Risks (a drought) and household coping strategies help to explain the observed poverty dynamics. Food insecure households that have better access to income-generating opportunities and can afford to draw on unproductive assets are able to sustain their productive asset base in the short term. Preliminary results from education research in South Africa suggest that the country is caught in an inequality trap partly caused by the combination of skill scarcity and large skill premiums. These features, in turn, can be traced to skill distributions diverging over primary and secondary school, due to large differentials in school quality.

Project Description

Research on diverging welfare trajectories on a micro-level stems from the macro-economic literature on poverty dynamics. However, regional differences, the consequences of risks, and asset-based poverty traps on the micro-level have not been sufficiently captured empirically. In addition, development research has mainly been concerned with the direct effects of income on poverty. There is a lack of research on how and why the living standard of people sustainably improves, through employment and education; for instance, both of which can be paths to upward mobility as well as factors solidifying existing inequality. There is a need to understand how both education and employment contribute to or break the cycle of inequality persistence. The research on public opinions on poverty and its determinants as well as the subjective experience of being in poverty contributes to the international research on the determinants of (chronic) poverty and the perception of subjective well-being.

Research Design and Methods
Our research is based on both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitatively, we use specific micro-level surveys (household surveys, schooling surveys, etc.). In this area, particular attention is paid to proper identification of causal effects by means of the use of natural experiments. Qualitative methods include life and employment histories, focus group discussions, open-ended interviews, etc.

Preliminary Results
Research on the public perception of poverty confirms a consistent global belief that poverty is persistent. We show that interests, position, knowledge, and shared values relating to social justice are important factors that shape public attitudes to chronic poverty. Specific results for rural Mozambique, for example, show that households converge to one stable equilibrium in the medium term. However, this equilibrium is close to the poverty line, indicating that these households are collectively trapped in generalized underdevelopment. Risks (a drought) and household coping strategies help to explain the observed poverty dynamics. Food insecure households that have better access to income-generating opportunities and can afford to draw on unproductive assets are able to sustain their productive asset base in the short term. Preliminary results from education research in South Africa suggest that the country is caught in an inequality trap partly caused by the combination of skill scarcity and large skill premiums. These features, in turn, can be traced to skill distributions diverging over primary and secondary school, due to large differentials in school quality.
Micro- and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries: Opportunities and Constraints

Jann Lay, Jens Krüger, Sebastian Prediger

Project
- To understand the dynamics of micro- and small enterprises (MSEs).
- To examine the constraints to the growth of many MSEs in developing countries.
- To propose policy options to foster firm growth and employment generation.

Theoretical
- Micro-economic theories of the firm
- Trade theory

Approaches
- Models of household behaviour
- Comparative Design: Intregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudial Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Organizations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Databases (our own micro- and small-firm surveys, World Bank Enterprise Surveys)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Comparative Design: Intraregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Trade theory
- Micro-economic theories of the firm

Cooperation
- Prof. Holger Gög (Ph.D.), Kiel Institute for the World Economy
- Prof. Dr. Michael Grimm, University of Passau

Workshops/ Conferences

Publications

Funding
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved

Duration
2013–2015
Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard

>> Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Lena Giesbert, Sebastian Prediger, Sarah Linde, William Montelith

Project: To conduct a multidimensional investigation into the capabilities and constraints of informal entrepreneurs in Burkina Faso, Uganda and Sri Lanka.


Theoretical Approaches: The capability approach, theories on decision-making under uncertainty, multidimensional analysis of well-being.

Research Design: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study (on Burkina Faso), Local, Subnational.

Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organizations.

Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis.


Data Analysis: Regression Analysis, Network Analysis, Multivariate Methods, Content Analysis, Experiments.

Data Processing: Atlas.ti, STATA.

Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational.

Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study (on Burkina Faso).

Comparative Design: Inter- and Intraregional Comparison.

Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis.

Partners: KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank), Expertise pour le Développement du Sahel (EDS), Burkina Faso, Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda, Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka, International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands.

Workshops/Conferences: Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard Stakeholder Workshop: Focus on the MDGs and Indicators of “Decent Work” (planned for first half of 2013).

Publications: “Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard Stakeholder Workshop: Focus on the MDGs and Indicators of “Decent Work” (planned for first half of 2013).”

Funding: KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank): approved.


Research Questions:

Regarding the multidimensional relationship between employment, empowerment and living standard of poor individuals and households:

- To what extent is access to certain types of employment determined by certain capabilities?
- What functions and capabilities are created or restricted through employment and vice versa?
- What employment-related agency options do people have to and to what extent do people make autonomous decisions?
- What can we learn about the definition of “good” or “decent” work?

Regarding policies to enhance access to “good” or “decent” employment:

- What are the policy implications regarding the usefulness of the existing Millennium Development Goal (MDG) indicators?
- How can informal workers be specifically targeted and sustainably supported to enhance their chances of accessing “good” or “decent” employment?
- What are the main points of difference in the capabilities of local and non-local informal workers? What role do minority networks play?

The project makes a significant contribution to the contemporary academic and policy (MDG) debate on internationally comparable indicators of “decent work,” which also tackles considerable gaps in research on the constraints and capabilities of informal actors in the informal sector of the three target countries.

Finally, the project will provide valuable insights into the process of advancement of micro-enterprises into small and medium enterprises.

The project employs a mixed-methodology designed to capture participants’ experiences both qualitatively and quantitatively in the context of informal employment. A 600-N multidimensional survey has been designed to capture information on demographics, socio-economic networks and employment characteristics of entrepreneurs and their households across multiple sectors in each country. Time and risk experiments (160-N) provide information on participants’ willingness to take financial risks and make intertemporal choices. Focus group discussions (8 per country) are used to explore participants’ perceptions of “decent work” in the context of informal employment and similarities with and differences to the ILO definition. Finally, life history interviews (40 per country) are employed to provide a deeper understanding of participants’ experiences relating to employment, empowerment and well-being over the course of their lives.

Preliminary Results:

The project is in its infancy. Nevertheless, initial survey and focus group results from Burkina Faso support literacy claims on the diversity and dynamism of developing urban informal economies and the connection between empowerment and experiences in the context of employment, adding weight to the project’s methodology.
Research Questions

Both multinational Corporations (MNCs) from developing countries (South-South firms) and MNCs from industrialised countries (North-South firms) are important investors in developing countries. Generally spoken, foreign direct investment can lead to positive effects in the host country. This research project studies the productivity effects of South-South and North-South firms on domestic firms in several sub-Saharan African countries by investigating the following questions:

- What is the importance of North–South and South–South firms in terms of market shares (turnover, employees) in different industry sectors in the 12 sub-Saharan African countries?
- To what extent do size, productivity, sector specialization, and business strategy differ between domestic firms and MNCs from different countries in sub-Saharan Africa?
- What can we learn about the presence and extent of productivity effects of South–South and North–South firms on domestic firms in sub-Saharan African countries?

Project Description

The project aims to provide new insights into the importance and productivity effects of foreign firms from different home countries in sub-Saharan Africa.

Research Design and Methods

We use firm-level panel data from 12 countries in sub-Saharan Africa from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys (WBES). Using this survey data in a cross-country setting requires a considerable effort in data preparation and cleaning. Moreover, a detailed ownership analysis will be carried out. In order to identify the different characteristics as well as the productivity effects of FDI from North-South and South-South firms, different types of production functions are considered and appropriate panel-data methods are applied.
Globalization of Chinese Companies

Margot Schüller, Yun Schüler-Zhou

**Project Description**

**Research Questions**
- What are the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese OFDI?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies “going global”?
- What are the implications of Chinese OFDI for the business climate in the EU?
- What is the relationship between the Chinese diaspora and Chinese OFDI?
- To what extent are German companies investing in China involved in R&D?
- What are the implications of Chinese R&D investment in Europe, especially in Germany?

**Contribution to International Research**
International management theories are still focusing on the FDI of Western, industrialized countries. In our analysis of Chinese OFDI in the EU, we complement existing approaches by adding the perspective of the latecomer economies and by applying the new institutional theory approach. In our analysis of the relationship between migration and FDI, we study the role of the Chinese diaspora in Germany and their impact on Chinese OFDI. The international research on diaspora networks has just begun and offers a fresh look at both migration and OFDI.

**Research Design and Methods**
We follow a mixed-methods approach by collecting data through surveys and expert interviews. For the research paper on Chinese OFDI in the EU (for the European Commission), we conduct an M&A analysis to reveal the patterns of Chinese FDI with regard to the geographical and sectoral distribution, payment preferences and other important characteristics of M&A transactions. For the German-Chinese Innovation Platform activities, we will conduct a survey with the Verband Deutscher Maschinen- und Anlagenbau (VDMA) of approximately 300 German companies from the machinery and equipment industry, focusing on their R&D activities in China. In addition, face-to-face interviews are planned with a selected number of VDMA member companies in China. To study the relationship between Chinese migration and FDI in Germany, expert interviews will be conducted with Chinese academic and commercial associations and German and Chinese companies.

**Preliminary Results**
Chinese investments in Europe, especially in Germany, reveal a strong move toward market and asset-seeking investments. German companies acquired by Chinese investors, especially in the machinery industry, are often not only leaders in their fields, but also strongly focused on R&D. This investment motive seems to be the predominant M&A strategy of Chinese companies in Germany. Interviews and surveys conducted by the authors have confirmed that recent investment activities of Chinese companies in Germany were driven mainly by technology-seeking. In addition, greenfield investments by German companies acquired by Chinese investors, especially in the machinery and automotive sectors, are focusing on Germany as an important market and on specific companies and R&D centers in order to jointly develop new technologies.
Research Questions

The project deals with the global scale and the impacts of large-scale land acquisitions. It will investigate particularly the impact of:
- Involvement of the local population in decision-making processes
- Compensations and evictions
- Productivity effects
- Improved access to public services
- Employment creation

Contribution to International Research

The research conducted within this project will directly feed into the Land Matrix database. It will improve the data quality and availability of this internationally acclaimed database on land acquisitions.

Research Design and Methods

The project will focus on the impacts of LSLAs, with both a qualitative and a quantitative component. Certain impact dimensions – for example, investment-related benefits and compensations – will be examined on a case-study basis using qualitative approaches. The proposed studies will build on earlier fieldwork. More specifically, we will re-visit specific cases of land deals in Mali, Kenya and Zambia and conduct focus group discussions and expert interviews. Other impact dimensions – for example, employment and productivity – can be better analysed using quantitative techniques. For these analyses, we will select case countries. The selection will be informed by the Land Matrix database and will depend on the availability of spatial information on land deals in combination with socio-economic baseline data. In this part of the project, we will seek close collaboration with the Land Observatory Project by ILC and CED. Methodologically, we will rely on standard impact evaluation techniques – in particular, differences-in-differences estimates on a small geographical scale (community, village).
Integrated Modelling of Land-Use Changes at Rainforest Margins in Indonesia

>> Jann Lay, Elisabeth Hettig

Project
- To understand the trade-offs and prospects of human land use and ecosystem properties at the margins of tropical rainforests, using the example of Indonesia.
- To complement a grid-based ecological model with a socio-economic, agent-based model component that simulates the dynamics of individual households.
- To investigate biodiversity, ecosystem function, and household income to determine whether there is a critical level of forest-use intensity beyond which these areas are very negatively affected.

Theoretical Approaches
- Land-change science
- Agricultural household models

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Groups
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews
- Data Processing: STATA, GAMS
- Data Analysis: Microeconometrics, Equilibrium Analysis, Multivariate Methods, Optimization Techniques, Regression Analysis, Simulation Methods, Spatial Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Kerstin Wiegand and Dr. Katrin Mayer, Institute of Ecosystem Modelling, University of Göttingen

Workshops/Conferences

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation); approved

Duration
2010–2013

Research Questions
- Is there a critical level of forest-use intensity (e.g., logging, rattan) beyond which specific components of biodiversity and ecosystem function are very negatively affected?
- Does the assessment of economic and ecological effects depend on land-use intensification on the planning horizon?
- Is it possible to manage the trade-offs that arise due to population pressure, the desire for higher incomes, and the need to stabilize the rainforest margins?
- Is there a biosphere reserve zoning category for the Lore Lindu Biosphere Reserve (particularly for the not-yet-specified transition zone) that would potentially reduce poverty vulnerability and enhance biodiversity and ecosystem function?
- Do proactive household decisions (regarding ENSO droughts) significantly improve household incomes?
- Do proactive household decisions (regarding ENSO droughts) significantly improve household incomes?

Contribution to International Research
The project will play a central role in integrating the interdisciplinary research on land-use change. It analyses land-use change in tropical rain forests, examining the ecological and economic interdependencies involved in such change. Based on an extensive set of panel data on the Lore Lindu Biosphere Reserve on the Indonesian island of Sulawesi, the project will develop ecological and economic model components. The economic model will mainly capture household decisions on land use. By including decisions the project broadens the analysis of land-use change/cover models, which have to date been largely dominated by components from the natural sciences. An extensive consideration of socio-economic factors, however, is crucial for a broader analysis of land-use changes. By integrating ecological and socio-economic aspects, the project generates new insights into the complex reciprocity of both spheres and explains and analyses their interaction in terms of land-use change.

Research Design and Methods
The project combines empirical data analysis, microeconometric methods, simulations and optimization techniques. An ecological-economic model will be complemented by a socio-economic, agent-based model component that simulates the dynamics of individual households. The ecological model will be grid-based, with a resolution of 30 metres, and will be updated using an annual time step. The grid-based parts of this model describe the environment and its agricultural productivity, while the agent-based parts describe how households interact with the environment via land use. The project will investigate biodiversity, ecosystem function, and household income to determine whether there is a critical level of forest-use intensity beyond which these areas are very negatively affected.

Preliminary Results
Preliminary results suggest that more land is put to agricultural use in regions with higher immigration. Preliminary results suggest that more land is put to agricultural use in regions with higher immigration. Migrant households cultivate more cash crops than local households. In contrast, households with more off-farm employment are less likely to cultivate cash crops and to convert secondary forests. Well-regulated migration programs and incentives for off-farm employment may hence be the key tools required to manage the trade-offs between environmental and economic needs.
Large-Scale Land Acquisitions and Sustainable Development

> Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte

Research Questions
- How and between which negotiation partners are lease contracts created? What role does the local population play in this, and are local land rights respected?
- Through which transmission channels do these investments affect the economies of target countries and, in particular, local populations?

Contribution to International Research
While LSLAs have attracted a lot of media attention, research on the phenomenon is still nascent. Ours is among the first projects to research the phenomenon. Our particular focus is on the processes on the ground, which are not comprehensively understood at this point. Moreover, the impacts of such investments are hotly debated but little rigorous evidence is available. We aim to provide such evidence.

Research Design and Methods
We apply a mixed-methods approach: First, a comparative small-N study with field research in Kenya, Zambia and Mali focusing on particular investment cases provides insights into processes of land acquisitions. Data is collected through expert interviews and focus group discussions. To study the impact of investments, we apply a micro-economic analysis of household data. In this regard, we rely on standard impact evaluation techniques – in particular, differences-in-differences estimates on a small geographical scale (community, village).

Preliminary Results
Thanks to in-depth field research, we have gained a better understanding of the processes surrounding LSLAs and the role the land tenure regime plays. In particular, we have scrutinized the way land changes hands and the involvement of the local population in decision-making processes. One key finding is that what we can observe de facto is not in line with what the de jure process stipulates. Reasons for these deviations are myriad: to name just a couple, poor enforcement of existing laws and/or contradictions between statutory or customary land laws could be responsible.

Cooperation
- Kiel Institute of the World Economy
- University of Greifswald

Partners
- GIGA International Workshop on Large-Scale Land Acquisitions, Hamburg, 11 May 2012
- "Large-Scale Land Acquisition in Eastern Europe and Sub-Saharan Africa", Special Session at IAMO Forum 2012 (with Kiel Institute of the World Economy and University of Greifswald), Halle, Germany, 21 June 2012
- "Land under Pressure: The State of Large-Scale Land Acquisitions in the World", presentation at the Planet under Pressure Conference, London, 28 March 2012 (with M. Boche)
- "How is the Game Played? Large-Scale Investment in Agricultural Land: Evidence from Ghana and Kenya", presentation at the GIGA International Workshop on Large-Scale Land Acquisitions, Hamburg, 11 May 2012 (with S. Väth)
- "Involvement of the Local Population in Large-Scale Land Acquisition Projects: Insights from Mali", presentation at the ISG 2012, Cologne, 27 August 2012

Knowledge Transfer
- "Landraub oder Agrarinvestitionen: Großflächige Agrarprojekte in Entwicklungsländern (Land-Grabbing or Agricultural Investment: Large-Scale Agricultural Projects in Developing Countries)”, GIGA Forum Hamburg, 23 May 2012

Publications

Funding
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research): approved

Duration
2010–2013
Research Questions
- What is the real extent, nature and impact of large-scale land investments?
- Who is investing and where?
- What is driving the increasing interest in land?

Contribution to International Research
The project addresses the serious lack of data on global land investments by providing the currently most comprehensive online database on such deals (the "Land Matrix"). This information is demanded by a variety of actors: researchers, international and development organizations, NGOs, the media and policymakers in host countries of such land deals.

Research Design and Methods
The Land Matrix database is a constantly updated data set that includes deals made for agricultural production (for food or agrofuel production), timber extraction, carbon-trading, mineral extraction, conservation and tourism. Deals included in the database must meet the following criteria:
- They entail a transfer of rights to use, control or own land through sale, lease or concession.
- They were signed sometime since 2000, when the annualized value of the FAO real food price index was at its lowest level.
- They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
- They entail the conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem-service provision to commercial production.

Records are derived from a variety of sources that include information contributed through the Land Matrix website; media reports; reports by international and local organizations, NGOs, and field-based research projects; company websites; and government records. Sources are partly accessed through two active Internet portals dealing with land transactions: www.commercialpressureonland.org and www.farmlandgrab.org. Company websites and government records are also used where these are available. Moreover, it is thought that the "crowdsourcing" function will play an increasingly important role in the future.

Preliminary Results
The online Land Matrix database was launched at the World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty in Washington DC in April 2012 and is generating wide public interest. It is currently the largest data set of its kind. The Land Matrix data shows that there is indeed a global trend toward land acquisitions, and that a large number of these projects are likely to materialize. According to the Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are characterized by weak land governance and high incidences of hunger. Furthermore, targeted areas are easily accessible, provide high yield gaps, and have considerable population densities. Most investors come from comparatively wealthy countries and countries that are net food importers. All in all, the data suggests that in a large number of cases there are trade-offs with environmental and social goals.
Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CilMiP) –
Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations?

Jann Lay, Miriam Prys, Sebastian Renner

Project Description

Research Questions
Does the implementation of climate change mitigation policies in developing countries always involve a trade-off between economic development, poverty reduction, and climate protection, or is there space for "win-win policies"? This question is relevant for today's fast-growing middle-income economies, which are already or will soon become very significant contributors to global warming.

The project will analyse these economies from three different angles: a comparative politics perspective on domestic climate governance and mitigation policy options, an economics perspective on the poverty and distributional impact of mitigation policies, and an international relations perspective on the global discourse surrounding mitigation and economic development (see project in RP 4). The project staff will cooperate closely with domestic partner institutions in South Africa, Mexico and Thailand, the three case study countries.

Contribution to International Research
Despite the increasing role of today's developing world in GHG emissions, "climate and development" research to date has largely focused on developing countries' vulnerability and adaptation to climate change, and on climate-related transfers in these countries, such as those of the Joint Implementation and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM).

Meanwhile, the critical issue of mitigation is slowly making its entrance into climate negotiation rooms. This focus on mitigation requires a shift in the analytical perspective. While the technological and natural science perspectives that tend to dominate the climate change discourse are clearly important, a social science perspective is warranted as well. This is particularly true because of the latter's usefulness in analysing the possible trade-offs between mitigation and socio-economic development.

The project adopts a multidisciplinary social science approach with a comparative and global perspective. While they will remain firmly theoretically and methodologically grounded in their respective disciplines, the three study areas – (1) domestic climate governance, (2) poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies, (3) global perspective and the mitigation-development discourse – will interact continuously.

Research Design and Methods
The investigation of domestic climate governance will rely mainly on qualitative methods. These will include interviews with policy-makers, experts and practitioners to investigate their motivations and the driving and constraining forces behind their actions in climate change mitigation policy processes. We then plan to assess the poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies (possibly including most NAMAs) in the three case study countries using incidence-focused general equilibrium models, simulation models based on micro-data, and a combination of these two modelling approaches. As mitigation policies in the case study countries are rare and recent, the limited availability of data means that the analyses will typically be ex-ante modelling exercises. In a final step, shaped by the lens of international relations, a combination of quantitative and qualitative content analysis will allow us to identify the "frames" or "templates" according to which the problem of developing country mitigation and related themes are presented. This analysis will also demonstrate what types of solutions different actors or actor groups offer for these issues.
Landscape-Level Assessment of the Ecological and Socio-Economic Functions of Rainforest Transformation Systems in Sumatra (Indonesia) (part of CRC 990)

Jann Lay, Kacana Sipangule, Elisabeth Hettig

**Project Description**

The project’s guiding question is as follows: What kind of landscape mosaic optimizes the ensemble of biodiversity, ecosystem functions, and economic benefit based on the synergies and trade-offs that we have to account for?

We plan to develop an integrated model of different aspects of ecological diversity, ecological functions, and socio-economic functions. We will use a MAS/LUCC modelling approach (multi-agent system models of land-use and land-cover change) because of its high suitability for the integrated modelling of ecological-economic systems. The model will be used to (1) integrate diversity and functions and (2) scale up from the local level to the landscape level and broader scales.

We expect the project’s main result to be an integrated strategic model that links the ecological and economic processes of the transformation system to the landscape. We anticipate that we will identify the trade-offs and synergies within and between ecological and socio-economic functions, and how these trade-offs and synergies change across transformation systems, spatial configurations and scales.

The project will thus contribute to the interdisciplinary research on rainforest transformation systems, which are playing an increasing role across the tropics. It will also illuminate the complex interplay between the environment and human action in a rapidly developing region that is characterized by global cash-crop cultivation.

The purpose of our model is to provide an integrated, exploratory tool for the analysis of spatio-temporal land-use scenarios with respect to the four transition systems. We will develop a coupled multi-agent system model of land-use and land-cover change – that is, a MAS/LUCC model. More specifically, we will adopt an agent-based approach (MAS) to model the behaviour and land-use decisions of individual households within the landscape. Households interact with the landscape with the aim of maximizing their economic returns, but they also affect ecological functions such as the diversity of plants and animals, community stability, pollination, primary production, decomposition, and carbon sequestration.

A spatial grid of cells will represent the landscape and its land-use patterns (LUCC). Each grid cell will be characterized by its land-use type and will be the basic entity for modelling a range of ecological and economic functions in space and time. Possible land-use types include tropical lowland forest, jungle rubber, rubber plantation, palm oil plantation, and “other”. With this approach, very different landscapes that differ in composition (proportion of land covered by the different transformation systems) and spatial configuration can be modelled. The combined agent-based and grid-based approach provides the flexibility needed to model diverse ecological and socio-economic functions. Households and landscape will be linked by assigning land to households based on their current land use. Interactions between grid cells – for example, animal movement on the ecological side and intra-household dynamics on the economic side – will be explicitly included. With the goal of reaching an integrated understanding of the interaction between the ecological and economic functions of landscapes, we will conduct computer experiments using realistic and artificial landscapes and households.
Long-Term Land Use, Poverty Dynamics and Emission Trade-Offs (part of CRC 990)

Project Description

- To analyse the long-term drivers of poverty and vulnerability in transformed forested landscapes in Indonesia.
- To estimate the greenhouse gas (GHG) implications of households’ production and consumption decisions and to analyse the determinants of these emissions on the production and consumption side.
- To identify possible trade-offs between poverty reduction and the carbon intensity of households’ land-use, production and consumption decisions and to develop solutions to minimize these trade-offs.

Research Questions

- What are the long-term drivers of the poverty and vulnerability of rural households in transformed forested landscapes in Sulawesi and Jambi?
- To what extent are particular income packages able to sustainably reduce the poverty and vulnerability of households?
- What impact do production decisions (forest conversion and use intensification, crop and production choices, income portfolios, and technologies) as well as consumption decisions have on households’ GHG emissions?
- How can we best understand the drivers of GHG emissions and the heterogeneity among households to identify trade-offs and win-win situations between poverty reduction and emission reductions?

Contribution to International Research

The transformation of forested landscapes, poverty reduction and GHG emissions are closely interrelated, and understanding the drivers of land-use change is key to understanding these interrelationships. However, due to shortcomings in data availability and methodological approaches, many questions remain open. Few studies have been able to analyse the dynamics of resource use and destruction in the medium to long term as this requires panel data and associated econometric techniques that control for household-specific effects, endogeneity, and initial conditions. In addition, the link between the dynamics of land and forest use and vulnerability has not been sufficiently examined. This vulnerability is also likely to differ by region and according to the crop type and the associated technologies. Therefore, this study’s comparative perspective, which assesses these issues using data from smallholder cocoa farmers in Sulawesi and rubber and palm oil producers in Jambi, will be particularly useful, as it will further comparisons with national cross-sectional and panel data that will assess the relevance of the findings from the study areas at a higher level of aggregation.

The literature on carbon footprints has given little attention to the fact that many households in developing countries often act as both consumers and producers. In addition, very few studies have empirically addressed household carbon footprints from a dynamic perspective. Previous studies have shown that there appear to be win-win situations that promote the reduction of poverty and vulnerability and simultaneously control the climate change impacts of current production and consumption. The extent to which such win-win situations are feasible in the Indonesian context is an open question.

To analyse the drivers of long-term poverty and vulnerability in transformed forested landscapes, this project will combine long-term panel analyses, comparative surveys from two study regions, and national data. Using the existing panel surveys and a proposed further wave of income and expenditure panel surveys in Sulawesi, the project’s staff will assess these long-term drivers using advanced panel econometric methods. In a second group of analyses, the researchers will assess the drivers of (static) income poverty using an explicitly comparative framework. This step will draw on the data from Sulawesi, the household surveys generated by subprojects of CRC 990 in Jambi, and national cross-section (SUSENAS) and panel (RAND) household surveys.

In order to model the heterogeneity of production and consumption decisions and the resulting GHG emissions in Jambi, the project will examine the Sulawesi data and the newly generated household survey data from Jambi. Here the production modules of the household surveys from the two regions will come into play (national data will not be used for this step as it lacks the requisite details). Initially, the emission implications of the production side will be based on existing literature on the carbon footprint of certain crops and technologies. In a second step, we will then use regression methods to identify the drivers of the carbon footprints. On the consumption side, we will analyse household expenditure modules (from Sulawesi, Jambi, and SUSENAS household surveys) to identify the determinants of particular GHG-intensive choices (particularly energy use, meat consumption, and transport options). Regression models will estimate the determinants of these carbon footprints.

Preliminary Results

Prior research (STORMA) has focused on the long-term drivers of income growth and poverty change at the rainforest margins in Indonesia. The key findings have shown that intensified land use can reduce encroachment on the forests, that migration may increase this encroachment in the medium to long term, and that lower transaction costs may reduce it.

Jann Lay, Katharina Trapp

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 990 (DFG) (German Research Foundation, Collaborative Research Centre 990): approved

Project Duration: 2012–2015
Climate Protection, Development and Equity: Decarbonization in Developing Countries and Countries in Transition

>> Sebastian Renner, Jann Lay

Project Description

Research Questions

The decarbonization of the growing economies in the developing world, or the decoupling of economic growth from greenhouse gas emissions in these countries, is of crucial importance in mitigating climate change. This project hence addresses the question of how sustainable development – understood as development in the direction of a low-carbon economy that simultaneously overcomes poverty and explicitly considers inter- and intragenerational equity – can be achieved. In particular, it identifies various climate change policy instruments for achieving a less carbon-intensive development path in developing countries. These instruments are evaluated in terms of their ecological and economic efficiency, as well as their equity and poverty implications.

Contribution to International Research

Given the international climate negotiations and the findings of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the project’s research question is related to a topic that has become increasingly important. The project will make a significant contribution to addressing global climate justice and its social impact in developing and emerging countries. Integrating knowledge from the natural sciences with social science concepts and methods, the project analyses the economic benefits, equity issues, and opportunities for poverty reduction entailed in the different climate policy instruments designed to promote decarbonized economies in developing and emerging countries. The researchers aim to provide the project results to the next IPCC report.

Research Design and Methods

First, the project has carried out a detailed analysis of the status quo with respect to emissions and energy consumption by examining consumption patterns in developing and emerging countries through country-based cross-sectional studies. On this basis, the researchers will examine the effectiveness and potential barriers of different climate policy instruments (including emissions trading, technology transfer, national regulation or taxes) for the implementation of a decarbonized economy in developing and emerging countries. These instruments will be evaluated according to their economic efficiency, and social justice and distributional aspects. We will also investigate what possibilities exist for connecting the instruments to combine climate change mitigation policies with poverty reduction. Here we will use quantitative modelling and qualitative institutional economic analyses.

Preliminary Results

An analysis of the carbon footprint of Indian households shows that it is income growth, as opposed to changes in consumption patterns, that is driving the increase in GHG emissions in rapidly growing developing economies. A key factor in the decarbonization of economic development will be the decarbonization of the energy systems. However, the cross-country studies on renewables adoption reveal the low uptake of alternative energy sources in most parts of the developing world. This cross-country evidence is in line with our study on the determinants of households’ choices of lighting fuels, including solar home systems, in Kenya. Although this latter study has found clear evidence of a cross-sectional energy ladder, the income threshold for modern fuel use – including solar energy – is very high.
The research hypothesis is that many socio-economic and political transitions are primarily driven by a common factor, namely a persistent growth rate of per capita income. At the same time, it is obvious that all transitions also, in turn, affect the underlying growth rate of income. The basic challenge of this research agenda is twofold. First, we need to develop a framework that can help clarify how a given long-run growth rate simultaneously affects the transitions under consideration. From a theoretical point of view, such a framework separates correlation from causality. Second, we need to empirically identify the potential two-way causalities between the long-run growth rate and the various transitions.

Contribution to International Research

The research project deals with recent hypotheses that challenge modernization theory, such as the hypothesis of the primacy of institutions advanced by Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (see their survey article in the Handbook of Economic Growth 2005) or the supply-side theory of religiosity advanced by Iannaccone and co-authors. Research results are expected to be published in internationally renowned journals such as Kyklos, European Journal of Political Economy, Public Choice, and World Bank Economic Review.

Research Design and Methods

The project addresses three topics. (1) It will consider the transition of education from a traditional low-level equilibrium to a modern high-level equilibrium, and will focus on the ambivalent role of education as a consequence and a determinant of long-run growth. (2) From a theoretical perspective, it will also consider how previously identified transition variables such as democracy and religiosity interact with each other in the process of long-run development. (3) Finally, it will consider whether the recent empirical model that has been used to claim that there is no democratic transition is likely to produce biased estimates, also when applied to other transitions. The methods the project will use include general equilibrium analysis, regression analysis, and simulation methods.

Preliminary Results

The researcher’s work on the religious transition has been accepted for publication in Public Choice and in Theoretical Economics Letters. These papers show that there is robust empirical evidence for a fall in religiosity as a result of rising levels of income. The estimated transition parameter can be related to a model of the religious transition that emphasizes the substitution of scientific knowledge for religious beliefs as the engine of long-run growth.
Research Programme 3:
Selected Publications 2012

Articles in Refereed Journals


Contributions to Edited Volumes


Monographs and Edited Volumes

- Anseeuw, Wand, Matheu Boche, Thomas Breu, Markus Giger, Jann Lay, Peter Messerli, and Kerstin Nolte (2012), Transnational Land Deals for Agriculture in the “Global South”, Analytical Report Based on the Land Matrix Database, Bern, Montpellier, and Hamburg: CDE/CIRAD/GIGA.
Regional Powers Network

Joachim Betz, Gero Erdmann, Daniel Flemes, Henner Fürtig, Anja Jetschke, Robert Kappel, Hartmut Mayer, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys, Leslie Wehner and the doctoral students from the HIGS

Project Description

The Regional Powers Network is guided by one overarching research question: How is the rise of actors such as Brazil, China, India or South Africa influencing regional and global power shifts? In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the “differentiation of power”, from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore.

To date, the RPN’s research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalization and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

The topic “regional powers” can only be meaningfully analysed using divergent explanatory approaches within international relations. The RPN research network is defined by the research topic, a shared research question, and its linkages to specific research traditions (international relations, area studies), but not by a joint theoretical approach to international relations. We assume that within such a large research network, a pluralism of theory and methodology offers the best possibilities for generating knowledge.

To a large extent, the project is contributing to the practice of international relations, in the sense that the RPN’s research is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the “differentiation of power”, from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore. To date, the RPN’s research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalization and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

The topic “regional powers” can only be meaningfully analysed using divergent explanatory approaches within international relations. The RPN research network is defined by the research topic, a shared research question, and its linkages to specific research traditions (international relations, area studies), but not by a joint theoretical approach to international relations. We assume that within such a large research network, a pluralism of theory and methodology offers the best possibilities for generating knowledge.

To a large extent, the project is contributing to the practice of international relations, in the sense that the RPN’s research is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

The RPN organizers held five international RPN conferences between 2008 and 2011. As a result of these conferences, the network members’ awareness of demographic, economic and political shifts in influence between established and emergent powers has increased significantly. The participating researchers have analysed emergent regional powers across policy fields and regions – particularly in Asia, but also in Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East. This interaction and collaboration shall continue through further conferences and joint projects.

Research Questions

- To maintain the international RPN research network, to extend the RPN's research topics "beyond the state", and to identify new research topics within the framework of comparative area studies.
- Theories of regional integration/cooperation, regional orders, regional security complexes.
- Development of concepts and questions regarding the constitution of regions and power

Research Design and Methods

- Comparative Design; Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Long run
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Data Analysis: Hermeneutic Analysis, Process Tracing
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Time Dimension: Long run
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Development of concepts and questions regarding the constitution of regions and power
Contested Leadership in International Relations: Power Politics in South America, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa

>> Daniel Flemes, Georg Ströver, Hannes Ebert, Oliver Möser

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does regional acceptance or contestation influence regional powers’ chances of success in global affairs?
- In short, does regional support matter?
- How do regional orders shape the global strategies of regional powers?
- How do the relations between regional and external players (the US, China, Russia, the EU and Germany) impact regional power distribution?
- Which factors motivate secondary powers to accept or contest regional powers' leadership claims?
- In short, why do followers (not) follow?

First, the interregional comparison of the relations between regional and secondary powers shall explain why potential followers tend to contest regional leadership. The proposed study will focus on both the leaders and the followers (or contesters of leadership) and will contribute to the theoretical IR debate on the sources of leadership. Second, the research project will add to the existing knowledge on the nexus of regional and global orders. It will analyse the global impact of secondary powers’ regional strategies (acceptance or contestation) using a comparative perspective. A direct or indirect impact of secondary powers’ foreign policies at the global-system level would support the thesis of a multilateral world order. This view would be compatible with predictions of a systemic transformation into a “non-polar world” (Kaas 2008) or a system of “multi-multipolarity” (Friedberg 1994). Third, the interregional comparison will disclose if and how different regional environments shape regional powers’ global strategies. In addition to cultural and historical factors, economic interconnectedness and regional security settings will be the focus of the analysis.

We will compare three dyads in regional relations: India vs. Pakistan, Brazil vs. Venezuela and South Africa vs. Nigeria. Additionally, we will analyse the bilateral relations of each of the regional and secondary powers with extra-regional powers – namely, the US, China, Russia, the EU and Germany – in order to capture the external influences on the regional relationship patterns. Conversely, we will explore the impact of bilateral relationships with external powers and regional patterns (cooperation, competition, conflict) on the global order. Those factors assumed to be determinants of the actors’ foreign policies and, hence, of the relationships between regional and secondary powers – which are marked by different types of “contested leadership” – will be the focus of the comparison: resources, interests, strategies and perceptions of foreign policy.

The preliminary results identify the following drivers as the decisive variables in the development of regional and secondary powers’ foreign policy strategies:

- A secondary power’s choice of regional strategy is primarily dependent on structural drivers such as its relative position in the regional hierarchy. Direct, usually military contestation strategies correlate with a relatively symmetric distribution of power and resources between primary and secondary power. In contrast, indirect forms of contestation/opposition are predominantly observed in regions marked high polarity in terms of political and economic resources.
- In relatively peaceful regional orders (e.g. security communities), domestic actors exert more influence on the regional strategy of a secondary power than in regions characterized by violent conflicts.
- The central explanatory factors for secondary powers’ contestation strategies in the realm of foreign policy are as follows: (a) diverging interests between the primary and secondary powers at the regional and bilateral level, (b) the regional power’s unwillingness to provide public goods, and (c) the regional power’s non-transparent defence and arms policies.

Contribution to International Research

Research Design and Methods

- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, panel at the Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “Multilateral Economic Governance and the Prospects for EU–India Relations within the G20”, India–Europe Dialogue 2010, FES/JNU/SWP, New Delhi, 10 December 2010
Responsibility for the Region: Role Expectations and Role Attribution for Regional Powers

Miriam Prys, Leslie Wehner

Project Goals
- To identify whether global actors have specific role expectations that they communicate to regional powers.
- To assess the effectiveness and the outcome of these expectations.
- To determine how these expectations impact relations between the global and regional powers.

Theoretical Approaches
- Role theory (symbolic interactionism)
- Foreign policy analysis

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Temporal Dimension: Not Applicable
- Spatial Dimension: Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (governmental documents, press declarations, national and international newspapers)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis

Cooperation
- Dr. Cameron Thies, Department of Political Science, University of Iowa
- Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers, University of Kiel

Teachings
- "State Socialization in Regional and International Systems", University of Kiel, Summer Semester 2013 (L. Wehner)
- Workshop: "Extensions and Applications of Role Theory to International Relations", San Francisco, 2013 (L. Wehner)
- "Role Attribution in US-Brazil Social Interaction: Status Recognition and Denial", paper to be presented at the ISA Annual Convention 2013, San Francisco (L. Wehner)

Knowledge Transfer
- "Reaktion der Nachbarstaaten auf Brasiliens Aufstieg (The Reactions of Brazil's Neighbour States to Its Rise)", GIGA Forum, GIGA Hamburg, 18 April, 2012
- "Status, Roles and Regional Powers in South America", paper to be presented at the ISA Catalytic Research Workshop: "Extensions and Applications of Role Theory to International Relations", San Francisco, 2013 (L. Wehner)
- "Role Attribution in US-Brazil Social Interaction: Status Recognition and Denial", paper to be presented at the ISA Annual Convention 2013, San Francisco (L. Wehner)

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration
2013–2015

Research Questions
The aim of this project is to assess the United States’ “voice” and its impact on emerging powers. What the US communicates has an impact on “others”, since the US is the only superpower or global hegemon in world affairs. The US has shown its willingness to share the regional stage with new regional powers by ascribing to them both formal and informal roles that empower them as leaders of their regions. However, the regional powers face the dilemma of whether or not to accept such role expectations, as well as the question of how to fulfil them. Therefore, the project’s central questions are as follows:
- How does the US evaluate and deal with the emergence of new powers at the global level in order to maintain a stable multiregional order in which it plays a leading role?
- How has the US reacted to the redistribution of power to these new regional powers?
- What is the impact of such role expectations on regional powers?

Contribution to International Research
The project contributes to the research agenda on regional powers and to the refining of role theory in international relations. It assumes that the “role definition” of states in general and of regional powers in particular is a social process within which both self-conceptions (ego) and the expectations of the “alter” play an important part. The search for an identity can only occur in interaction with other actors. While “ego-centred” research has prevailed in the realm of role theory (see Holsti 1970, Walker 1987), very little systematic attention has been paid to role expectations and the form they take. The project further develops the dimension of role expectations by innovatively identifying three ways in which roles are ascribed to a state by other states: role prescription, role altercasting and role imposition. The authors assume that the expectations placed upon regional powers can indeed impact their self-conceptions and regional role performances in a significant way, but not necessarily to the benefit of regional powers; “global” or “Western” support for a more active regional power role might cause suspicion and resistance among the so-called secondary powers of the emerging regions. However, the regional powers do aspire to achieve a global status that makes the communication and the reception of US expectations possible.

Research Design and Methods
The project will first develop a theoretical argument about roles as elements and outcomes of a social process of communication between the Self and the Other. An important part of this argument will be the demonstration of how the US transmits its role expectations to regional powers (Brazil, India and South Africa) – that is, through role prescription, role altercasting and role imposition. In a second step, the project will undertake an empirical analysis of a diverse set of US foreign policy statements, as well as interviews with government and experts, in order to identify how the actions expected of a regional power are portrayed, and the way in which these expectations are transmitted to regional powers. The researchers will pay special attention to whether the US demonstrates a discursive pattern that refers to a broader set of regional powers, or whether it assigns different potential regional powers with different characteristics and “tastes”. The project’s final, empirical step will deal with the recipient side of such expectations – that is, whether and how regional powers process the role expectations originating from the US. The researchers will assess the extent to which regional powers take on the responsibility communicated to them by the US, and the means they use to do so. This empirical analysis will follow the symbolic interaction tradition and will thus adopt an interpretative methodological approach.
Project Description

Private Foundations in Global Governance: The Cases of Health and Education

>> Wolfgang Hein, Joachim Betz, Sonja Bartsch

Research Questions

The project deals with the following research questions:

- What role do transnational foundations play in sectoral global governance processes?
- How are transnational philanthropic networks in health and education structured and what are their main characteristics?
- What governance and accountability structures do these networks demonstrate?
- How effective are transnational foundations? Can their resolution of issues/problems that are neglected by other actors be taken as an indicator of “effectiveness”?
- To what extent are national foundations in India independent from international and national public financial support? Do they operate in regions and on problems which are not (or only marginally) addressed by public programmes?

Contribution to International Research

In recent years, transnational foundations have received growing attention in the global governance discourse, but there is still little systematic research on the role of philanthropy in the respective fields of global politics. Transnational philanthropic foundations provide significant additional financial resources for development purposes and contribute to a reconfiguration of the institutional setting for policy-making. While there is a large body of research on philanthropy on both the local and national levels as well as on charities (with a large number of small donations), still little is known about the scale, scope and role of transnational philanthropists and the impact of private foundations on global governance as well as the interaction of international foundations with national counterparts and NGOs operating in the field.

The project will deal with these issues and systematically investigate structures and activities of transnational foundations in the fields of health and education. A case study on India will complement the research. The project team has contacts with the associations of various foundations (European Foundation Centre, European Venture Philanthropy Association), with the transnationally active networks of foundations and other civil society organizations in South Asia, and with research departments in India and Sri Lanka (IIT Chennai, JMI Ahmedabad, Mira Institute Colombo).

Research Design and Methods

Taking into account the current state of the research in this field, what is required is first of all exploratory research. Therefore the project’s starting point will be the creation of a database. Using methods of network analysis, the project will identify the role of foundations in global health and education governance. The analysis of these foundations’ accountability and effectiveness will be based on the extensive analysis of documents, as well as focus group discussions and expert interviews with representatives of important foundations.
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Contested World Orders

Detlef Nolte, Anja Jetschke, Miriam Prys, Kristina Hahn

Project Description

- **Research Questions**
  - What are the implications of the increasing influence of “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organizations and their contestation of international institutions for a “new world order”?
  - How do “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organizations contest international institutions?
  - What are the repercussions of these contestations for the authority of international institutions?

- **Contribution to International Research**
  - While the increasing influence of transnational non-governmental organizations and the new “rising powers” has been the subject of academic research, there are very few systematic analyses which take both phenomena into account and study their interrelation. Those studies which focus on the role of states in international politics neglect the importance of non-governmental actors. On the other hand, studies on transnational non-governmental organizations do not pay attention to the new “rising powers”.

- **Research Design and Methods**
  - The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organizations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. While both sets of actors criticize the “pro-Western” bias of international institutions, their demands differ in important respects: the “rising powers” base their calls for a new “world order” on the principle of sovereignty, whereas the transnational NGOs refer to human rights. The project aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

- **Workshops/Conferences**
  - De-centering Regional Power: The Role of Non-State Actors in Global Power Shifts, 5th RPN Conference, GIGA, Hamburg, 8-10 December 2011
  - Workshop on Databases on International Organizations, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin, 24 February 2012

- **Publications**

- **Funding**
  - Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, SAW-Verfahren (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation), led by WZB: approved

- **Duration**
  - 2012-2015

---

**Project**

- To analyze the increasing influence of “rising powers” and non-governmental organizations and their contestation of international institutions.
- To develop a database on international institutions’ forms of authority and the corresponding legitimacy claims addressed to these institutions by “rising powers” and non-governmental organizations.

**Theoretical Approaches**

- Analytical eclecticism
- Neoclassical and constructivist approaches
- Power transition theory
- Comparative Design: Intergeneral Comparison, Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Organizations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis
- Power transition theory
- Neoclassical and constructivist approaches
- Analytical eclecticism

**Research Method**

- Data Collection: Documents (official documents from governments, international organizations and NGOs).
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing
- Databases (a common database on international organizations will be created)
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

**Partners**

- Prof. Dr. Michael Züm, Dr. Martin Binder, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (WZB)
- Prof. Dr. Harald Müller, Prof. Dr. Klaus-Detter Woll, Peace Research Institute Frankfurt (IFSH)
- Prof. Dr. Liesbeth Hooghe and Prof. Dr. Gary Marks, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- Prof. Dr. Jonas Tallberg, University of Stockholm

---

**Teachings**

- “Außenpolitische Strategien in der neuen Multipolarität (Foreign Policy Strategies under the New Multipolarity), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12 (D. Nolte)
- “Regional Powers and Regional Organizations”, EPCR Summer School on Latin American Politics, Institute of Social Sciences, University of Lisbon (D. Nolte)
- “Regional politics and regional organizations”, Workshop on Databases on International Organizations, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin, 24 February 2012

---

**Research Questions**

- What is the significance of the increasing influence of “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organizations and their contestation of international institutions for a “new world order”?
- How do “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organizations contest international institutions?
- What are the repercussions of these contestations for the authority of international institutions?

---

**Contribution to International Research**

- While the increasing influence of transnational non-governmental organizations and the new “rising powers” has been the subject of academic research, there are very few systematic analyses which take both phenomena into account and study their interrelation. Those studies which focus on the role of states in international politics neglect the importance of non-governmental actors. On the other hand, studies on transnational non-governmental organizations do not pay attention to the new “rising powers”.

---

**Research Design and Methods**

- The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organizations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. While both sets of actors criticize the “pro-Western” bias of international institutions, their demands differ in important respects: the “rising powers” base their calls for a new “world order” on the principle of sovereignty, whereas the transnational NGOs refer to human rights. The project aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

---

**Workshops/Conferences**

- De-centering Regional Power: The Role of Non-State Actors in Global Power Shifts, 5th RPN Conference, GIGA, Hamburg, 8-10 December 2011
- Workshop on Databases on International Organizations, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin, 24 February 2012

---

**Publications**


---

**Funding**

- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, SAW-Verfahren (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation), led by WZB: approved

---

**Duration**

- 2012-2015
Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CiMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations? (Work Package 3: International Relations)

>> Miriam Prys

Project Description

The overall research question guiding the project’s IR work package analysis is whether and how poverty eradication is and can be dealt with within the existing global climate regime. Given scientific uncertainty and over-riding justice and ethics arguments, the project is particularly interested in the effects that arguments, discourse and rhetoric have on the members of this regime. It thus investigates the impacts of the evolving mitigation-development-justice “discourse” on developing countries’ mitigation commitments. To what extent has the perception of “poverty eradication as the opposite of mitigation” shifted to a focus on “mitigation as an opportunity for development and poverty reduction”? Which actors have been central in this process? And what types of outcomes, such as inclusive agreements at the global level and innovative practices and activities at the regional and national levels, have been achieved and can be expected, both at the international and the domestic level? These questions are employed to explain a political process that has moved from the Delhi Declaration of COP-6 in 2002, which reinforced the perspective that development and poverty eradication were “overriding principles in developing countries”, to the COP 15 in Copenhagen, where some major developing country emitters made voluntary pledges to reduce emissions. The Bali Action Plan (UNFCCC, 2007) coined the term NAMA as a way to reduce emissions and meet developmental goals in non-Annex 1 countries. The design and financing of NMAs have become central to the debate on climate change mitigation and development. We ask which actors have shaped the debate and its impact on both future climate negotiations and the potential domestic mitigation trajectories of developing countries.

Research Design and Methods

The project’s overarching research interest is how the global climate regime and its main actors deal with the dual challenge of climate change mitigation and poverty eradication. The various theories emerging from IR offer contradictory outlooks regarding feasible mitigation actions for developing countries as an outcome of global negotiations. We adopt an approach which is best described as “pragmatic” with a constructivist inclination to analyze the emergence of the issue of developing country mitigation, as well as its key drivers and its repercussions at the domestic level. A combination of material and ideational factors is most likely to capture the complexity of international negotiations involving multiple actors and driven by ethical and moral arguments, structural worldviews and instrumental actions. We ask who holds the power (for example, to shape the negotiation agenda and to frame key terms and understandings), what strategies are used by the powerful and the powerless, and what role arguments and persuasion play in light of power shifts and power differentials. A combination of quantitative and qualitative content analysis will allow us to detect “frames” or “templates” through which the problem of developing country mitigation and related themes are presented, and the types of solutions different actors or actor groups offer. We will analyse the statements of state representatives, the minutes of group meetings, and public relations and press materials. We will complement this analysis by interview- ing experts and decision-makers as a form of triangulation. This data will also allow us to track how specific terms, concepts and solutions take on a particular salience at given points in time and how they are spread geographically or by actor type. We will identify actor groups with similar arguments and interests, and we will temporarily sequence the arguments on developing country mitigation. Furthermore, we will look at the impact of the various “frames” or “discourses” on the actual outcomes of negotiations.
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RT 3: Comparative Regionalism

Regional Cooperation and Regional Integration in Sub-Saharan Africa: Degree, Scope and Causes of De Facto Regionalism

>> Gero Erdmann, Sebastian Elischer

Project - To examine the different degrees and scopes of regionalism across sub-Saharan Africa.
Goals - To explain how the different degrees and scopes of regionalism have occurred by drawing on a set of potentially explanatory variables regarded in the IR literature as essential.
Theoretical Approaches - Comparative regionalism
Research Design - Comparative Design: Intregrational Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Organizations (regional), Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
Methods - Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (official documents of the African Union and regional organizations), Databases (data provided by the African Union and regional organizations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Grounded Theory, Multivariate Methods, Process Tracing
Partners - Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): under review
Teachings - "Comparative Regionalism", University of Lüneburg, Winter Semester 2012 (S. Elischer)
Workshops/ Conferences - "Regional Powers in Africa", paper presented at SGFR Stockholm, 9-11 September 2010 (S. Elischer)
Funding - Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): under review
Duration 2013-2015

Research Questions - Does the level and the scope of de facto regionalism vary across different regional organizations in Africa?
- What explains the different degrees of de facto regionalism in sub-Saharan Africa?

Contribution to International Research
Since the end of the Cold War, research on regionalism – regional cooperation and regional integration between states – outside the EU has surged. Despite a few initial works, the study of regionalism in Africa has been neglected in the growing literature on “new regionalism”. Previous studies on regional cooperation and integration in sub-Saharan Africa were often confined to individual case studies or a particular theoretical approach. They were further limited by their focus on the formal level of regionalism. This project thus provides a systematic comparison of the degree of de facto regionalism among all regional organizations in sub-Saharan Africa. With regard to the scope of regionalism, the project examines regional cooperation and integration in three policy areas: economic, security and political. The degree of regionalism is examined with the help of indicators often used by scholars working on regionalism in other parts of the world. In a second step, the project will explain why different degrees and scopes of regionalism have occurred across the African continent. It will examine a number of potentially explanatory variables drawn from theoretically consistent models in the IR literature.

The project aims to enrich the study of comparative regionalism by providing an empirically grounded analysis of the scope and degree of regionalism in Africa. In doing so, it will also contribute to the disciplines of international relations, which has not devoted sufficient attention to the study of interstate relations in Africa.

Research Design and Methods
The project applies research methods generally associated with small-N comparisons. It combines conventional nominal comparisons across cases with within-case comparisons (Gerring 2007; Mahoney 2000). The project treats the level of integration in each policy sphere (economic/security/political) as a unit of analysis.

The nominal comparison enables the elimination of potential explanatory variables and an assessment of the validity of hypotheses (Mahoney 2000). Due to the smaller number of cases and the complexity of social phenomena such as intrastate cooperation, we expect a combination of variables to match with the outcome of interest.

The project subsequently examines the explanatory power of these variables with the help of within-case analysis. It applies two procedures: pattern matching and causal narratives. Pattern matching has been specifically designed to evaluate causal patterns derived from cross-case analyses. It enables the in-depth analysis of individual cases to see if they match the expected pattern of causality (Campbell 1975). In this manner, the project narrows the range of potential explanations or – if we identify new variables at the level of individual cases – at least enhances confidence that the explanatory analysis includes all the potential explanatory variables (Mahoney 1999, 2003). In order to confirm or falsify the causal inferences from our cross-cases comparisons, we conduct cross-case comparisons of within-case chronologies (for examples see Haydu 1998; Mahoney 1999; Yasir 1997).

Preliminary Results
The preliminary research indicates that the degree and the scope of regionalism differ significantly (Elischer and Erdmann 2012).
Is Regionalism Contagious? Regional Integration and the Diffusion of Institutions and Policies

>> Anja Jetschke

Project Description

Research Questions

- How can we explain similarities in institutional design among regional organizations?
- What determines the institutional design of regional organizations?
- How do regional organizations affect the institutional design of other regional organizations?

Contribution to International Research

The existing research on regional integration and regional institutions has generally neglected diffusion processes among regional organizations. This has occurred for two reasons:

- First, most regional integration studies have focused on explaining the differences in de facto regional integration among regional organization schemes. Even where they have noticed the similarities in institutional design, they have gone on to explain different outcomes by arguing that existing formal institutions do not implement the same rules for the regulation of integration. The dependent variable in these studies has often been practices or level of integration and not formal institutional design.

- A second reason is methodological. For analytical purposes, the approaches outlined above have conceptualized regional organizations as phenomena that do not influence each other and cannot therefore be treated independently. Studying diffusion requires us to abandon this view and to conceive of regional organizations as phenomena that are interdependent and in which the decision makers (usually governments) decide upon institutional design based on the decisions about institutional design made in other regional organizations. The choices are frequently based on decision-makers’ perceptions of other regional organizations’ model character. In contrast to the existing research, this research project therefore argues that as a first step, it is necessary to conceptualize regional organizations as potentially interdependent phenomena and to develop a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organizations.

This project will systematically collect data on the formal organizational characteristics of regional organizations. This information will be used to construct a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organizations.

Research Design and Methods

The project will develop and continuously update a database on the formal organizational characteristics of regional organizations. This information will be used to construct a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organizations.

The survey will involve two distinct survey steps, which will result in two different data sets. We will establish a data set of coded agreements that allows us to survey the formal structure of any regional organization. For this data set, we will construct a novel survey tool. This tool will also develop “documentary chronologies” of all regional organizations in our sample. These chronologies will be used to construct a data set of “agreement events”, which denote that a regional organization has been established or changed (change=1, no change=0). This data set will allow us to determine the rates of change for regional organization agreements.

The project will systematically collect data on the formal organizational characteristics of regional organizations. This information will be used to construct a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organizations.

The survey will involve two distinct survey steps, which will result in two different data sets. We will establish a data set of coded agreements that allows us to survey the formal structure of any regional organization. For this data set, we will construct a novel survey tool. This tool will also develop “documentary chronologies” of all regional organizations in our sample. These chronologies will be used to construct a data set of “agreement events”, which denote that a regional organization has been established or changed (change=1, no change=0). This data set will allow us to determine the rates of change for regional organization agreements.
The Impact of the Emerging Asian Powers on Global Constitutionalization: China and India as Regional Challengers

>> Nele Noesselt

Project
- To evaluate the role and impact of rising (regional) powers on processes of global constitutionalization.

Goals
- To map "non-Western" normative reflections on global constitutionalization.
- To analyze the interplay between national, regional and global realms in the context of global constitutionalization (China/India/Europe/US).
- To analyze intraregional and interregional normative convergence and divergence in global governance (China/India/Europe/US).

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of global governance
- Theories of (global) constitutionalization
- Theories of international law

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intergovernmental Comparison
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Comparative Design: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global

Level of Analysis: Individuals, Organizations, Countries

Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (newspaper articles, political documents (official reports/declarations/ statements), Databases (Cross-Asian)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Discourse Analysis, Grounded Theory

Cooperation
- Prof. Dr. Antje Weiner, University of Hamburg
- Prof. Dr. Zhang Yongjin, Bristol
- Prof. Dr. Jin Canrong, Renmin University, Peking

Outcomes
- "Regional Integration in East Asia", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2011
- "Chinese Foreign Policy in Transition", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11
- "Transition and Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11
- "Governance in China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2008/09
- "Chinese Foreign Policy in Transition", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2008

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Beyond Westphalia?", paper presented at BSA-ISA Joint Conference 2012, Edinburgh, 22 June 2012

Publications
- Noesselt, N. (2011), Zeitenwende in der internationalen Politik? (The Dawn of a New Age in International Politics?), GIGA Focus Global, 6, Hamburg: GIGA.
- "Die Beziehungen zwischen der EU und China auf dem Prüfstand (The EU-China Relationship being Put to the Test)", GIGA Forum, 7 December 2011

Research Questions
- What are China's and India's perspectives (at the level of ideas) on global (financial) governance?
- Do their normative principles and theory frameworks converge? Are there any common "Asian values" that determine their approach to global politics?
- Or do the differences in their political systems and their cultural-historical backgrounds result in two disparate sets of "Asian norms"?
- Has the formation and institutionalization of the BRICS countries resulted in the coordination of China's and India's (the two Asian BRICS countries) strategic interests and normative approaches to global politics? Or have (unresolved) territorial disputes and Geostrategic competition impeded the formulation of a joint position?
- What have China's and India's contributions (in terms of policy concepts) to the construction of a "new" global (financial) system been? What are China's and India's positions within multilateral frameworks (finance/economy: G 20; World Bank; IMF)? Does multilateral bargaining between the old and the new power centres result in a synthesis of their normative frameworks?

Contribution to International Research
The issues of rule acceptance and compliance with international law dominate the international academic debate on global constitutionalization. In general, the literature assumes a clash of normative principles between Eastern and Western civilizations as well as between the Global North and the Global South. This research project will move beyond the black-and-white “end of history” (Fukuyama) versus “clash of civilizations” (Huntington) typology. Not only will it contrast “Asian” and “European” perspectives on the constitutionalization of a (new) global order, but it will also outline cross-regional normative convergences and intraregional (China vs. India) divergences.

Furthermore, in political philosophy, global rule-making and global governance are discussed in relation to the issue of legitimacy (habermas, Forst). These rather philosophical studies have so far primarily focused on access to participation and the role of the global civil society. The generally agreed upon model of legitimate (global) governance is based mainly on the founding principles of ("Western") democratic nation states. Although some studies admit that other political regimes might try to advance a different normative configuration of global politics, these non-Western perspectives and theory frames have not been systematically documented. The project tries to close this gap by examining Chinese and Indian political philosophy in the fields of global governance and global constitutionalization.

The project will undertake a discourse analysis of Chinese and Indian academic debates on global constitutionalization (monographs, edited volumes, conference proceedings) and official political discourses (speeches, declarations, statements). In addition to the critical analysis of printed materials, the researchers will conduct expert interviews. The project follows a multilevel approach. It starts from the level of two selected rising powers (China, India), continues to the intra- and cross-regional dimension (BRICS), and finally shifts to the level of global politics (case study: global financial governance).

The project is embodied in the Constitutionalism Unbound research project (project leader: Prof. Dr. Antje Weiner, University of Hamburg); the research design follows the theoretical "fract" of constitutional triangulation, which was developed during several joint meetings and workshops in Hamburg and Berlin (2011-2012).
The Constitutional Quality of Regional Governance. The Case of South America and UNASUR

>> Detlef Nolte, Leslie Wehner

Project
- To analyse the evolution of South America’s regional governance architecture as a process in which a plurality of actors establish institutional arrangements, practices, and foundational normative structures in a formerly non-constitutional realm (= constitutionalization).
- To explore the constitutional quality of regional governance structures in South America by combining the analytical dimension of constitutionalization with the analytical dimension of contestation regarding the context, interpretation and application of norms, both within the regional context and in differentiating the regional realm from the global realm.

Theoretical Approaches
- Social constructivism (the influence of norms).
- Neorealism
- Global constitutionalism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Regional Organizations
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (green papers from regional organizations, regional organizations’ constitutional declarations, summit declarations, official documents from regional organizations and member states, regional organizations’ press declarations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation
- Prof. Dr. Antje Wiener, University of Hamburg
- Dr Brigitte Weiffen, University of Konstanz
- Dr Birgitte Weiffen, University of Konstanz

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Institutional Overlapping in Regional Security Organizations: The Case of UNASUR and the OAS", paper presented at the PSA World Congress, Madrid, 8–12 July 2012 (L. Wehner, D. Nolte, B. Weiffen)

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration 2012–2016
Research Programme 4: Selected Publications 2012

Articles in Referred Journals


Contributions to Edited Volumes


- Bodemer, Klaus (2012), Argentinien: Krisenführung (Argentina’s Experience with Crises), in: Brami, Josef, Stefan Mair, and Eberhard Sandschneider (eds), Außenpolitik in der Wirtschafts- und Finanzkrise, München Oldenbourg Verlag, 83–94.


- Erdmann, Gero, and Sebastian Elscher (2012), Südafrika: Historische Lasten (South Africa: Historical Burdens), in: Brami, Josef, Stefan Mair, and Eberhard Sandschneider (eds), Außenpolitik in der Wirtschafts- und Finanzkrise, München Oldenbourg Verlag, 223–232.


- Nolte, Detlef, and Christina Stolte (2012), Brasilien: Aufstieg vom Schuldenstaat zur Wirtschaftsmacht (Brazil: From Debtor Nation to Economic Power), in: Brami, Josef, Stefan Mair, and Eberhard Sandschneider (eds), Außenpolitik in der Wirtschafts- und Finanzkrise, München: Oldenbourg Verlag, 104–113.
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### Academic Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Regional Institute</th>
<th>Research Programme</th>
<th>Regional Focus</th>
<th>Thematic Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ansorg, Nadine</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Post conflict transformation and peace consolidation, regional dynamics of conflict and violence, war studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank, André</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Jordan, Syria, Palestine/Israel, Turkey (Near East Politics)</td>
<td>Authoritarianism, statehood, processes of war and peace, regional conflicts and regional order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basedau, Matthias, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, Mali, Niger, Chad, Botswana</td>
<td>Resources conflicts, religion and violent conflict, civil-military relations, political institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betz, Joachim, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>South Asia, India, Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Official development aid, social policy, economy, political development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bondes, Maria</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Internet and social movements, social activism, environmental politics, ideology and legitimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brod, Magnus C. M.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3, RP 4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Development, economic sociology and economic history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buitrago Bascopé, Miguel A., Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Bolivia, Andean Region (sp. Peru, Ecuador), South America</td>
<td>Decentralization, democratic consolidation, democratic institutions, Bolivian society, social movements, weak or fragile states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Juan, Alexander, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Middle East, Sudan</td>
<td>Institutions in intra-state conflicts, religion and ethnicity in intra-state conflicts, external state-building efforts in fragile states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destradi, Sandra, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>India, South Asia</td>
<td>India’s foreign and security policy, International Relations in South Asia, war and peace processes, Regions and regional Powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elischer, Sebastian, Jun.-Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Ghana, Kenya, Cameroon, Niger, Namibia</td>
<td>Systemic comparisons of hybrid regimes in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdmann, Gero, PD Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, South Africa, Tanzania, Zambia</td>
<td>Democracy and democratization, political parties, civil society, co-operative societies, traditional rule, human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemes, Daniel, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>South America, especially Brazil, BRIC states</td>
<td>Foreign and security policies, regional powers, regional cooperation, defence and armaments policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fürtig, Henner, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Egypt</td>
<td>Domestic and foreign policy, social and political transformation, democratisation, programs and structures of political Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garling, Stephanie</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Foreign aid, religion and politics, critical theory, discourse analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geisbert, Lena</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>East Africa, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda</td>
<td>Poverty and economic development, micro-level poverty traps, social protection, microfinance, microinsurance, employment and well-being, informal sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giese, Karsten, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, Taiwan</td>
<td>Migration, socio-cultural change, Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grauvogel, Julia</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>International sanctions, persistence and change of autocratic regimes, qualitative methods, framing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gundlach, Erich, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>International productivity differences, global transitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haasnoot, Cornelis W.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Fiscal federalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haasß, Felix</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Peacebuilding, coordination and effectiveness of UN peace operations, institution building in post-conflict societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hahn, Kristina, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Contested World Orders, NGOs in Global Governance, Theories of power in international relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harteisen, Mirjam</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Environmental and developmental economics, international climate policy, landgrab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hein, Wolfgang, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Agriculture and development, technology and industrial promotion, development theories, environmental problems, health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettig, Elisabeth</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Indonesia, Sumatra</td>
<td>Modelling the socio-economic driver of land-use change at rainforest margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyl, Charlotte</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>Judicial independence in West Africa, accountability institutions in processes of democratization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffmann, Bert, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America, Cuba</td>
<td>State and civil society, political implications of digital media use, transnational migration and diaspora policies, EU-Latin America relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holbig, Heike, Prof. Dr. (on leave)</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Domestic policy, interest politics in China’s business sector, political legitimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iskander, Elizabeth, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Egypt, Iran, Turkey</td>
<td>Arabic media, politics of identity and power in the Middle East, Egyptian politics and society, Arab-Iranian relations, sectarianism, the politics of religion, conflict prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jetschke, Anja, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>International Relations, comparative regionallism, transitional justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappel, Robert, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Globalization, developing countries in the global economy, corporate strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Köllner, Patrick, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Japan, North and South Korea</td>
<td>Formal and informal institutions, political parties and organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Körner, Peter, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Research project “Religion and Civil War: On the Ambivalence of Religious Factors in sub-Saharan Africa”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koos, Carlo</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>South Sudan risk assessment, role of Uran in intrastate conflicts, geo-referential sub-national comparisons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korte, Nina</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Indonesia, Philippines</td>
<td>State and public administration in Southeast Asia, formal and informal institutions, public finances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krause, Jana</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Indonesia, Nigeria</td>
<td>Ethno-religious conflicts, peacebuilding, conflict transformation and security sector reform, gender dimensions of armed violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtenbach, Sabine, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Central America, Colombia</td>
<td>Postwar societies, violence and social change, Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay, Jann, Jun.-Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Economy, development, poverty, climate change, land grabbing, informal entrepreneurship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linde, Sarah</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Sri Lanka, Uganda</td>
<td>Research project: „Employment, empowerment and living standards”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llanos, Mariana, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America, Argentina</td>
<td>Political institutions, presidentialism, Latin American congresses, political recruitment, President-Congress relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas, Viola</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Resilience of authoritarian rule, economic development theory, modernization theory and transformation theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mählé, Annegret, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Nigeria, Andean countries</td>
<td>Ethnicity, resource abundance and intrastate conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marfaing, Laurence, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>Migration informal sector translocality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattes, Hanspeter, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Libya, Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, the Maghreb, the Sahel</td>
<td>Political systems and their transformation, aspects of stability, foreign policy, soft security problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mau, Karsten</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>PR China</td>
<td>Trade, economic growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehler, Andreas, PD Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Frankophone Central and West Africa</td>
<td>Violent conflicts and their prevention, democratization processes, German and French Africa policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monteith, Wil</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Uganda</td>
<td>Relationship between employment and well-being, empowerment and the capability approach, informal employment and migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neff, Daniel, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>South Asia, India</td>
<td>Economy and Society, socio-economic development, poverty, well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nösselt, Nele, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Chinese foreign policy and China’s role in world affairs, EU-China relations, Chinese IR theory, governance in China, transformation / transition of socialist systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noite, Detlef, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Latin America, especially Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Paraguay</td>
<td>Forms of government, developmental cooperation, EU-USA-LA relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noite, Kerstin</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Research project „Land Grabbing and Sustainable Development“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottmann, Martin, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Research project „‘Power-Sharing’ in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peetz, Peter, Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA/ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Peru, Honduras</td>
<td>Insecurity, violence and discourses of violence, political, economic and social development, democracy issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellicer, Miquel, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Causes of poverty and inequality in developing countries, economics of education, political economy, political clientelism, Islamist parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierskalla, Jan</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Ethnicity, resources and conflict, urban and rural bias in the developing world, political economy and geography of development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pod, Brée, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Research project „The productivity effects of foreign direct investment (FDI) of North-South and South-South firms: The case of Sub-Saharan Africa“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediger, Sebastian</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Cooperation and social preferences, management of common-pool resources, informal sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prys, Miriam, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Regional and emerging powers, global and regional environmental politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranks, Annette (née Büchs)</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Egypt, Yemen</td>
<td>Political Islam, the Muslim Brotherhood, transnational Salafism, social movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renner, Sebastian</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Brazil, China, India, South Africa</td>
<td>Environmental and development economics, Poverty reduction and low carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>economic development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richter, Thomas, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Mauretania, the small Gulf states, Egypt,</td>
<td>Renter state theory, stability and change in authoritarian regimes, foreign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>trade reforms in developing countries, tourism sector and late-development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosiny, Stephan, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Lebanon, Palestine, Egypt, Iraq</td>
<td>Political Islam and Islamic movements, Sunni–Shia relations, contemporary Shia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilling-Vacaflor,</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru</td>
<td>Constitutional changes in Latin America, human rights, rights of indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almut, Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>peoples, social inequality, research on conflict and peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schucker, Günter, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Social development, employment, social security, health, education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schüller, Margot, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Economic development, financial sector, industrial policy, EU and Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulz, Martin</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Democratization process in South Korea, constitutional law, international law,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>human rights law, economic law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shim, David, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>North East Asia</td>
<td>Visuality and international politics, security in Northeast Asia, innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>system and policy of South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sipangule, Kacana</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Indonesia, Zambia</td>
<td>Land use change modelling, smallholder agriculture production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soest, Christian von, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Southern Africa, South Africa, Zambia, Botswana</td>
<td>Development policy, state and administration in sub-Saharan Africa, HIV/AIDS,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neopatrimonialism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strasheim, Julia</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Interim governments in post-conflict societies, democratization, conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh, Alexander, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Francophone West Africa, mainly Benin,</td>
<td>Political parties and party systems, political institutions in processes of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burkina Faso and Togo, Rwanda</td>
<td>democratization, comparative research on democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunik, Anna</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Monarchies in the Middle East, International Relations and foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>analysis, comparative authoritarianism studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibi Weber, Cordula</td>
<td>IALS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Chile, Paraguay</td>
<td>Judicial independence in Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapp, Katharina</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Research project „Collaborative Research Centre 990: Ecological and Socioeconomic Functions of Tropical Lowland Rainforest Transformation Systems“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ufen, Andreas, PD Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Indonesia, East Timor, Malaysia, Philippines, ASEAN</td>
<td>Democratization, islamization, political parties, weak states and political</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(on leave)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>violence, regional integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vüllers, Johannes, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa (Tanzania, Côte d’Ivoire), Philippines, Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Religion in violent conflicts; mediation in civil wars; power-sharing in post-conflict countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegenast, Tim, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Latin America, Brazil</td>
<td>Political economy, Inequality, natural resources and internal violence, institutional design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegner, Eva, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Political parties in developing countries, Islamist parties, demand for redistribution, accountability in dominant party systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wehner, Leslie, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Argentina, Chile, Venezuela</td>
<td>UNASUR, regional integration, role theory and status, relationships of the US and regional powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanker, Franziska</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Research project “Local arenas of power-sharing”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Doctoral Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Doctoral Thesis</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abb, Pascal</td>
<td>China’s Foreign Policy and the Emergence of Institutional Multilateralism in East Asia</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrera, Anna</td>
<td>Change in indigenous / community law in the Andean Region and the legal protection of women</td>
<td>Cusanuswerk</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothmann, Astrid</td>
<td>(No) Transitional Justice in Nicaragua: Reasons and consequences for not dealing with the past</td>
<td>Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burilkov, Alexandr</td>
<td>High Seas Challenge: Maritime Strategy in China, India and Iran</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Henner Fürthig (IMES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpes, Mariana</td>
<td>Bringing the region in: a neoclassical realist approach for the study of rising powers nuclear strategies (working title)</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frondörfer, Markus</td>
<td>Brazil’s emerging influence in global sectoral governance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>García, Daniela</td>
<td>Solar Energy and the Problem of Path Dependency in</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garzón, Jorge</td>
<td>Hierarchical Regional Orders: Theory, Politics and Strategies toward the Construction of Benign Regional Unipolarity</td>
<td>Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geise, Torsten</td>
<td>Power in Regional Security Governance Formation: Southeast Asia and the case of securing maritime trade (working title)</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess, Natalie</td>
<td>The Strategic Partnerships between the European Union and Regional Powers (Brazil, India, South Africa)</td>
<td>Friedrich-Naumann-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Doctoral Thesis</td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoepfner, Maren</td>
<td>Emergence in world politics – the case of East Asia (working title)</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koß, Maren</td>
<td>Islamist Organizations and normative Change: Analyzing Hubullah’s and Hamas’</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identity and Behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nymalm, Nicola</td>
<td>From ‘Japan-Problem’ to ‘China-Threat’? Comparing the Discourses on Japan</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1980-1995) and China (1995-2010) in the United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters, Ina</td>
<td>Contentious Politics in the Brazilian Amazon: An analysis of the collective</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Noelle (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>action against the Belo Monte Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters, Mascha</td>
<td>Media and Politics in South Korea: Assessing the Impact of Media in the</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Context of Political and Societal Transformation Processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagemann,</td>
<td>On the Cosmopolitan Potential of Democratic Regional Powers - Normative</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannes</td>
<td>Aspects of the Rise of the Rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasad, Karolina</td>
<td>Identity and Institutions: ethnicity as the dependent variable in Malaysian</td>
<td>Cusanuswerk</td>
<td>PD Dr. Andreas Utten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Indonesian Borneo (working title)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rauland, Julia</td>
<td>The role of regional powers in regional integration processes – Brazil and South</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Noelle (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Africa in comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Concluded Doctoral Studies 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Doctoral Thesis</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buitrago Bascopé,</td>
<td>The effects of the decentralization process on the consolidation process of the Bolivian democracy</td>
<td>Fellow in the program for international students from Hamburg University and DAAD</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miguel A.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucker, Dennis</td>
<td>Development and Climate Change in the Mekong River Delta. A case study on poverty, vulnerability, and how adaptive capacity can be enhanced</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godehardt, Nadine</td>
<td>Regional Orders and Intertwined Actors. China’s engagement in Central Asia, 2001-2010</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haas, Nadine</td>
<td>Violence in Central American literature and other media</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Markus Klaus Schäffauer (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanif, Melanie</td>
<td>The rise of regional powers and the changing legitimacy of regions in international relations. With a reconstruction of the Indian case</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow, Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Joachim Betz (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mährer, Annette</td>
<td>Cursed by resource wealth? The political economy of oil in Latin America</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meissner, Hannes</td>
<td>The Resource Curse and the Effect of Inter- and Transnational Initiatives to Enhance Accountability and Transparency over Revenues in Azerbaijan and Turkmenistan</td>
<td>Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevers, Babette</td>
<td>Knowledge Systems and Change in Climate Governance: Comparing India and South Africa 2007-2010</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GIGA Doctoral Students 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Doctoral Thesis</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranko, Annette</td>
<td>The Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood under Mubarak (1981-2011): Transformation in the Process of Ideational Interaction with the State</td>
<td>Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shim, David</td>
<td>Seeing is Believing: Imaging North Korean in International Politics</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh, Alexander</td>
<td>Erfolgsbedingungen politischer Parteien in Afrika. Benin und Burkina Faso seit der Rückkehr zum Mehrparteiensystem (Factors of Success for Political Parties in Africa. Benin and Burkina Faso since the Return to a Multi-Party System)</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vüllers, Johannes</td>
<td>Es sind noch keine Friedensengel vom Himmel gefallen... Friedensengagement von Religionsgemeinschaften in innerstaatlichen Gewaltkonflikten, 1990 bis 2009 (Peace Engagement of Religious Groups in Domestic Violent Conflicts 1990 – 2009)</td>
<td>GIGA Junior Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Andreas Hasenclever (University of Tübingen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeino-Mahmalat,</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia’s and Iran’s Iraq Policies in the Post-Gulf War Era – Rethinking Foreign Policy Analysis in the Gulf at the Intersection of Power, Interests, and Ideas</td>
<td>Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
// MEMBERS OF THE ACADEMIC ADVISORY BOARD
Members of the Academic Advisory Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>University/Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Jürgen Rüland</td>
<td>University of Freiburg, Department of Political Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chairman)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Sebastian Lentz</td>
<td>Leibniz Institute for Regional Geography (IfL), Leipzig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Deputy Chairman)</td>
<td>University of Leipzig, Regional Geography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Michiel Baud</td>
<td>Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latin American Studies an der University of Amsterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Ulf Engel</td>
<td>University of Leipzig, Institute of African Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Sebastian Heilmann</td>
<td>University of Trier, Political Science Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Anke Hoeffler</td>
<td>University of Oxford, Centre for the Study of African Economies (CSAE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Andrew Hummel, Ph.D.</td>
<td>University of Oxford, Balliol College, Department of Politics and International Relations (DPIR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Eberhard Kienle</td>
<td>Politiques publiques, Action politique, Territoires (PACTE) – Institut d’Études Politiques de Grenoble (IEP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Stephan Klasen, Ph.D.</td>
<td>University of Göttingen, Faculty of Economic Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ibero-America Institute for Economic Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Anna Leander, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Copenhagen Business School, Department for Management, Politics and Philosophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Christian Lequesne</td>
<td>CERI – Sciences Po, Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Antje Wiener, Ph.D.</td>
<td>University of Hamburg, Institute for Political Science, Centre for Globalisation and Governance (CGG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
> Cooperation Partners in Research Projects

1. Universities

- Aarhus University
- Australian National University
- Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel
- Bogor Agricultural University
- Chiang Mai University, Thailand
- China Foreign Affairs University, Beijing
- De La Salle University, Manila
- Delhi School of Economics
- Duke University
- East China Normal University, Shanghai
- European University Institute, Florence
- Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Quito, Santiago de Chile und San Jose
- Free University of Amsterdam
- Freie Universität Berlin / Collaborative Research Center 700
- Graduate School of Public Administration and Public Policy (EGAP)
- Harvard University
- Indiana University, Bloomington
- Jacobs University Bremen
- Jahangimargar University, Savar, Dhaka
- Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi
- Leibniz University Hannover
- Payap University, Chiang Mai
- Pontifícia Universidade Católica, Rio de Janeiro
- Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá
- Renmin University, Beijing
- Rice University, Houston
- School of Oriental and African Studies (London)
- Singapore Management University
- Tadulaku University
- The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- Tongji University, Shanghai
- Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu
- UMB Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- Universidad Andina, Quito, Ecuador
- Universidad Central de Venezuela
- Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile
- Universidad Católica, La Paz, Bolivia
- Universidad Simón Bolívar, Caracas
- Universidad Torcuato di Tella, Buenos Aires
- Universidade de Brasília
- Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo
- Universidade National Timor-Lorosae
- Universität Duisburg-Essen
- Universität Frankfurt
- Universität Göttingen
- Universität Greifswald
- Universität Hamburg
- Universität Heidelberg
- Universität Kiel
- Universität Konstanz
- Universität Marburg
- Universität Passau
- Universität Trier
- Universität Tübingen
- Universität Wien
- Universität Würzburg
- Universität Zürich
- Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar
- Université d’Alger
- Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Benin
- Université de Bamako
- Université d’Oran
- University of Birmingham
- University of British Columbia
- University of California at San Diego
- University of Cape Town
- University of Durham
- University of Ghana
- University of Hildesheim
- University of Iowa
- University of Johannesburg, Institute for International Affairs
- University of Liège
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- University of Oxford
- University of Rotterdam
- University of Southern Denmark in Odense
- University of Stellenbosch, Südafrika
- University of Stockholm
- University of Tehran
- University of Texas at Austin
- Uppsala Universitet
2. Research Institutes

- Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Al-Sharq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Archive the International Monetary Fund, Washington D.C.
- BRICS Policy Center
- Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda
- Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern
- Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam
- Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS), Kathmandu
- Centre for Rising Powers, Cambridge
- Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), Jakarta
- Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Paris
- Centro de Estudios Judiciales del Paraguay, Asunción
- China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing
- EU Institute for Security Studies (EUISS), France
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
- Fundação Getulio Vargas, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo
- German Institute for Economic Research (DIW), Berlin
- Hannah-Arendt-Institute, Dresden
- Institute for Peace Research and Security Policy (IFSH), Hamburg
- Institute for Intercultural and International Studies (InIIS), Bremen
- Institute for Defence and Security Analyses, New Delhi
- Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies, CASS, Beijing
- Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka
- Institute of Strategic Studies, Islamabad
- International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands
- Kiel Institute for the World Economy (IWE)
- Liu Institute for Global Issues, Canada
- Monterey Institute of Technology and Higher Education
- National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
- Peace Research Institute Frankfurt (HSFK)
- Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), Norway
- Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK)
- School of Development Economics, National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA), Bangkok
- Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA)
- Southern Institute for Sustainable Development/Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- The Graduate Institute Geneva, Centre of Conflict, Development and Peace-Building, Genf
- Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (WZB)

Associations

- Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS)
- African Studies Association (ASA)
- American Political Science Association (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutsche Lateinamerika-Forschung (ADLAF)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Pazifistische Studien Aachen (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Kriegsursachenforschung (AKUF)
- Arbeitskreis sozialwissenschaftliche Chinforschung (ASC)
- Arbeitskreis Politische Geographie
- Asia Europe Foundation (ASEF)
- Asociación Española de Ciencia Política y de la Administración (AECPA)
- Asociación Latinoamericana de Ciencia Política (ALACIP)
- Association for Korean Studies in Europe (AKSE)
- Association of Asian Studies
- British Association for Korean Studies (BAKS)
- British Association for Japan Studies
- British International Studies Association
- Chinesisch-Deutsche Gesellschaft
- Consejo Europeo de Investigaciones Sociales de América Latina / Europäischer Rat der sozialwissenschaftlichen Lateinamerika-Forschung (CEISAL)
- Deutsche Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vorderer Orient für gegenwartsbezogene Forschung und Dokumentation (DAVO)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Asienkunde (DGA)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Soziologie (DGS)
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Chinastudien
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Politische Wissenschaft (DVPW)
- Deutsch-Koreanisches Forum
- East Asian Economic Association
- Euro-Mediterranean Study Commission (EuroMeSCo)
- Europäische Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Lateinamerika-Information und -Dokumentation (REDIAL)
- European Alliance for Asian Studies (Asia Alliance)
- European Association for Chinese Studies (EACS)
- European Association for Japanese Studies (EJAS)
- European Association for Southeast Asian Studies (EASAS)
- European Association of Development Research and Training Institutions (EADI)
- European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR)
- European Economic Association (EEA)
- European Sociological Association
> International Cooperation Agreements

- Al-Ahram Center for Political and Strategic Studies (ACPS), Cairo, Egypt
- Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE), Mexico City, Mexico
- China Foreign Affairs University (CFAU), Beijing, China
- Department of Comparative Politics, University of Bergen, Norway
- Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO-Chile), Santiago de Chile, Chile
- Fundacao Getulio Vargas (FGV), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Fundación Global Democracia y Desarrollo (FUNGLODE), Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic
- Fundación para las Relaciones Internacionales y el Diálogo Exterior (FRIDE), Madrid, Spain
- Ghana Center for Democratic Development (GCD), Accra, Ghana
- Institute for Defence Studies and Analysis (IDSA), New Delhi, India
- Instituto de Ciencias Sociais da Universidade de Lisboa (ICS-UL), Lisbon, Portugal
- Instituto de Estudios Internacionales (IEI), Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (PUC-Chile), Santiago de Chile, Chile
- Pontificia Universidad Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- School of International Relations and Public Affairs, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- Tongji University, Shanghai, China
- Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (UAEM), Toluca, Mexico
- Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia
- Universidad del Salvador (USAL), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Universidad Santiago de Cali (USC), Cali, Colombia
- Universidad Torcuato Di Tella (UTDT), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, Brazil
- University of Brasilia Foundation (FUB), Brasilia, Brazil
- University of Leeds, Great Britain
- University of Salamanca (USAL), Salamanca, Spain
- University of Sheffield, Great Britain
- University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA
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