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1. Area Studies, Comparative Area Studies and Globalisation

GIGA is one of the leading European research institutes for area studies and comparative area studies. It analyses political, economic, and social trends in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. GIGA’s research connects the strengths of area- and country-specific knowledge and in-depth field research with cutting-edge theoretical and methodological approaches from political science, international relations, economics, sociology, and other related disciplines.

GIGA’s four regional institutes – the Institute of African Affairs, the Institute of Asian Studies, the Institute of Latin American Studies, and the Institute of Middle East Studies – have extensive expertise on and long-standing working relations with the regions they study. They play a key role in the academic debates within their respective area studies communities. At the same time, GIGA’s research – with its empirical basis in the non-OECD world – contributes important insights to overarching scholarly debates in both intra- and interdisciplinary fora.

GIGA’s four research programmes (RPs) – Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems, Violence and Security, Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation, and Power, Norms, and Governance in International Relations – cut across the regions and deal with both theoretical and practical questions from a comparative perspective.

Comparative area studies (CAS), one of the unique selling points of GIGA’s work, systematically combines the regional focus and expertise of area studies with the explicit and rigorous use of comparative methods to generate additional insights into the cases under study and to contribute to broader discipline-specific and theoretical debates. CAS’s cognitive interest is hence both generalisation and individualisation. The comparative approach is not restricted to a particular number of cases and may include various units of analysis and (corresponding) inference techniques (for example, small-N controlled comparison, variants of QCA, large-N regressions). CAS may use comparative methods to investigate a specific world region (intraregional comparison), but it is particularly suited to overcoming the limitations of traditional single-area studies through interregional and cross-regional comparisons.

The interest in comparative perspectives on cases outside the northern hemisphere has increased notably in recent times. This expanded interest is linked to real-world changes in the political, economic, and societal spheres: Political regimes that for decades seemed to be stable are undergoing massive changes. New forms of violence and conflict that have emerged since the end of the East-West conflict are challenging classical notions of security. The globalised economy has brought about new opportunities and threats, as well as calls for answers to issues like poverty or climate change. And the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) have emerged in various world regions as new powers that are able to challenge the traditional dominance of the trans-Atlantic players. Comparative area studies can play an important role in better understanding these developments and their repercussions for Asia, Africa, Latin America and the Middle East – as well as for Germany and Europe.

In recent decades the increasingly vast differentiation between various forms of rulership – from authoritarian to hybrid to democratic – has become evident. Along with this proliferation of regime types in entirely different sociocultural contexts have come several critical questions: How and under which conditions do different regimes legitimate themselves? What explains the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East? Can we observe mutual learning processes between autocracies in different world regions? How much power do courts have within political systems in different regions? Which relationships can be identified between regime type, inequality and poverty?
reduction? GIGA’s Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems deals with these and other related questions.

Since the end of the East–West conflict new forms of violent conflict have dominated in many regions of the world. Intra-state and transnational armed conflicts, often with asymmetrical conflict structures, have replaced bipolar confrontation. Today observers consider state failure, international terrorism, tensions between ethnic and religious identity groups, and conflicts regarding scarce strategic raw materials to be among the most important global security challenges. GIGA’s Research Programme 2: Violence and Security analyses these issues in its comparative research, focusing on the following questions: Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace? Do ethnicity and natural resources jointly increase the risk of violent conflict onset? How can institutional engineering and power-sharing be utilized effectively and successfully in post-conflict societies in different regions of the world? Are sanctions an effective way to deal with so-called pariah states?

The globalisation processes that have occurred since the 1990s have brought about profound economic and societal transformations in most countries in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. While globalisation has in many cases led to economic growth and a higher standard of living, the benefits are not distributed evenly among and inside countries. Poverty, inequality, changes in land-use patterns, and adaption to climate change are some of the challenges individuals, households, firms, and states now have to cope with. GIGA’s Research Programme 3: Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation aims to identify common patterns in the reactions to globalisation-related socio-economic transformations, while at the same time accounting for national and regional differences. How can poverty dynamics and poverty traps be explained? What is the relationship between climate change mitigation and poverty reduction? What are the impacts of large-scale land acquisitions? How can economic and social development be combined with environmental sustainability?

Globalisation has also affected international relations and global policy-making. Emerging countries such as China, India, Brazil, and South Africa are challenging the global distribution of power and have become important players in both their respective regions and at the global level. At the same time, intergovernmental organisations – especially regional organisations – and non-state actors such as transnational companies and non-governmental organisations are playing an increasing role in addressing social problems that are beyond the individual control of nation states. These developments give rise to a number of questions that call for comparative research: How are the emerging countries influencing regional and global shifts of power? What role do non-state actors play in global norm-building processes? What determines the institutional design of regional organisations in different world regions? GIGA’s Research Programme 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations addresses these and related questions.

The GIGA research profile reflects the above societal and political changes and the corresponding academic debates. Political science and economics form the backbone of the institute’s disciplinary focus, but GIGA remains open to the incorporation of other disciplines such as history, cultural anthropology, and sociology. Because its scholars have both, a distinct background in a particular discipline and profound area-specific expertise on Africa, Asia, Latin America, or the Middle East, the GIGA combines the respective strengths of area studies and disciplinary approaches. Through its approach, the institute contributes not only to a deeper understanding of country- or region-specific developments but also to general theory-building and methodological innovation. Intra-, inter-, and cross-regional comparisons are highly useful in identifying common patterns and differences in and between regions and in analysing the interactions between global, national and local processes.

As a member of the Leibniz Association, the GIGA is committed to academic excellence and research-based knowledge transfer. In accordance with the core principle of the Leibniz Association, “thorea cum prax”, the topics the GIGA researches are always of both academic and real-world relevance. The institute’s research findings are distributed not only to the scientific community but also to decision makers and the general public.

### Research Programmes

The GIGA’s research is organised in a matrix structure that systematically links four regional institutes and four research programmes. The regional institutes cultivate the necessary area competence at the GIGA, maintain close ties to their respective regions, act as hubs for the area studies communities, and transfer knowledge on developments in the four world regions. The research programmes structure the research agenda at the GIGA, bring in the necessary disciplinary perspectives from the fields of political science, economics history and related disciplines, promote comparative approaches on inter-, intra-, or cross-regional issues, and conduct knowledge transfer on overarching topics. It is the complementary interaction of both elements that enables the GIGA to pursue its unique comparative area studies approach.

#### Organisation Structure

All researchers are based in one regional institute and participate in at least one research programme. This guarantees the continuous exchange of ideas and knowledge on and beyond the regions and stimulates innovative research. New research topics are generated both through bottom-up processes and through the strategic decisions of the GIGA research council (RC) and the executive board. The RC consists of the directors of the regional institutes, the heads of the research programmes, the academic director of the doctoral programme, the research manager, and the president. The council discusses and coordinates all issues relevant to the GIGA research profile – including this research plan.
Third-party funding plays an important role in the implementation of the GIGA research agenda. Roughly 28 per cent of the institute’s overall budget comes from competitive calls or programmes. Funds from the German Research Foundation (DFG) account for approximately 20 per cent of all third-party funding. A considerable share also comes from independent foundations such as the Volkswagen Foundation, the Fritz Thyssen Foundation, and the German Foundation for Peace Research, which together account for around 20 per cent of all third-party funding. Another 30 per cent of third-party funding is competitively acquired from federal ministries, and a further 20 per cent comes from other sources, among them the Leibniz Competition, the EC, and the World Bank. Altogether, the GIGA’s third-party funding amounted to 3.46 million EUR in 2014.

All the entities within the matrix structure – the four regional institutes and the four research programmes – are well positioned in national and international networks and associations. GIGA’s lead researchers are represented on the boards of area-specific associations such as the African Studies Association in Germany (VAD), the Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS), the German Association for Asian Studies (DGAI), the European Alliance for Asian Studies, the German Association of Latin American Studies (ADLAF), the Consejo Europeo de Investigaciones Sociales de America Latina (CEBAL), and the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (EURAMES). GIGA is also a founding member of the new association Cross/Area and an institutional member of the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) and the European Association of Development Research and Training Institutes (EADI). Its researchers further engage in discipline-specific associations such as the German Political Science Association (DVfS), the International Studies Association (ISA) and the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (EURAMES). GIGA is also a founding member of the new association Cross/Area and an institutional member of the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) and the European Association of Development Research and Training Institutes (EADI). Its researchers further engage in discipline-specific associations such as the German Political Science Association (DVfS), the International Studies Association (ISA) and the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (EURAMES). GIGA is also a founding member of the new association Cross/Area and an institutional member of the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) and the European Association of Development Research and Training Institutes (EADI). Its researchers further engage in discipline-specific associations such as the German Political Science Association (DVfS), the International Studies Association (ISA) and the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (EURAMES).

GIGA has formal cooperation agreements with leading research institutes and universities in Europe, Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. The institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP) Network was initiated together with the Peace Research Institute Oslo, the University of Uppsala, the University of Oslo, the Graduate Institute Geneva and the School of Oriental and African Studies in London and deals with the role of institutions in divided societies and their contribution to peace processes. The IDGAR Network (International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes) is built together with partners in Germany (MZB, University of Heidelberg, University of Hildesheim), Europe (King’s College London, University of Amsterdam, University of Oxford), and North America (Cornell University, University of Colorado, University of Oregon, University of Toronto, University of Texas).

GIGA is also well positioned in the German academic landscape. It collaborates closely with a number of universities (for example, Hamburg, Göttingen, Lüneburg, FU Berlin, Frankfurt, Kiel) and non-university research institutes (for example, WZB, HSFN) through joint research projects, teaching activities, the joint appointment of professors, and/or joint doctoral training. The institute has a long and fruitful history of cooperation, especially with the University of Hamburg. GIGA researchers teach up to 30 hours per semester at the university’s School of Business, Economics and Social Sciences and its School of Humanities. The GIGA and the University of Hamburg also collaborate in doctoral training. Together they successfully acquired funding for a structured graduate school from the Leibniz Competition and the Excellence Initiative of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. With this funding (from 2010 to 2013) they jointly ran the Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers. They also closely collaborate in the new GIGA doctoral programme and acquired funding for the Marie Curie Initial Training Network PRIMo (Power and Region in a Multipolar order). Doctoral students participate in many of the research projects detailed in this research plan; their training and qualification is an integral part of GIGA’s personnel development strategy.

Ultimately, it is the GIGA’s highly qualified academic and non-academic staff who are key to the successful implementation of the research agenda outlined above. Their strong commitment to excellence ensures the institute’s position as an innovative leader in area studies and comparative area studies work.

3. Research Programmes

The GIGA’s research is contributing to understanding political and socio-economic developments in its study regions, and how these regions shape and are affected by global processes. Topical issue of regional and global relevance are addressed using GIGAs approach to area and comparative area studies that combines social science and area expertise and allows the GIGA to contribute to and shape both disciplinary and regional debates. The topics are being generated within the broad thematic corridors provided by the research programmes that cover key facets of regional and global developments. The profile of the research programmes is presented below; a detailed description of the GIGA’s research projects is provided on pages 33–146.

Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

In recent years, international calls for minimum standards of rule in terms of democracy and human rights in Africa, Latin America and the Middle East have continued. These calls have had consequences on the ground: not only is there resistance within these regions to these standards, but the resistance has also impacted universal norms. Research Programme (RP) 1’s researchers thus examine the tensions between the efficiency and legitimacy of political systems in the above-mentioned regions.

No political regime can survive for long without the diffuse legitimacy of the political system as a whole. At times, this more general legitimacy can be replaced by a specific legitimacy that comes from the economic and social benefits of the system. Conversely, citizens might accept the inefficiency of political institutions for some time if, for example, the system simultaneously broadens participation or improves the protection of minority rights. RP 1 analyses how the reproduction of systems occurs despite a lack of legitimacy and/or efficiency. Within national political systems, legitimacy and efficiency are influenced by changes in statehood, and by international and transnational linkages. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of these processes usually requires multilevel analyses. The RP investigates not only formal but also informal mechanisms that lead to the reproduction of different types of political systems, as well as the interrelated formal and informal actors, organisations and institutions involved. Informal mechanisms for the reproduction of political systems or subsystems have only barely been analysed comparatively. If such mechanisms have been the subject of interest, they have usually been dealt with in a typifying and normatively pejorative manner. These informal mechanisms, however, can also have positive effects, including increased efficiency or even the legitimacy of governance within a particular political system.

Research Team 1: Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes

With the ebbing of the “third wave of democratisation”, the number of non-democratic regimes has remained largely constant. In addition, the international influence of a number of authoritarian states, particularly the People’s Republic of China, has increased significantly, while some democracies have suffered an extensive loss of democratic quality and are now classified as “hybrid regimes”. As the glamour of the Western model of democracy has appeared to fade, the question of whether there is substance behind a global shift in favour of liberal political models has emerged. The ambiguous evolution of the “Arab Spring” mirrors this contradictory development.
Against this background, the empirical and theoretical analysis of non-democratic regimes has gained relevance. The research team's aim is to explore the functional logic of authoritarian and hybrid regimes in non-OECD regions, and to analytically capture their similarities and differences, their transformation processes, and their developmental leaps. The team analyses not only formal institutions, but also informal strategies of legitimisation, such as the patronage-based preservation of power and institutions. RT 1 researchers apply relational and differential sociological approaches in their investigations of civil society, associations, the public sphere and social movements.

RT members analyse the following key issues within the scope of their individual projects:

- Which legitimisation strategies do authoritarian regimes pursue, and are these strategies successful?
- What role do economic performance, the strategic distribution of resources to certain parts of society, and the normative justification of rule and the preservation of power play in this context?
- Which processes of adaption to international and economic standards, as well as related learning and interaction processes, can be observed among non-democratic and, above all, authoritarian regimes?
- How are political actors and institutions in non-democratic regimes interrelated, and do they form lasting alliances? Which governance outcomes do civil society organisations and actors in particular generate, and what role do these groups play in the maintenance of undemocratic regimes?
- Which factors favour the development of neopatrimonial rule in non-democratic regimes?

The functioning of authoritarian and hybrid regimes can also be effectively elaborated by looking at selected parts of the regime, policy fields, issues or conflicts. For this purpose, single case studies intended to generate explanatory theoretical approaches can be of great value. However, the RT's focus is designed to be comparative (with small and large numbers of cases) and to aim for explanatory theoretical approaches with a medium reach. These concern, not least, the extent to which region-specific characteristics that allow for convincing typologies can be detected, or the extent to which cross-regional characteristics are decisive factors.

Research Team 2: The Politics of Courts and Constitutions

This well-established research field in political science deals with the boundaries that legal regulations set for the behaviour of government representatives and organisations (constitutional debate aspects) as well as with the influence of political actors, institutions and processes on legislation (judicial policy aspects). The RT develops projects that tackle both perspectives. On the one hand, the study of the politics of constitutional reforms acknowledges the numerous contemporary judicial and constitutional reform processes. These reforms affect the type and number of human rights that acquire constitutional status and redefine features of the governmental institutions. These sometimes create tensions between the two parts of the basic laws, i.e. institutional systems not well suited for the protection of rights. Therefore, the positive or negative implications of constitutional reforms for democratisation processes are a major concern for this research team. On the other hand, the creation of courts with review powers has been a marked trend all over the world after the Second World War. With these prerogatives, courts acquired an increasingly important political role, as evinced in growing judicial influence on policies and increased resort to the courts by different social and political actors. The judicialisation of politics is a topic of concern for this RT, as well as the independence and the power of courts vis-à-vis the other branches of power.

RT 2 analyses the following questions:

- How much power do courts have within a political system? Why are powerful courts created and sustained? Has there been an increase in the power of courts following democratisation processes?
- Do courts have the ability to control the executive branch? How independent are courts – in democratic as well as in authoritarian regimes?
- How do courts contribute to and safeguard fundamental democratic procedures?
- Which actors are involved in constitutional amendment processes? How are constitutional amendment processes related to their political context (for example, in the context of regional administrations)?

Research Team 3: Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

Within Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East the global call for democratic equality is being challenged by societies characterised by strong internal inequality, from significant social and economic inequalities to ethnic, religious, and gender-related differences. Even though these societies – which include but are not limited to India, Indonesia, Brazil and South Africa – are in many ways marked by a high degree of political and social fragility, among other problems, relatively stable democratic development can also be observed. These countries seem to refute the conventional wisdom that democracy and participation are based on a certain degree of societal homogeneity, and that pronounced social inequality represents a threat to democracy. It is therefore evident that in these regions the potential threat to democracy cannot be reduced to the classical issue of the unresolved “social question”. Any analysis of it requires the inclusion of multiple types of inequality.

The RT’s research agenda is not confined to young democracies. It also includes some older democracies, since most of the democracies in the regions under study are fragile rather than consolidated. This means they are potentially affected not only by declining democratic quality but also by possible transitions into hybrid and authoritarian regimes.

The research team thus investigates the following questions through both intraregional and cross-regional comparisons:

- What impact do the aforementioned inequalities have on civic participation and therefore on both the quality and endurance of democracy?
- Under which conditions does an increase in (1) political participatory rights, (2) civil society organisations, and (3) partisan and social mobilisation encourage democratic development?
- What are the conditions under which an increase in participation encourages clientelism, corporatism, and populist regimes with authoritarian traits?

Research Programme 2: Violence and Security

Research programme (RP) 2 focuses on violence and security issues that affect Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East and also have repercussions for Germany, Europe, and the West. In current world politics, intrastate and transnational conflicts dominate. These are often characterised by asymmetrical conflict structures and exhibit many region-specific features. State failure, transnationally active terrorist and criminal networks, tensions between ethnic and religious identity groups, the spread of weapons of mass destruction, and the quest for scarce raw materials are among today’s key security challenges.

Within RP 2, five research teams (RTs) focus on five sets of problems, all of which can be researched especially effectively using comparative area studies: RT 1 deals with natural resources and security; RT 2 studies religion, conflict and politics; RT 3 examines war and peace processes; RT 4 is dedicated to international sanctions; and RT 5 focuses on forms of violence and public (in)security.

The programme’s researchers use a variety of theoretical approaches and methodologies. Methodologically, they utilise both quantitative and qualitative approaches, including inferential techniques such as multivariate regressions, configurational and interpretative methods (QCA, discourse analysis), and carefully selected small-N samples and (country) case studies. They are particularly interested in adopting a mixed-method approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methodologies and different levels of analysis within single projects.
Research Team 1: Natural Resources and Security

Given the numerous conflicts in resource-exporting countries and the growing concern about the secure supply of strategic resources, the realpolitik importance of natural resources and security is obvious. In recent years, peace and conflict studies have increasingly focused on the relationship between abundance of, and dependence on, natural resources on the one hand, and violence on the other – especially civil war. The growing global scarcity of strategic resources such as oil and the increasing demand for such resources on the part of China and the USA also raise the spectre of intensified global conflicts over resource distribution in the future. The possible negative consequences of climate change represent an additional potential security challenge. The research team’s central questions relate to the ambiguous and context-dependent consequences of natural resources on security within and between states:

- Under what conditions does the exploitation of natural resources lead to violence? And when, in contrast, does it serve as a power resource for political stability?
- How does the strategic importance of some resources ("security of supply") influence security in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East and the foreign policy of Western as well as Southern governments?
- How can key concepts such as rents, raw materials, and resources be adequately operationalised to generate meaningful research results?

Most of the countries that produce and export strategic resources are located in the Middle East, Africa, Latin America, Central Asia, and the Caucasus. Due to the GiGa staff’s regional expertise, the issues raised in RT 1 can be addressed especially well using comparative area studies. To this end, the research projects use both econometric techniques (large-N) and qualitative comparisons of case studies with a smaller number of cases (small-N).

Research Team 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics

The events of 9/11 and the recent political upheavals in the Arab world have underscored the growing importance of religion in global political developments. Conventional wisdom claims that religion’s catalyst effect results in an exceptionally high potential for conflict in political processes. The research team focuses on the following research questions:

- Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence, and when do they lead to peace?
- How are religious identities mobilised in political processes? Does mobilisation on the basis of religion involve international actors, and if so, to what extent?
- Which problem-solving strategies have been used to date, and have they been successful? To what extent and under which conditions are religious peace initiatives successful?
- What determines whether religious, and especially Islamic, groups are dialogue- or conflict-oriented towards the West?

The RT has already established a research project on the subcategory “religion and conflict”. It assumes that the connection between religion and (violent) conflict is much more complex than the public debate suggests. According to Scott Appleby (The Ambivalence of the Sacred), religion is fundamentally ambivalent, and mediation efforts based on religion indicate religion’s peaceful potential. Additionally, the various dimensions of religion – demographic structures, identities, ideas, institutions, and elites – have to be differentiated if one is to understand, for example, the mechanisms used to mobilise religious identities in political processes.

The RT’s second analytical focus is the role and potential of political Islam/Islamism as a factor in conflict and/ or peace. Building on previous research on political Islam’s transnational network-building processes, RT members have reacted to new challenges and questions deriving from the “Arab Spring” by redirecting and diversifying their research. One of the new projects focuses, for example, on power-sharing arrangements in multi-religious societies with the aim of determining whether such arrangements, which have shown promise in Lebanon and Iraq, would work in Syria and Bahrain.

Research Team 3: War and Peace Processes

Organised violent conflicts outside of Europe and North America are becoming increasingly relevant since they involve a growing number of different types of actors; they often display a transnational dimension; and, in the context of globalisation, they have repercussions well beyond the actual battlefields. At the same time, in recent decades there has been a trend towards the peaceful settlement of violent conflicts. The latter phenomenon can be attributed to a paradigm shift within the United Nations ("responsibility to protect") and to the growing engagement of regional organisations, neighbouring states, and non-state actors in conflict resolution.

Recent experiences with state-building in post-war societies show that the way in which state institutions are designed and structured can contribute to peace or conflict, and that the content of peace agreements, the handling of war crimes, and the specific ways in which post-war societies come to terms with the past deeply influence peace processes’ chances of success. In turn, the failure of peace processes can lead to the renewed escalation of violence and to a resumption of conflict.

Against this backdrop, RT 3 investigates and analyses those factors – at the international, regional, national, and local levels – that determine the transition from war to peace and, possibly, back to war. The team focuses on organised violent conflicts, and on the institutional and processual features of conflict conduct and settlement. Moreover, the RT aims to assess which institutional arrangements help promote peace (centralised power structures, electoral systems, party regulations, government systems, etc.). RT researchers investigate these topics using qualitative and quantitative methods, paying particular attention to intra- and interregional comparisons.

The RT focuses particularly on the following research questions:

- What are the implications of (1) the institutional design of a peace treaty and (2) how a society comes to terms with the past for the sustainability of a peace process?

The RT is particularly interested in autocratic regimes’ international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions: How do sanctions affect such regimes’ use of repression, their legitimacy and their ability to safeguard their rule? To what extent does external pressure impel the maintenance of power? How do autocratic regimes use sanctions as a resource, and how do they try to influence the imposition of sanctions?

Research Team 4: Causes and Effects of Sanctions

International sanctions have a bad reputation: many researchers perceive them as ineffective or even counterproductive. In contrast, the United Nations, the United States, and the European Union are increasingly using sanctions to enforce certain behaviours, to restrict the sanctioned unit’s room to manoeuvre or to signal disapproval. However, various autocratic regimes such as Iran, Cuba, North Korea, and Zimbabwe have proven to be extremely resilient to the long-enduring sanctions levied against them.

To date, researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of sanctions on the stability of autocratic regimes. In response, RT 4 comparatively analyses the reactions of autocratic regimes to external pressure. Building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the team’s researchers theoretically identify the potential effects of sanctions and the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable in the face of such external sanctions.

The RT is particularly interested in autocratic regimes’ international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions: How do sanctions affect such regimes’ use of repression, their legitimacy and their ability to safeguard their rule? To what extent does external pressure impel the maintenance of power? How do autocratic regimes use sanctions as a resource, and how do they try to influence the imposition of sanctions?
The RT addresses the following questions:

- What exactly does external pressure look like – that is, which actor is applying which form of pressure with which intensity?
- What factors account for the long-term stability of autocratic regimes in the face of external sanctions?
- Which structural power resources (for example, repression, rents, legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they use them in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes and rulers pursue, nationally and internationally?

Research Programme 3: Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation

Research Programme (RP) 3 focuses on selected socio-economic challenges in the context of globalisation. Globalisation has been accompanied by unprecedentedly swift periods of economic and societal transformation in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East. As part of RP 3, two research teams (RTs) and the Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics investigate these socio-economic transformations from different perspectives and with different focuses:

RT 1 analyses the strategies adopted by individuals, households, firms or specific social groups in order to adapt to challenges related to globalisation. It also examines how these actors make use of new opportunities and the extent to which they contribute to social and global change. Starting from the observation that economic growth puts pressure on the world's resources and ecosystems, RT 2 studies the sustainability of economic transformations. It analyses possible trade-offs and win-win situations between sustainable development on the one hand and economic and human development on the other. The Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics studies the patterns of socio-economic transformation at the macro-level, focusing on the interdependence of long-term economic growth and socio-economic structural change.

Research Team 1: Local Actors of Globalisation: Agency and Responsiveness

The transformation of social structures in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East generates new challenges and opportunities for local actors (individuals, households, firms, social groups, and networks). RT 1 thus examines the following two central questions:

- How do actors react to local and global change – that is, how do they choose to adapt and respond to the challenges related to globalisation (responsiveness)?
- How do actors influence local and global change – that is, how do they use the new possibilities offered by globalisation, and how do they contribute to local and possibly global change (agency)?

Research Team 2: The Socio-Economics of Sustainable Development

Of the many pressures that human activity puts on natural resources and the environment, RT 2 focuses on two key, interrelated issues: climate change and land-use change. The RT studies the drivers and consequences of these phenomena to answer the following two fundamental research questions:

- How can economic and social development be reconciled with environmental sustainability?
- How can possible trade-offs be managed, and can we identify win-win situations that foster both human and “green” development?

Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges. While it was initially caused by the industrialisation of today’s developed world, its continued intensification is mainly attributable to increased emissions from rapidly growing low- and middle-income economies. Identifying climate policy options that will enable these countries to achieve lower-carbon trajectories without compromising economic development and poverty reduction is hence of utmost importance. Similarly, land-use change entails important trade-offs between economic development, and environmental sustainability. While increased agricultural production and more land under cultivation are necessary to feed the globe’s growing population, land-use change threatens biodiversity-rich areas, particularly tropical forests. A particular facet of land-use change is at the core of the team’s current research activities: large-scale land acquisitions in poor countries. While some observers view “land grabbing” as a major threat to the rights and livelihoods of the rural poor, others point to the potential opportunities that could arise from new investments in a long-neglected sector.

To address these issues, RT 2 applies a wide range of (mainly) empirical methods, which are often embedded in comparative research designs. These methods range from case study approaches (using qualitative techniques such as focus group discussions) to microeconomic methods and economy-wide modelling approaches. The comparative approach most often involves comparing micro-evidence at the national level – for example the quality and practice of land governance or the distributional effects of a carbon tax – across countries.

The Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics

Unprecedented periods of economic growth have recently accompanied political, cultural, and sectoral structural change in several developing and emerging countries. The Claussen-Simon Professorship – held by Prof. Dr. Erich Gundlach – analyses the macroeconomic drivers behind the different facets of this structural change.

One of the professorship’s underlying research hypotheses identifies long-term economic growth as the main driving force behind the multidimensional phenomenon of structural change. Conversely, structural change has, through its many facets, certainly been influencing economic growth. The hypothesis of “change through growth” implies that the focus on single dimensions of structural change – for example democratisation and higher levels of public education – is misleading. Instead,
sustainable development requires an integrated approach that considers multiple dimensions of structural change and their interactions at different levels of development. These considerations raise two issues: First, growth and socio-economic structural change must be analysed and explained using a theoretical framework that allows for the derivation of explicit hypotheses about causal relationships. Such a framework needs to combine growth and trade theory with insights from political science. Second, the social relevance of the hypothesis of "change through growth" needs to be examined empirically and must include the identification of causality as the key challenge.

The Claussen-Simon Professorship conceives of socio-economic structural change as systematic patterns of interdependent changes. These interdependencies, as well as possible common drivers of structural change, are explained using a theoretical framework that allows for the derivation of explicit hypotheses about causal relations.

Three research teams deal with these issues:
- RT 1 analyses the foreign policy strategies of emerging powers and the effects of these strategies on different international policy fields.
- RT 2 focuses on the emergence of global governance and transnational norm-building, and also examines non-state actors' participation in these processes.
- RT 3 deals with the increasing influence of regions and regional organisations in international relations.

The RT's research on these topics is based on the assumption that even though similar challenges exist around the globe, such as climate change or the perceived threat of emerging states to international security, they result in the evolution of regionally specific governance structures. This is precisely because these structures are influenced by the particular group of actors involved: the emerging powers, transnational and hybrid actors, and regional organisations. The RT analyse these similarities and variations in regional power and governance structures through intra-, intra- and cross-regional comparisons.

Research Programme 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations

Over the last few decades the nature of international relations has changed considerably. Alongside the process of globalisation, two major political transformations have occurred: the shifting of global power from the US or Western powers to emerging powers (Brazil, Russia, India, China) and a shift in power from state to non-state actors. This development is likely to have significant repercussions for the international system: one can already observe the emergence of new governance structures that more prominently feature non-state actors and emerging powers. One is also seeing regions and regionalisation processes becoming increasingly important. Furthermore, one is witnessing a lively debate about whether the rise of emerging powers such as China will lead to increased violent conflict in the transition phase.

Therefore, Research Programme (RP) 4 has two main research priorities. First, RP 4 seeks to analyse and explain the new patterns of interaction between states, non-state actors, and international organisations. Second, RP 4 identifies what direction international relations will take in the future. RP 4 transcends the traditional state- and hegemony-centred debates on the existence of unipolar or multipolar hegemonic structures (USA/Europe/China/Japan) as well as those concepts that emphasise a mere regional shift in the international system ("Pacific Century").

Emerging powers in Africa, Asia, and Latin America are characterised by active foreign policies with institutional and discursive strategies at the global and regional levels. Furthermore, these new powers are using their increased economic and technological potential to build up their military capacity, which also increases their importance in questions of global security. The increasingly multipolar world order has created new parameters for the development of foreign policy strategies, and these are impacting regional and global interaction. New steering mechanisms like the G20 or intergovernmental networks such as IBSA, BRICS or BASIC provide examples in this regard. In turn, these new mechanisms are shaping the decision making not only of emerging powers' foreign policy actors, but also of the governments of established great powers and regional secondary powers.

To explain foreign policy strategies, the research team undertakes structured and focused case studies of three broad policy fields. The main goal of these studies is to determine the influence of other states' reactions on the behaviour of emerging powers:
- How do secondary powers react to the rise of new powers and their claims to leadership?
- What influence do extra-regional great powers exert on regional power structures? How does the existence of non-existence of regional support influence the global impact of regional powers?
- Which strategies do emerging powers follow in different policy fields, and how effective are they in their global activities?

Research Team 1: Foreign Policy Strategies in a Multipolar System

RT 1 focuses on the foreign policy of emerging powers. There are several deductive categories for such powers in international politics (status-quo oriented, revisionist) which assume divergent from other foreign policy behaviour. However, we actually know very little about which foreign policy strategies these powers really pursue, or about the factors explaining the choice of a specific strategy. As a country's actual strategic behaviour allows for statements and predictions on the development of international relations, the research team analyses these emerging powers' foreign policy strategies across policy fields and regions.

Research Team 2: The Social Constitution of Global Politics

This team researches the social construction of actors' identities in global politics. The rise of new powers is embedded in the process of globalisation, in which states as well as non-state actors are a key force. The team contends that the rise of new states and the interactions between states and non-state actors are always a matter of social construction. The RT deals specifically with the ideas, norms, roles, and values that are being built, interpreted, contested, redefined, and diffused by actors in regional and global governance structures – as well as by actors in regional and international institutions. Understanding these socially driven constellations and dynamics at the regional-global nexus is one of the team's primary tasks.
As a result of the restructuring of international relations, the importance of regions has increased (and continues to increase). The United Nations now even conceptualises regions as security regions that should be more strongly integrated in the management of regional conflicts. However, it is not just regional organisations’ influence in security matters that has been increasing. Since the beginning of the 1990s, the number of regional trade agreements has increased enormously as more and more regional organisations have devoted themselves to both economic and security cooperation. Here the EU has assumed an important role as an exporter of trade agreements. This pattern of interest in Africa is reflected in the IAA’s research programmes the regional institutes act as laboratories for ideas and bring in regional issues. On these grounds, the GIGA remains profoundly embedded in the respective area-specific communities, while at the same time bringing its area-based research forcefully into the overarching disciplinary and inter-disciplinary debates on its core topics.

In the GIGA matrix the regional institutes guarantee the necessary area competence, maintain close ties to their respective regions, act as hubs for the area studies communities, and conduct knowledge transfer on developments in the four world regions. In addition to the research programmes the regional institutes act as laboratories for ideas and bring in regional issues. On these grounds, the GIGA remains profoundly embedded in the respective area-specific communities, while at the same time bringing its area-based research forcefully into the overarching disciplinary and inter-disciplinary debates on its core topics.

4. Regional Institutes

In the GIGA matrix the regional institutes guarantee the necessary area competence, maintain close ties to their respective regions, act as hubs for the area studies communities, and conduct knowledge transfer on developments in the four world regions. In addition to the research programmes the regional institutes act as laboratories for ideas and bring in regional issues. On these grounds, the GIGA remains profoundly embedded in the respective area-specific communities, while at the same time bringing its area-based research forcefully into the overarching disciplinary and inter-disciplinary debates on its core topics.

Institute of African Affairs (IAA)

Sub-Saharan Africa demonstrates a specific mix of real-world challenges and opportunities that have attracted significant academic interest. These include armed conflicts, their causes, and their resolution; difficulties in consolidating democratic institutions; widespread poverty; and new investments, be they for large-scale land acquisitions or the conquest of the growing consumer markets. A limited number of African governments have now become global players and are also receiving more international scholarly attention. This pattern of interest in Africa is reflected in the IAA’s research programme. In this contest, the IAA continues to be part of major Africa-focused research initiatives. For instance, it participates in the DFG’s Priority Programme 1448 (Adaptation and Creativity in Africa), wherein IAA researchers have initiated cross-disciplinary discussions on comparative approaches. At the same time, the IAA is engaged in the many cross-regional studies undertaken within GIGA. The analysis of institutional change (which highlights the different formal and informal notions of institutions) plays a role in all IAA research projects, while IAA scholars also pay particular attention to the continent’s openness to external influences.

Within the GIGA’s Research Programme (RP) 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Institutions, IAA researchers are responsible for the newly created international network International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (IDCAR) – which is funded by the Leibniz Competition – and continue to focus on political regimes in a DFG-funded project that deals with historical-institutional explanations for the evolution of different regime types (democratic, hybrid, and authoritarian) in Africa. Political parties is a long-standing research topic in the IAA and accounts for a number of concluded third-party projects. IAA researchers are also contributing to a cross-regional research project (funding: Leibniz Competition) on the degree of judicial independence vis-à-vis the political power vested in the chief of government. The African countries under study are Benin, Madagascar, Mali, and Senegal. The RP 1’s well-established research on neopatrimonial regimes is complemented by a European Commission-funded, cross-regional project on corruption with many African cases (ANTICORR).
level, with the inclusiveness of power-sharing on the other. In a project of the CRC 700 at the Free University Berlin, IAA researchers are investigating the alternatives to state-sponsored security in areas of extremely limited statehood (in the peripheries of the Central African Republic and South Sudan). One research project looks at the effects of sanctions on authoritarian regimes (funding: Fritz Thysen Foundation). The IAA also has a prominent position in the GIGA's cross-regional network Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP), which is funded by the Leibniz Competition. The network currently includes several internationally renowned researchers from German, European, Australian and US institutions but is looking to extend to the Global South (starting with Africa).

The growing commercial interest in Africa has rekindled academic interest in foreign direct investment (FDI). Within RP 3: Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation, a DFG-funded research project is dealing with the productivity effects in Africa of FDI from North–South and South–South firms. In cooperation with the IAS, the IAA is also investigating (within DFG Priority Programme 1448) African–Chinese encounters – specifically, the local implications and perceptions of the ever-growing Chinese presence in African economies. Furthermore, many rural African locations are now the scene of large-scale land investments. Although this is considered knowledge, empirical research on the exact scope of the phenomenon, how these operations are implemented, and their social consequences is still required. IAA researchers are participating prominently in this endeavour through, inter alia, case studies on Kenya, Mali and Zambia. The classic interest in questions of economic development also continues to play a role in the IAA's work. IAA research on labour markets in Africa focuses on the constraints faced by informal economies and the large number of microenterprises. These businesses account for 60 to 80 per cent of employment in the sub-Saharan region and will remain the most important employers in the foreseeable future. One project (undertaken in cooperation with the KfW Development Bank and funded by the BMZ) explores the multidimensional relationship between the employment, empowerment and living standards of poor individuals and households in Burkiné Faso and Uganda, among other countries. Research on Africa in RP 3 also explores other poverty dynamics, focusing on education and the role that targeted interventions play in breaking the cycle of poverty. Specifically, the EC-funded project NOPCPR deals with the role of education in explaining the persistence of poverty and inequality in the South African case.

Within RP 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations, the IAA analyses the roles of both the major continental powers (Nigeria and South Africa) in international affairs. IAA scholars are also part of the Regional Powers Network. A number of doctoral students affiliated with both the IAA and RP 4 have completed their doctoral theses or are in the process of doing so.

Institute of Asian Studies (IAS)

Asia is the most populous and heterogeneous continent in the world. Arguably, it consists of three distinct but deeply interconnected world regions: Northeast Asia, Southeast Asia, and South Asia, which are all covered by the IAS. In recent years, substantial parts of Asia have experienced rapid development. The current international status of Asian countries such as China, Japan, India, Indonesia, and South Korea is attributed to their inclusion in the G20. Yet China's rise in particular also poses tremendous challenges to regional and global governance. In addition, notwithstanding the expanding middle classes across the region, socio-economic challenges continue or have come to loom large in Asia. Rising inequality, rapidly ageing populations, inadequate social security nets, and other adverse developments and issues bedevil numerous Asian nations, including the regional heavyweights China, Japan, and India. Moreover, political power and wealth are deeply intertwined in a number of Asian countries, which has led to a rise in the number of anti-establishment candidates and parties in some of the region's democracies.

Political regimes in Asia range from (post-)totalitarian North Korea at the one end of the spectrum to well-established democracies such as India, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan at the other. Asia is also home to various hybrid regimes that are located in the "foggy zone" between clear-cut autocracies and democracies. The institute's research on political regimes in Asia, which also examines these regimes from a comparative perspective, is carried out within RP 1. IAS scholars are engaged in BMBF-funded research on ideological change and regime legitimacy in China, which is part of the Governance in China competence network. This research is complemented by a new DFG-funded project on Chinese political scientists' discourse on China's political system and legitimate modes of governance in China. IAS researchers are also part of RP 1's DCAN network, which deals with the international cooperation of authoritarian regimes, and have edited two special issues on autocracies (for Politische Vierteljahresschrift and Democratization). Another DFG-funded cross-regional research project compares the role of civil society organisations (CSOs) in Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique and investigates whether CSOs actually tend to promote democracy – as is often assumed – or whether they, under certain circumstances, act as supporters of authoritarian rule.

Despite a number of unresolved international conflicts in Asia (e.g. on the Korean peninsula and across the Taiwan Strait), there have been remarkably few battle-related deaths in the region over the past three decades. Domestic confrontations in a number of Asian nations have, however, led to heavy bloodshed. The IAS research on these issues falls within RP 2. Among the topics that have been analysed is the role of regional and extraregional third parties in violent conflicts in South Asia, including in Afghanistan. IAS researchers have looked specifically at mediation processes in civil wars and have investigated the case of Sri Lanka.

Asia's tremendous heterogeneity in terms of socio-economic development is reflected in the projects carried out by the IAA project, namely RP 3. A multi-method research project, funded by the BMZ, examines the interconnections between employment, empowerment, and standards of living in three less developed African and Asian nations, including Sri Lanka. With joint funding from the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and the Department for International Development (DFID), IAS researchers are also investigating how anti-poverty interventions affect labour participation in rural areas of India and Bangladesh. In a completed project within the Young Lives framework (based at the University of Oxford), IAS scholars examined the quality of primary schooling in Andhra Pradesh, India. These projects reflect the IAS's sustained interest in conceptualising and measuring "well-being" from a cross-national perspective.

In recent years China has been Asia's most robust growth engine. Accordingly, IAS scholars have been examining Chinese overseas economic activities, which have increased by leaps and bounds. Chinese foreign direct investment in Europe and its impact on reverse flows of technology are at the centre of one stream of IAS research. The activities of Chinese actors in Africa and other world regions also receive attention; the Land Matrix database of RP 3 provides information on land deals by Chinese and other large investors. As very little is known about the small-scale economic activities of individual Chinese entrepreneurs in Western Africa, such activities were the focus of a project funded by the DFG's Priority Programme 1448. The successor project changes perspectives by investigating the role of West African traders as mediators between Chinese and African urban economies. Empirical macro-quantitative research is the mainstay of the Clausen-Simon Foundation Professorship in Economics in Asia. Ongoing research in this respect focuses on the connections between a number of global economic, political, and social transformations, as well as on Asia-specific issues including fiscal federalism in India and China's changing terms of trade.

The fact that China and India are increasingly assuming roles as regional and global powers makes these nations important research topics for RP 4. IAS researchers are investigating how China, India, and also South Korea relate to their regions and what their impact is at the global level. China's engagement in Central Asia, the implications of India's rise, the representation of North Korea in international politics, climate governance in India compared to South Africa, and China's foreign policy think tanks, for example, have been analysed by IAS doctoral research fellows. Regionalisation, one of the most important trends in world politics today, is investigated using three perspectives: diffusion, interregionalism, and overlapping regionalism.

Institute of Latin American Studies (ILAS)

In recent years, Latin America has experienced an economic boom driven by resources and commodities
exports. This has facilitated sustained growth rates and new social and redistribution policies domestically, as well as a higher profile and increased political assertiveness on the international stage. The ILAS has developed a research agenda that analyses these trends from diverse perspectives, taking into account the conflicts sparked by extractive industries; the rise of the middle class; the emergence of new integration schemes; the continuing problems of violence, security, and corruption; the political implications of the high levels of out-migration; and the quality of the continent’s democratic institutions.

Within RP 1, ILAS scholars are cooperating with their IAA colleagues in a cross-regional research project (funding: Leibniz Competition) on the independence of the judiciary in new democracies. The Latin American component of the study focuses on the Southern Cone countries of Argentina, Paraguay and Chile. The ILAS is also actively involved in the newly created IDCAR network. Moreover, ILAS researchers are engaged in the ANTICORRP project on global trends and European responses to the challenge of corruption and the NOPOOR consortium on the impact of links between political regime types and the reduction of poverty and inequality – both of which are funded by the European Commission. The BMBF-funded desigAALadnet work on interdependent inequalities in Latin America (in which the GIGA is a core partner) has been positively evaluated and extended for another two years. Within this network, ILAS researchers will conduct a study on migration and the resulting inequalities in citizenship status. Recently, ILAS researchers conducted a DFG-funded research project on the dynamics of migrant policies and politics in Latin America. This three-year study will seek to assess how homeland states reach out to emigrants, what drives the adoption of specific migrant policies, and how the interaction between homeland political actors and emigrants plays out in this process.

In RP 2, ILAS is carrying out comparative research (funding: German Foundation for Peace Research, DSF) on prior consultation and conflict transformation in resource governance. The project, which uses Bolivia and Peru as case studies, has a strong focus on in-depth, qualitative empirical methods. The ILAS is also responsible for the Latin American component of a cross-area research project on the link between ethnicity, natural resources, and conflict onset, as well as a large-N quantitative study on the ambivalence of religious factors in conflicts in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East (funding: BMZ and DSF). Latin America is also of key interest to the Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP) network, which compares institutional options for divided societies and post-conflict countries in different world areas. The network’s preliminary results were published as a special issue of Civil Wars. In addition, Latin America’s grave problems of violence and public insecurity are being addressed in a BMZ-funded project on youth in post-war societies. The study analyses how young people in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies can make the transition to adulthood without using violence.

While much of Latin America has been experiencing an economic boom over the past decade due to the high prices of the region’s natural resources and agricultural exports, major development problems persist: Latin America’s empirical reality is part and parcel of most of the research projects conducted within RP 3, which is led by an ILAS researcher. Such studies focus on, among other things, the role of social policies for poverty and inequality dynamics (as part of NOPOOR), the relationship between climate change mitigation policies and poverty reduction (funding: Volkswagen Foundation), and the opportunities and constraints of migration and small enterprises in developing countries (funding: BMZ, KfW Development Bank). ILAS researchers also deal with the dynamics and impacts of large-scale land acquisitions (funding: BMBF, BMZ) and are in charge of the Land Matrix database, an innovative web-based database that provides comprehensive information on land deals worldwide.

Over the past decade, Latin America has emerged as a major player on the global political scene. Within RP 4, a number of projects examine the region’s new protagonism – generally by comparing it to other world regions. Such is the case not only in the Regional Powers Network (RPN), but also in a joint project with the WZB and HSFK on contested world orders (funding: Leibniz Competition). Of particular interest to ILAS is Brazil’s rise as a regional leader and emerging global power. This is also the overarching topic of a number of GIGA dissertation projects, which include analyses of Brazil’s policy towards Africa, its influence in global sectoral governance, its nuclear policy, and the interplay of state and non-state actors in its international profile. Furthermore, one ILAS researcher holds a Schumpeter fellowship from the Volkswagen Foundation, which helped to boost cross-regional research on contested leadership and power politics in South America, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. The Schumpeter Roundtable, held in the context of this fellowship, regularly brings renowned scholars to Hamburg. In terms of the current rise of regional integration schemes, Latin America is also an area of focus for cross-regional research on the diffusion of institutions and policies of regional integration.

Institute of Middle East Studies (IMES)

The toppling of long-standing autocrats in the Arab world – termed the “Arab Spring” – did not lead to an end of division, conflict, and unrest in the Middle East. On the contrary, the repercussions of these upheavals were manifold and will prove to be long-lasting; they will therefore also influence the content and scope of Middle East-related research in the coming decade(s). In this context, the IMES has the advantage of in-depth experience in a variety of important fields such as authoritarianism, democratisation, and political reform; conflict studies; economic transformations; poverty reduction; the balance of power within the regional system; and – last but not least – political Islam/Islamism. The IMES has adapted quickly and successfully to the new challenges posed by the Arab Spring and has won third-party funding for a number of promising research projects.

Within RP 1, IMES scholars are carrying out a project (funding: DFG) investigating the conditions under which previously stable (for decades) authoritarian regimes become vulnerable and begin to transform. Additionally, they are attempting to determine what explains the remarkable differences in these transformation processes. This question has become especially important given the absence of a domino effect from the Arab Spring. Any hopes that the entire Middle East would become democratic by the end of 2011 proved to be premature. In several countries the status quo has prevailed; in others extremely violent civil wars have broken out – most notably in Syria. The differences in the transition processes in the region – such as those between Arab monarchies and Arab republics – have become increasingly evident. The factors explaining the breakdown and survival of the Middle East monarchies since 1945 are being investigated in a project funded by the Fritz Thyssen Foundation.

Additionally, IMES researchers are examining political parties and electoral institutions in the Middle East and North Africa in the framework of RP 1. For instance, IMES scholars have published several articles looking at the linkages between electoral institutions and party strategies, with a particular focus on clientelistic parties – the most predominant party type in the region. Also in the context of RP 1, IMES researchers are participating in the ANTICORRP consortium, which deals with the causes of corruption, the effectiveness of anti-corruption measures, and regional patterns of corruption from a comparative perspective. Within the ANTICORRP work packages, IMES scholars take on various tasks, such as providing case studies on Egypt and Tunisia.

A major part of the IMES research is done in the context of RP 2. The electoral successes of Islamist organisations in post-Arab Spring societies are just one phenomenon demonstrating the rapidly increasing importance of political Islam in the Middle East. Taking previous findings into account, IMES researchers have prepared a project on the increasing heterogeneity of the Salafist movement in Islamism – particularly the emergence of a political strand. This work is complemented by a research project on power-sharing in multi-ethnic societies of the Middle East. It is one of five pilot projects in the initiative Civil Societies in the Arab World that were granted by the Volkswagen Foundation. Together with young researchers from the region and in close collaboration with the Common Space Initiative (CSI) in Beirut, IMES researchers assess the impact of ethnic-sectarian cleavages on the social and political conflict settings in five cases and investigate the options for power-sharing in some of the conflict-ridden Middle East countries. The project is also a part of the GIGAs international network, Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP). In a related cross-regional research project funded by the BMZ and the KfW Development Bank, IMES researchers analyse state-society relations in post-war countries, focusing on determinants of political trust. Large-scale opinion surveys in Afghanistan, Burundi, and Peru are at the heart of the project, which aims to advance research on post-
war state-building and policy debates on international support for basic service delivery in fragile states. Also as part of RP 2, IMES scholars are conducting conflict studies on the Palestinian problem and the stagnant Middle East peace process; these two issues continue to shape regional politics. In a cross-regional project on international sanctions (led by IAA researchers), the IMES is responsible for the case study of Iran. This study required IMES scholars to examine Iran’s crucial decisions, the sanctions regime’s external measures, and the Iranian regime’s internal arrangements and countermeasures during the presidency of Ahmadinejad from 2005 to 2013. The effects of this interplay on regime stability were analysed by focusing on domestic power resources, regime legitimacy, repression, and the redistribution of rents, as well as the international factors of sanctions, linkage, and leverage.

Within the scope of RP 3, the IMES focuses on the role of education in socio-economic and political developments in the Middle East and North Africa. While the research activities described so far are either carried out from a political science or a historical perspective, IMES research in RP 3 is predominantly economics-driven and uses, among other approaches, regression analysis with instrumental variables. Given the predominantly economic roots of the Arab Spring, IMES researchers are interested in determining the extent to which the massive increases in educational attainment in the last decades might have contributed to frustrated expectations and discontent. IMES scholars are also engaged in the NoPooR project, where they conduct cross-country quantitative empirical analysis using data on social policy and regime types in order to determine how and why autocracies and mixed regimes invest in poverty alleviation programmes and what the difference is compared to democratic regimes.

As part of RP 4, the IMES is participating in a cross-regional project that comparatively analyses the foreign policy behaviour of selected regional powers. Within this framework, IMES researchers – in close cooperation with international experts – are examining the policies and potential of certain state actors including Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Israel, and Qatar. Given the events since the beginning of 2011, the question of whether the ongoing upheaval in the region will facilitate or obstruct the emergence and consolidation of a new leading regional power in the Middle East is also part of the enquiry.
Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

Research Team 1: Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes

- Middle East Monarchies: A Configurational Comparison of Breakdown and Survival since 1945 (André Bank, Thomas Richter, Anna Sunik)
- Ideological Change and Regime Legitimacy in China (Heike Holbig, Maria Bondes, Sandra Heep)
- Civil Society Organisations as Supporters of Authoritarian Rule? A Cross-Regional Comparison (Vietnam, Algeria, Mozambique) (Patrick Köllner, Jörg Wischermann, Bettina Bunk, Jasmin Lorch)
- Concepts of Political Change and Legitimate Modes of Governance in the People’s Republic of China (Nele Noesselt)
- Stability and Change of Authoritarian Regimes: A Systematic Comparison of Institutional and Material Conditions (Thomas Richter, Viola Lucas)
- Debating Protest. on the Possibilities and Limits of Authoritarian Learning in China (Günter Schucher)

Research Team 2: The Politics of Courts and Constitutions

- The Institutional Presidency in Latin America (Mariana Llanos, Detlef Nolte, Anne Marie Hoffmann, Cordula Tibi Weber)
- Judicial (In)dependence in New Democracies. Courts, Presidents and Legislatures in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa (Mariana Llanos, Alexander Stroh, Charlotte Heyl, Cordula Tibi Weber)

Research Team 3: Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

- Political Regimes, Reduction of Poverty and Inequality (NOPOOR) (Jann Lay, Marina Dodlova)
- Empowerment or Alienation? Descriptive Representation and Ethnic Relations in Bolivia (Anaid Resken)
- Polities beyond Borders. The New Dynamics of Emissary Politics and Policies in Latin America (Bert Hoffmann, Luicy Pedroza, Pau Palop)
- designALladies.net – Migration and Unequal Citizenship (Bert Hoffmann, Luicy Pedroza)
- The Effects of Electoral Reform on Party and Party System Development: A Context-sensitive Comparison of Japan and New Zealand (Patrick Köllner)
- Intra-Party Factionalism and Party System Change: Lessons from Young Democracies in Asia (Andreas Uffen, Paul Chambers, Patrick Köllner, Siegfried Wolf)
Research Programme 2: Violence and Security

Research Team 1: Natural Resources and Security
- A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict Onset (Matthias Basedau, Carlo Koos, Annegret Mähler, Jan Pierskalla)
- Prior Consultation and Conflict Transformation in Resource Governance: Bolivia and Peru (Armuth Schilling-Vacatlar, Ricardo Remmer)

Research Team 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics
- Religion and Conflict: On the Ambivalance of Religious Factors in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East (Matthias Basedau, Georg Strüver, Johannes Vüllers)
- From Quietism to Politics: The Egyptian Salafist Movement from 1970 to 2012 (Henner Fürtig, Annette Ranko, Nikolai Rühl)
- Power-sharing in Multi-ethnic Societies of the Middle East (Henner Fürtig, Stephan Rosiny)

Research Team 3: War and Peace Processes
- Institutions for Sustainable Peace. Comparing Institutional Options for Divided Societies and Post-Conflict Countries (Matthias Basedau, Sabine Kurtenbach, Andreas Mehler, Nadine Ansorg, Felix Haai, Julia Strasheim)
- From Civil War to Social Contract (Alexander de Juan, Carlo Koos)
- The Territorial Dynamics of Colonial State-Building (Alexander de Juan, Max Montgomery, Jan Pierskalla)
- Local Conflict and the Local State – Public Service Delivery and Political Violence (Alexander de Juan, Eva Wegner, Vio Lausas)
- Governing People’s Safety in Areas of Extremely Limited Statehood (South Sudan and the Central African Republic) (Andreas Mehler, Tim Glawion, Lotje de Vries)
- Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace (Andreas Mehler, Martin Ottmann, Johannes Vüllers)
- The Local Arenas of Power-Sharing, Patterns of Adaptation or Continued Disorder? (Andreas Mehler, Franziska Zanker)

Research Team 4: Causes and Effects of Sanctions

Research Programme 3: Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalisation

Research Team 1: Local Actors of Globalisation: Agency and Responsiveness
- Micro- and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries: Opportunities and Constraints (Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Sarah Linde, Martin Ostermeier, Sebastian Prediger)
- Poverty and Inequality Dynamics and the Role of Social Policies (Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Miquel Pellicer)
- West African Traders as Translators between Chinese and African Urban Modernities (Karsten Giese, Kelly Si Miao Liang, Laurence Marfaing, Alena Thiel)
- The Productivity Effects of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) of North-South and South-South Firms: The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa (Birte Pfeiffer)
- Globalisation of Chinese Companies (Margot Schüller, Yun Schüler-Zhou)

Research Team 2: The Socio-Economics of Sustainable Development
- Large-Scale Land Acquisitions: Data, Patterns, Impacts, and Policies (Christof Althoff, Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Martin Ostermeier)
- Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CIMIP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win-Situations? (Tara Caetano, Jann Lay, Miriam Prys, Sebastian Remmer)
- The Land Matrix (Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Christof Althoff, Martin Ostermeier)
- Landscape-Level Assessment of Ecological and Socio-Economic Functions of Rainforest Transformation Systems in Sumatra (Indonesia) (part of CRC 990) (Jann Lay, Elisabeth Hettig)
- Long-Term Land Use, Poverty Dynamics and Emission Trade-Offs (part of CRC 990) (Jann Lay, Katharina Trapp)

Clausen-Simon-Professorship in Economics
- Socio-Economic Transitions (Erich Gundlach)
Research Programme 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations

Research Team 1: Foreign Policy Strategies in a Multipolar System
- Regional Powers Network (Detlef Nolte et al)
- Regional Security Governance for Afghanistan (Sandra Destradi)
- Contested Leadership in International Relations: Power Politics in South America, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (Daniel Flemes, Georg Stru¨ver, Hannes Ebert)

Research Team 2: Global Governance and Norm-Building
- Contested World Orders (Kristina Hahn, Wolfgang Hein, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys)
- Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CIMIP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win-Situations? (Work Package 3: International Relations) (Miriam Prys)
- Crossing the Lines: Complexity at the Nexus of Regional and Global Governance (Miriam Prys)

Research Team 3: Comparative Regionalism
- Is Regionalism Contagious? Regional Integration and the Diffusion of Institutions and Policies (Anja Jetschke)
- The Constitutional Quality of Regional Governance. The Case of South America and UNASUR (Detlef Nolte)
IDCAR – International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes

>> André Bank, May Darwich, Bert Hoffmann, Maria Josua, Nele Noeselt, Thomas Richter, Christian von Soest, Georg Ströver

Project Description

Research Questions
- How do international diffusion and cooperation impact on different authoritarian regimes?
- How do authoritarian diffusion and cooperation among authoritarian regimes operate as power maintaining mechanisms?

Contribution to International Research
In politics and political science alike, the increasing international influence of authoritarian regimes has become a central concern. The controversy about a “reverse wave” of democratisation, the expansion of non-democratic rule (Merkel 2010; Puddington 2008, 2009), and the “backlash against democracy promotion” (Carothers 2004, 2009) reflects this trend. The strand of research that does approach the issue from an authoritarian durability perspective still needs to develop a comprehensive conceptual approach (Ambroso 2010; Erdmann et al. 2013). By bringing together some of the most renowned experts in the field, the IDCAR network seeks to contribute substantially to the systematic study of international diffusion and cooperation of authoritarian regimes, connecting this research agenda to the overarching theoretical debates of the discipline.

Research Design and Methods
Using a CAS approach, the network brings together researchers who study authoritarian diffusion and cooperation from divergent explanatory perspectives using a broad range of comparative methods. The IDCAR network is defined by the research topic and a shared interest in the dynamics of authoritarian politics beyond an exclusively domestic perspective. The project brings international experts from this field of research together in one collaborative project. Individual findings are exchanged among the network researchers, thematic agendas are discussed and developed at conferences and workshops and then carried out in concrete research and publication projects. The networking process is institutionalised by an academic exchange programme and a substantive “research unit” at the GIGA.

Preliminary Results
Network members from the GIGA have started to develop an analytical framework to study the international dimensions of authoritarian regimes, connecting this research agenda to the overarching theoretical debates of the discipline.

IDCAR network conference held in December 2014 in Hamburg at the GIGA, presented and discussed the network members’ current research projects, discussed new conceptual and methodological approaches relating to the international dimensions of authoritarian regimes, discussed the respective strengths and weaknesses of relevant approaches from Comparative Politics and International Relations, as well as present and discuss the relevant datasets in the field.

RP 1

GIGA Research Projects 2015

GIGA Research Projects 2015
Project Description

Research Questions
While monarchical rule was for a long time considered a political anachronism (Huntington’s king’s dilemma), the survival of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East into the twenty-first century now has to be recognised as a political reality. The research project thus addresses the following questions:
- Under which conditions do authoritarian monarchies in the post-colonial Middle East, contrary to Huntington’s dictum, reproduce themselves?
- Which general and case-specific explanations concerning the successful survival and/or breakdown of monarchies in the region can be identified?
- Which general and theoretical conclusions can be made regarding the dynamics and trajectories of other authoritarian regimes?

Contribution to International Research
Although recent quantitative authoritarianism research has identified monarchies as the most durable subtype of authoritarian regime (Hadenius/Teorell), the historical conditions for the reproduction of such regimes since 1945 have not been investigated systematically. The existing literature focuses only on single cases and has produced mainly particularistic and to some extent contradictory explanations for the breakdown and survival of authoritarian monarchies. Thus, the project breaks new ground in the fields of comparative politics and Middle Eastern studies by attempting to systematically explain both monarchical survival and breakdown, by including a number of key explanatory conditions (external support, rents, family participation, legitimation, repression) and taking into account their interplay, and finally, by comparing all these aspects over the long durée of over six decades.

Research Design and Methods
Based on the systematic collection of existing, international secondary literature, the project undertakes a configurative, QCA-based comparison of all Middle Eastern states between 1945 and 2011. This systematic procedure offers the possibility of identifying explanatory factors that go beyond the previous research and simultaneously constitutes a precondition for developing an explanatory theoretical model regarding the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies.

Preliminary Results
The systematic, configurational comparison of all 13 monarchies in the Middle East after 1945 indicates that there are three - and not just two, as is commonly held - crucial pathways to regime survival: A first trajectory covers five Gulf monarchies (Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and UAE) which are characterised by high rent income and the participation of the royal family in decision-making. The second trajectory, consisting of the Jordanian and Moroccan monarchies, stresses the interplay of external strategic support and strong religious legitimacy claims domestically. The third trajectory is of a hybrid nature in that it displays features of the first (high rent income) and second trajectory (legitimacy claims); it covers the Sultanate of Oman as well as North Yemen before monarchical breakdown in 1962.
Ideological Change and Regime Legitimacy in China

>> Heike Holbig, Maria Bondes, Sandra Heep

Project Description

Research Questions

Against the backdrop of the increasing emphasis that the CCP has put on ideological adaptation and innovation in recent years, this project analyses the changes in official party ideology and their implications for regime legitimacy. Based on discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China, the project explores (a) how ideological change is organised in institutional, personnel and financial terms; (b) which ideological innovations have been made since the 1990s; (c) which positive and negative lessons have been drawn from international experiences; (d) which impacts on regime legitimacy and stability can be detected; and (e) which implications these factors have for future institutional change and political reform in China.

Contribution to International Research

The explanation of the resilience of non-democratic systems in general and authoritarian China in particular has become one of the major challenges confronting political scientists. In recent years, a growing number of scholars have studied the importance of institutional factors such as elections for the stability of authoritarian regimes. However, since these researchers’ work has to a significant degree been shaped by expectations of democratisation, the political adaptability of authoritarian regimes has so far been neglected in scholarly work. This project thus draws attention to the normative dimension of authoritarian regimes’ legitimacy by examining the role that innovations in official party ideology play in the reproduction of regime legitimacy in China.

Research Design and Methods

The project explores the above questions using discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China. The analysis of qualitative data is carried out with the software MAXQDA.

Preliminary Results

Our research has drawn attention to the fact that the leaders of authoritarian regimes propagate official frames in an effort to reproduce the populace’s belief in the elites’ leadership qualities, and in their determination to serve the common interest. It has also clarified the relationship between official frames and official ideologies, arguing that official ideologies are both more abstract and more comprehensive than official frames and thus function as their theoretical underpinnings. In applying this framework to the case of China, we have shown that the CCP has demonstrated its dedication to the public good by drawing on the guiding ideology of socialism with Chinese characteristics. The main shift in the frames propagated in recent years has been a greater focus on the people’s well-being. This can be understood as a direct response to popular grievances. Through recent English-language publications, the changes in Chinese official discourse in the run-up to the 18th Party Congress have been analysed and their significance in the domestic as well as in the international realm has been illustrated. Moreover, research findings have been introduced to a Chinese academic audience through a lively scholarly exchange with colleagues from the China Center for Comparative Politics & Economics (CCCOPE) in Beijing.
Civil Society Organisations as Supporters of Authoritarian Rule? A Cross-Regional Comparison (Vietnam, Algeria, Mozambique)

Project Description

The project intends to explore which types of CSOs contribute in which ways to the preservation or weakening of the authoritarian state’s infrastructural and discursive power. The cross-regional comparison of three very similar cases includes the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. The basic assumption is that CSOs and the state form an interdependent whole in which the two sides reciprocally influence each other. Which features CSOs develop, and which effects their various activities might have, become apparent only from a relational perspective and by analysing these relationships of dependence and influence. CSOs are thus “polyvalent” (Kössler).

Contribution to International Research

The cross-regional comparison of three most-similar cases includes all the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. All three post-socialist and post-colonial countries have authoritarian political regimes. They demonstrate a number of similarities including partly liberalised economies in which state-owned enterprises still play a leading role, the abandonment of socialism as a direct political goal in the early late 1980s, authoritarian political structures and political systems that are still dominated by a strong ruling party, and the continued application of socialist legacies such as democratic centralism in state and ruling party decision-making processes. Each of the three countries has experienced a phase of civil war in recent decades. These experiences still haunt many citizens and counterbalance too many political changes at a time.

The project’s basic assumption is that CSOs and the state are interrelated and interdependent. The features that CSOs develop and the effect their various activities might have become apparent only from a relational perspective and through the analysis of these mutual relations and interdependencies. These interdependencies and the polyvalency of CSOs (Kössler) are explored in two steps:

First, we will investigate the context in which various types of CSOs develop authoritarian and authoritarian-supportive and democratic and democracy-conducive features – or mixtures of both – in their internal structures and activities, and in the worldviews of their representatives. We will also investigate how such features are related to particular understandings of civil society. Here we will apply a tested typology of CSOs and three criteria checklists. Second, we will examine whether and in which ways various types of CSOs that deliver services in the health sector and CSOs that are involved in the formulation and implementation of economic policies help strengthen or weaken the infrastructural power of the state. We will also examine how various types of CSOs help strengthen or weaken the discursive power of gender norms propagated by the state. These two steps are to be followed by a concluding, comparative analysis of results that should generate new, more complex hypotheses.
Project Description

The project focuses on four main research questions:

- What are the main ideas regarding political change and legitimate modes of governance in the PRC as documented in academic (political science) debates?
- Should these ideas be understood as abstract theoretical, philosophical frames or as ideological concepts that legitimate the existing political structures?
- How do Chinese scholars’ analyses of China’s historical development and the crises of other political regimes – Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, Arab states, etc. – impact the (re-)formulation of their ideas of political change and legitimate modes of governance?
- Is there any observable interplay between the concepts and ideas developed by China’s political scientists and the political decision-making processes? How do academic and political debates interact?

Contribution to International Research

Research on Chinese politics often focuses on official political statements and visible political actions without analysing the considerations and strategic reflections that underlie political decision-making. As China is classified as an authoritarian system, most analyses follow a comparative systemic approach and focus on the factors political change and transformation, which have been identified as key variables of post-communist change (Soviet Union, Eastern Europe).

This approach neglects the adaptability of the Chinese political system. By measuring and reflecting on development models as well as the success or failure of other political regimes, the government of the PRC tries to stabilise and perpetuate its one-party system. The pragmatic flexibility of the Chinese system is subsumed under the frame of “authoritarian resilience”. So far, however, most studies subscribing to this approach have limited themselves to a retrospective analysis of the political elites’ efforts to re-legitimatize their political rule. The prevailing reflections on China’s future development options and idealised configurations of political rule have not yet been systematically documented.

The projects aims to fill this gap and to contribute to the understanding of (hybrid) authoritarian regimes’ learning and adaptation processes.

Research Questions

- To analyse the causal interrelations between political ideas (formulated by the academic community) and political decisions in closed regimes.
- To analyse learning processes/authoritarian resilience how do Chinese scholars’ analyses of China’s historical development and the crises of other political regimes impact the (re-)formulation of their theoretical frames?
- To analyse the main concepts of political change and legitimate modes of governance outlined in the studies of Chinese political scientists, and focus on the factors political change and transformation, which have been identified as key variables of post-communist change (Soviet Union, Eastern Europe).
- To analyse the causal interrelations between political ideas (formulated by the academic community) and political decisions in closed regimes.
- What are the main ideas regarding political change and legitimate modes of governance in the PRC as documented in academic (political science) debates?
- Should these ideas be understood as abstract theoretical, philosophical frames or as ideological concepts that legitimate the existing political structures?
- How do Chinese scholars’ analyses of China’s historical development and the crises of other political regimes – Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, Arab states, etc. – impact the (re-)formulation of their ideas of political change and legitimate modes of governance?
- Is there any observable interplay between the concepts and ideas developed by China’s political scientists and the political decision-making processes? How do academic and political debates interact?

Concepts of Political Change and Legitimate Modes of Governance in the People’s Republic of China

>> Nele Noesselt

Project History

- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (academic publications by Chinese political scientists, Chinese newspaper articles, political documents), Databases (Cross Asia, Renmin Fuyin Baokan Ciliao, Renmin Ribao Database)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti

Teachings

- "Governance in China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2008/09
- "Chinese 'Reform' Discourse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11
- "De-Constructing the China Model", ICAS 8, Macao, 24–27 June 2013
- "Invented Traditions: State Philosophy and Political Mythology in 21st Century China", University of Zurich, 6–8 June 2013
- "Invented Traditions: State Philosophy and Political Mythology in 21st Century China", University of Zurich, 6–8 June 2013
- "De-Constructing the China Model", ICAS 8, Macao, 24–27 June 2013
- "Governance Experiments: Adaptation and Innovation in Chinese State-Society Relations", Fudan University, Shanghai, 29–30 June 2013
- "Chinesische 'Reform' Diskurse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11

Workshops/Conferences

- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11
- "De-Constructing the China Model", ICAS 8, Macao, 24–27 June 2013
- "Governance Experiments: Adaptation and Innovation in Chinese State-Society Relations", Fudan University, Shanghai, 29–30 June 2013
- "Chinesische 'Reform' Diskurse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11

Knowledge Transfer

- Noesselt, N. (2012), "Chinesische 'Reform' Diskurse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11

Publications


Funding

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration

2014–2017
RP 1

Stability and Change of Authoritarian Regimes: A Systematic Comparison of Institutional and Material Conditions

>> Thomas Richter, Viola Lucas

Project Description

The project focuses primarily on the following issues:

- What impact does the interaction between the quantity and quality of material resources on the one hand and different political institutions on the other hand have on stability and change in authoritarian regimes?
- What parsimonious combination of combinations of resource-specific and institutional factors can be identified to explain stability and/or change in authoritarian regimes?
- Is there more than one combination of explanatory factors that determines stability and change in authoritarian regimes, regardless of region and time?

In particular, the project analyses three different aspects of authoritarian regimes:

- Regime stability: duration of the survival of an authoritarian regime
- Regime breakdown: events in the disintegration of an authoritarian regime
- Authoritarian regime change: quality of a regime after the breakdown of an authoritarian regime

The project aims to fill an existing gap between the fields of political economy and comparative politics in the study of authoritarian regimes. It is closely linked to the research on the structural determinants of authoritarian rule, a literature largely dominated by US researchers. By systematically broadening the perspective adopted in this literature, the project unites the two central branches of this macro-comparative research. It also improves the validity of important measures of state revenues and expenditures, which will be useful for more general analyses of political regimes over time.

The project triangulates documents analysis with regression and QCA techniques. During the first phase, the researchers have compared existing data on authoritarian regime types and have developed a framework for evaluating different measurements of authoritarian regime type. They have also collected data on types and levels of income as well as data on the distributional capacities of authoritarian regimes from sources at the IMF Archives. Additionally, they have created the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Data Set, which includes over 50 indicators. In the project's second phase, project staff is combining statistical data analyses with methods of qualitative comparison at a macro-level. In addition to descriptive statistics and simple correlation and regression techniques (logical regression), they are using statistical models taken from survival analysis. Three different QCA techniques are also being deployed during the course of the project.

A preliminary version of the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) dataset was introduced at several national and international conferences (DVPW, APSA, IFSA-PSA). Based on this new data, a number of interesting conclusions can be drawn: First, public wages and salaries as an element of authoritarian mass co-optation are crucial. They constitute a previously neglected mechanism of stabilising authoritarian rule irrespective of the autocracy type. Second, social welfare distributions are more important for those authoritarian regimes which base their rule on broader social coalitions like party regimes. Third, the increase of indirect per capita taxation due to the implementation of value added taxation reform exacerbates the destabilising effects upon all types of authoritarian regimes.
Debating Protests. On the Possibilities and Limits of Authoritarian Learning in China

>> Günter Schucher

**Research Questions**

The Chinese authoritarian regime has proved to be rather resilient and adaptable. Faced with an increasingly individualised and pluralised society, China’s authoritarian leadership has developed the concept of “social management”, which involves new forms of inclusive social development as well as improved institutions of social control: strengthened self-management at the lowest administrative level, the development of social organisations to represent the public’s interests, improved communication channels between the party-state and the public, the modernisation of public security work and the like. Based on the programme platform of a “harmonious society”, the concept acknowledges public protests (offline as well as online) as (more or less) unavoidable expressions of discontent and clashes of interest.

The official concept of social management, and its promotion, indicates that the party-state is highly aware that it has to listen to public opinion more closely and further engage people in risk management if it really wants to identify social trouble spots and ease social conflicts. By acknowledging protests, the party has broadened the discursive space in China and enabled itself not only to listen to public opinion but also to “learn” from these conflicts – where it is not able to prevent them. The goal of these feedback loops is the fine-tuning of the party’s management methods and the avoidance of further escalation.

In the growing literature on the adaptability of authoritarian regimes, including China, the regimes’ processes of observing, analysing, and learning have only recently attracted scholars’ attention. These processes are, however, hard to conceptualise. This project contributes to the emerging debate through its utilisation of the classical method of content analysis.

In a first step, the project will identify major protests inside and outside of China that have attracted widespread attention from Chinese state-led media and Chinese scholars. Based on systemic and organisational learning theories, the study will then analyse the Chinese public discourse on these protests. Finally, it will look for conceptual adaptations that demonstrate both the Chinese party-state’s ability to “learn through conflict” and its limitations.

**Preliminary Results**

Still afflicted with a mistrust of its own population, and particularly of the more or less autonomous social organisations, the Chinese party-state wishes to “manage” society. It wants to improve and not reduce its capacity to direct. Consequently, its stability preservation and social management concepts follow a top-down approach and are geared to conservative ideas of management and control rather than to modern methods of governance.

Nevertheless, the agreed-upon concepts are by no means fixed and non-modifiable. On the contrary, they are constantly being recalibrated in view of new contentious events, both within and outside of China. This recalibration, however, takes place within the boundaries of the concepts themselves and is affected by disputes within the leadership or between the different levels of administration, particularly between the central and the local levels. Thus, the actual value of the learning that results from social conflicts may be limited.
The Institutional Presidency in Latin America

Mariana Llanos, Detlef Nolte, Anne Marie Hoffmann, Cordula Tibi Weber

Project Description

Research Questions:
- How do Latin American presidents organise the political management and coordination of their relations with other executive departments and the legislative branch?
- How has the core executive or institutional presidency evolved over time? What type of presidential organisation characterises every country of study?
- What is the level of institutionalisation of the core presidential supports?
- What is the level of institutionalisation of the core presidential supports?
- What is the level of institutionalisation of the core presidential supports?
- What is the level of institutionalisation of the core presidential supports?
- What if the impact of informational, administrative, and oversight executive capacities on executive performance (for instance, on the implementation of a determinate policy agenda)?

Contribution to International Research
Research on the Latin American presidential democracies has considerably grown in the last decades. There exist well documented studies on the formal competences of presidents, president-congress relations, processes of coalition building, and the causes and consequences of failed presidents (those who did not manage to finish their terms). However, the organisation of the Presidency has received little attention in the Latin American region, despite the presidential studies constituting an established area of research in the United States, and despite Latin American presidents being formally more powerful than their U.S. counterparts. This project seeks to fill this gap in the literature and so contribute to our knowledge on the functioning of Latin American presidential regimes.

Research Design and Methods
This project adopts a cross-regional small-N comparative design. The project seeks to analyse the contributions to different organisational designs and the impact of these different organisations on the performance of incumbent presidents. Our main hypothesis is that the type of presidential government (single-party or coalition) is the main factor explaining cross-country variation and changes in the presidential organisation, while other factors (such as the nature of the presidential agenda and constitutional limits to create or suppress administrative units) serve as complementary explanations. To test our hypotheses we analyse four countries: two that have mostly featured single-party governments (Argentina and Paraguay) and two with coalition cabinets (Chile and Brazil) from the beginning of the democratic regime until the present, for which we plan to build a map of the agencies and agents that have composed their institutional presidencies. Then, the study of the impact of different executive formats on presidential performance will be analysed in relation to the presidential agendas of foreign affairs, institutional communication, and the articulation with interest groups.

Preliminary Results
Inácio and Llanos (2013) originally developed a framework for the study of the institutional presidency which served as a guideline for the collection of information for this project. The authors realised the need to include further cases – in addition to those of Argentina and Brazil – to test the proposed hypotheses, as well as to set a team of researchers to improve the availability of cross-country data. To achieve this, a cooperation project (DAAD/CAPES) with the University of Minas Gerais was initiated. Two GIGA doctoral students have been included in the project (Cordula Tibi Weber and Anne Marie Hoffmann).
Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

RP 1

Cooperation
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study (from the transitions to democracy to the present)
- Level of Analysis: Countries (Argentina, Benin, Chile, Madagascar, Paraguay, Senegal), Governmental Institutions (executive, legislative, judiciary of each of these countries), Individuals (judges of these countries’ upper courts)
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Method
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (constitutional texts, organic laws), Creation of New Databases (judges’ careers, judicial budgets)
- Data Processing: Excel, STATA, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Network Analysis, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis

Cooperation
- Universidade Torcuato Di Tella, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Facultad de Dirit de la Facultat, Universitat d’Oman-de-Calvi, Benin
- Instituto de Ciencia Políticas, Universidad Católica de Santiago de Chile, Chile
- Centro de Estudios Judiciales del Paraguay, Asunción, Paraguay
- Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Senegal

Teaching
- “The Judiciary’s Autonomy and Power in Flux: Political Interference in New Democracies over Time”, ALACIP VI, Quito, 12–14 June 2012 and IPSA XXII, Madrid, 8–12 July 2012 (M. Llanos et al.)

Publications

Funding
- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, Leibniz-Wettbewerbs (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation, Leibniz Competition) approved

Duration
2011–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
The project seeks to analyse and explain different degrees of judicial independence and deals especially with the following questions:
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?

Contribution to International Research
The study of judicial independence shows the interaction among the three branches of government and especially the ways in which the two elected branches – the executive and the legislature – interfere with the judicial branch. This project’s analysis stresses the difficult balance between judicial independence and judicial accountability. This topic is an incipient but growing research area in the developing world, and much original comparative empirical evidence is still needed.

Research Design and Methods
This project adopts a cross-regional small-N comparative design. It includes six cases from two different regions. The cases belong to similar intraregional contexts in terms of culture and history, but have different judicial independence assessments. In short, the sample includes one pair each of best-performers (Chile, Benin), medium-performers (Argentina, Senegal), and low-performers (Paraguay, Madagascar). Benin and Chile are renowned for their powerful constitutional courts and the low level of direct political interference with these courts’ actions, whereas Argentina’s and Senegal’s relatively professional judges are exposed to intermittent political influence. Weak judiciaries that face outright political influence are reported for Mali and Paraguay.

Preliminary Results
Between 2012 and the beginning of 2013, the project members undertook fieldwork in the six countries included in the study. They conducted a massive number of interviews (including higher judges, politicians, academics, and members of NGOs), which constitute the original data on which the paper Llanos et al (2013) is based. In addition, the research team elaborated a new composed index of de jure judicial independence, which improves in many aspects current measures of autonomy, accountability and power of courts. The GIGA Index of Judicial Independence was applied to Francophone democracies in Africa (Stroh and Heyl 2013).

Judicial (In)dependence in New Democracies.
Courts, Presidents and Legislatures in Latin America
and Sub-Saharan Africa

Mariana Llanos, Alexander Stroh, Charlotte Heyl, Cordula Tibi Weber
Project Description

Research Questions

- Poverty reduction strategies aim to foster growth patterns that favour the poor (millennium development goals and poverty reduction strategy papers). However, in the past rapid economic expansion in developing countries has often been associated with increased inequality. Redistributive policies could counteract such trends. The commitment to reduce poverty is likely to differ according to political regime type. The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. What kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. The project’s basic questions are thus as follows:
  - Which political regime type provides more effective institutional arrangement for poverty reduction?
  - Which policy or policy mix is most effective for poverty alleviation in specific regimes?
  - Which political conditions are most favourable for the efficient implementation of this policy or policy mix?

Contribution to International Research

The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. However, what kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. Cross-country research on the relationship between political regimes and poverty/inequality is inconclusive. There are various reasons for this state of affairs: case and period selection, the limitations of the statistical instruments, and conceptual and measurement shortcomings.

Research Design and Methods

Our approach will enhance the differentiation of the regime variable to overcome the democracy/dictatorship dichotomy by introducing hybrid-regime categories as well as disentangle particular political institutions that are crucial for social policy choices and implementation. In addition, we will specify different qualities within the regime categories, such as diminished subtypes and partial regimes. We intend to:
  - assess the relationship between poverty/inequality and regime type;
  - examine which policies are most likely to be adopted by specific regime types; and
  - analyse the differences in the effectiveness and efficiency of specific policies under different regime types.

Such an analysis will provide answers as to why some countries are less effective in policy implementation. The study will comprise a small-N comparison of selected country cases from Africa, Asia, and Latin America according to regime type, policies, and governance efficacy (centralised and decentralised administration and/or governance, as well as R-devised decentralisation). It will identify key variables, which will then be tested in a cross-country large-N study.
Empowerment or Alienation? Descriptive Representation and Ethnic Relations in Bolivia

>> Anaïd Flesken

Project Description

What are the consequences of descriptive representation for political support and belonging among the majority and minority populations?

In the past decade, Bolivia has seen drastic changes in the social and political sphere, resulting in, amongst others, the election of Evo Morales as the first indigenous president of the country as well as in a sizeable representation of indigenous Bolivians in parliament. Previous work has examined the political indigenous mobilizations leading to these changes, yet an examination of their consequences for citizen-state and citizen-citizen relations is missing. This is surprising, given that not all observers were wholly optimistic but also concerned that the mobilization of indigenous identities might have heightened ethnic tensions and undermined national unity. This project fills this gap. Informed by hypotheses on descriptive representation from the US American context, it examines whether indigenous descriptive representation in Bolivia has led to the empowerment of indigenous citizens, the alienation of non-indigenous citizens, or perhaps improved ethnic relations through the deconstruction of prejudices.

The project departs in several ways from the existing literature on the effects of descriptive representation. First, most studies on descriptive representation are limited to its effects on the marginalized population. This project goes beyond this, also analyzing the reactions of members of the, up to then, more powerful ethnic category. Second, in contrast to many studies on ethnic relations in political science, which are predominantly based on aggregate-level data of behavioral outcomes such as conflict, this project focuses on individual-level data of attitudinal outcomes and is thus able to examine less extreme, and more common, outcomes than violence. Third, virtually the entire empirical literature on the effects of descriptive representation is based on African–American and Latino representation in the US American context and has been applied to only few other Western democracies. The Bolivian context will provide a comparative counterpoint able to test whether the hypotheses and concepts travel. Thus, besides shedding light on recent political and social developments in Bolivia, the project will contribute to the general literature on the effects of descriptive representation by testing hypotheses outside of the context in which they were generated. In doing so, it provides a first step to resolving an ongoing debate on the desirability of ethnic representation and to strengthening policy advice on the design of political institutions.

Research Questions

- What are the consequences of descriptive representation for political support and belonging among the majority and minority populations?

Contribution to International Research

While the project focuses on the consequences of the 2005 elections, including all three elections in Bolivia, it departs in several ways from the existing literature on the effects of descriptive representation. First, most studies on descriptive representation are limited to its effects on the marginalized population. This project goes beyond this, also analyzing the reactions of members of the, up to then, more powerful ethnic category. Second, in contrast to many studies on ethnic relations in political science, which are predominantly based on aggregate-level data of behavioral outcomes such as conflict, this project focuses on individual-level data of attitudinal outcomes and is thus able to examine less extreme, and more common, outcomes than violence. Third, virtually the entire empirical literature on the effects of descriptive representation is based on African–American and Latino representation in the US American context and has been applied to only few other Western democracies. The Bolivian context will provide a comparative counterpoint able to test whether the hypotheses and concepts travel. Thus, besides shedding light on recent political and social developments in Bolivia, the project will contribute to the general literature on the effects of descriptive representation by testing hypotheses outside of the context in which they were generated. In doing so, it provides a first step to resolving an ongoing debate on the desirability of ethnic representation and to strengthening policy advice on the design of political institutions.

Research Design and Methods

The project conducts both spatial and temporal comparisons of attitudes among voters in electoral districts according to the ethnicity of their representative, using survey data collected by the Latin American Public Opinion Project shortly following the 2002, 2005, and 2009 Bolivian general elections. While the project focuses on the consequences of the 2005 elections, including all three elections in parts of the analyses brings additional methodological advantages. Not only does it raise the number of instances of descriptive representation and thus allows to draw more general inferences from the data, but it also enables an analysis of temporal dynamics. First, it is possible to consider changes over time. Within-district comparisons of changes in the ethnicity of the representative keep constant the characteristics of the electoral district and thus allow drawing inferences regarding the causal direction of the effect: if attitudes do change after a change in the ethnicity of the representative, descriptive representation is likely to be the cause of political attitudes, rather than vice versa. Second, in addition to considering changes in the independent variable (the ethnicity of the representative), this also enables examining changes in the dependent variable (political support and belonging): by analysing how long a district is being represented by a representative with a respective ethnicity, it will be possible to examine whether, for example, empowerment or threat strengthen or weaken over time.
Preliminary research has shown the importance that emigrant policies have acquired for sending states as cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigration policies. Interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining the subject of complex political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific sending country itself. As a consequence, emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are between extending rights to a country’s emigrants, on the one hand, and to non-citizen immigrants in the state, citizenship, and belonging. While the migration debate was long framed along the lines of social issues, marginalisation, discrimination, integration, and assimilation our findings highlight the role of citizenship and state-migrant relations as a key factor. Our research also suggests that there is a link between extending rights to a country’s emigrants, on the one hand, and to non-citizen immigrants in the sending country itself. As a consequence, emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are the subject of complex political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigration policies.

The project is based on a mixed-method research design that proceeds in three steps: First, we will generate an original data set that identifies and codifies the principal emigrant policies of all Latin American and major Caribbean countries at the large-N level. This is something that has been missing in the research so far. Second, we will use this database for a quantitative large-N analysis in order to test hypotheses on the structural reasons for the adoption of emigrant policies. To do so we will correlate a number of structural factors regarding the sending state and its emigration community as the independent variable with the emigration policies codified above as the dependent variable. Third, we will undertake a qualitative, small-N case study to understand the political dynamics of the sending states and emigrants in the design and adoption of emigrant policies. In accordance with Liebermann’s concept of “nested analysis”, we will select the cases for this latter step as a result of the large-N analysis. Preliminary research has shown the importance emigrant policies have acquired for sending states as well as the variety of approaches taken by these. As overseas voting rights as well as a broad array of outreach activities have come on the political agenda of many countries, the research carried out so far has confirmed the hypothesis that transnational migration is challenging core concepts of the nation-state, citizenship, and belonging. While the migration debate was long framed along the lines of social issues, marginalisation, discrimination, integration, and assimilation our findings highlight the role of citizenship and state-migrant relations as a key factor. Our research also suggests that there is a link between extending rights to a country’s emigrants, on the one hand, and to non-citizen immigrants in the sending country itself. As a consequence, emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are the subject of complex political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigration policies.

The proposed project seeks to address key gaps in the extant research. First, research on emigrant politics and emigrant policies is still stuck between a large number of migrant-centred studies that document the transnational political practices of emigrants on the one hand, and a more limited number of studies on sending-state policies on the other hand. Second, research on emigrant politics is usually conducted on a case-study basis. The analysis has generally been conducted at the regional level (e.g. Escobar 2007; Rhodes and Hartunyan 2010) and has been limited to very specific policies. It therefore has not explained the broader development of emigrant policies in Latin America. This study’s development of an original, solid and quantifiable emigrant policies index for all the countries of the study region and the combination of this index with a small-N analysis in a nested research approach will break new ground. This approach promises to overcome the major limitations of the political science research on transnational migration.

The project will adopt a comprehensive empirical and mixed-methods approach. It will provide new insights on how and why sending states actively adopt policies to engage with their emigrated citizens abroad, and on how this interaction impacts not only the politics but also the politics of the sending societies.

The project is based on a mixed-methods research design that proceeds in three steps: First, we will generate an original data set that identifies and codifies the principal emigrant policies of all Latin American and major Caribbean countries at the large-N level. This is something that has been missing in the research so far. Second, we will use this database for a quantitative large-N analysis in order to test hypotheses on the structural reasons for the adoption of emigrant policies. To do so we will correlate a number of structural factors regarding the sending state and its emigration community as the independent variable with the emigration policies codified above as the dependent variable. Third, we will undertake a qualitative, small-N case study to understand the political dynamics of the sending states and emigrants in the design and adoption of emigrant policies. In accordance with Liebermann’s concept of “nested analysis”, we will select the cases for this latter step as a result of the large-N analysis. Preliminary research has shown the importance emigrant policies have acquired for sending states as well as the variety of approaches taken by these. As overseas voting rights as well as a broad array of outreach activities have come on the political agenda of many countries, the research carried out so far has confirmed the hypothesis that transnational migration is challenging core concepts of the nation-state, citizenship, and belonging. While the migration debate was long framed along the lines of social issues, marginalisation, discrimination, integration, and assimilation our findings highlight the role of citizenship and state-migrant relations as a key factor. Our research also suggests that there is a link between extending rights to a country’s emigrants, on the one hand, and to non-citizen immigrants in the sending country itself. As a consequence, emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are the subject of complex political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigration policies.
Research Questions

The project addresses the dilemma of migration as a form to overcome socio-economic inequalities embedded in the international hierarchy of states while at the same time calling into question the citizenship status of the migrants, creating new inequalities and dependence on the political spaces for negotiation within the framework of the receiving nation-state. It hence asks how two current trends a) to extend citizenship rights to non-citizen migrants (denizens) in the country of residence, and b) to extend rights to emigrated citizens in the country of origin (diaspora engagement policies) reshape the nature of citizenship and how this impacts on the stratification of multi-dimensional inequalities.

Contribution to International Research

International research on social inequalities has moved beyond uni-dimensional models focused on income or class cleavages to include multiple dimensions and modalities of inequalities such as those based on gender or ethnic identities. However, even these broader approaches, as forwarded in the intersectionality literature, has scarcely addressed the impact of transnational relations and the implications of mass migration on societal inequalities. In analysing the unequal citizenship status of the migrants in regard to both the country of origin as that of destiny the research project thus addresses a blind spot of current research on interdependent inequalities. Moreover it fills a gap by explicitly linking this analytical perspective on the changing nature of societies with the empirical study on governmental initiatives to reach out to their diaspora or to promote the entitlement of non-citizen residents, thus changing the forms and norms of citizenship in an increasingly interdependent world.

Research Design and Methods

Three Latin American countries (Ecuador, Bolivia and Colombia) were selected for comparative case studies on the inclusion of migrants as denizens in the state of residence as well as on policies of diaspora engagement adopted or debated in the political arena. A key question is as to how far the countries’ institutional configurations and migration profiles shape the policy approaches regarding the entitlement of denizens and/or migrants to citizenship rights. To this end, the project will systematically analyse political documents, parliamentary debates and other data on these questions, and researchers will conduct interviews with key actors in the process.

Project Description

Bert Hoffmann, Henio Hoyo

desiguAlidades.net – Migration and Unequal Citizenship

- To better understand how citizenship status of migrants impacts as a key dimension of not only political but also social inequalities.
- To understand the causes as well as the implications of recent initiatives for denizen entitlement (that is of non-citizen resident migrants) as well as diaspora engagement (that is outreach of sending states to their emigrants).

Theoretical Approaches

- Transnational migration studies
- Interdependent/entangled inequalities
- Citizenship as “inherited entitlement”

Research Design

- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Unit of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods

- Data Collection: Documents (parliamentary debates, political documents), Interviews with key actors
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners

- Prof. Gioconda Herrera, FLACSO Ecuador

Teaching

- “Migration Politics and Policy”, Central European University, Budapest, Winter Semester 2013 (L. Pedroza)
- Participation in planned conference of the desiguAlidades network in 2014 and 2015

Publications

- Pedroza, Luicy (2011), But don’t mention migration! Explaining the “failed” denizen enfranchisement reforms in Germany, DISC Working Papers 15, Budapest.

Funding

- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research): approved

Duration

2014–2016
**The Effects of Electoral Reform on Party and Party System Development: A Context-Sensitive Comparison of Japan and New Zealand**

> Patrick Köllner

**Project Description**

In both comparative and national-level terms we want to know how, in the context of concurrent social change, the adoption of mixed electoral systems – a mixed-member majoritarian (MMM) system in Japan and a mixed-member proportional (MMP) system in New Zealand – has impacted on party-system development in these two countries during the past 20-odd years. More specifically, we ask whether/to what degree the predicted move to a two-party system in Japan has taken place and whether/to what degree the party system in New Zealand has become more diverse. At the intranational level, we seek to understand how electoral system change has affected in conjunction with social change the development of both major parties and minor parties within the two party systems. Hence, sub-questions concern how party-system-relevant “niche parties” have adapted to the changed electoral system in Japan and how electoral change has affected the (party) representation of important ethnic minorities in New Zealand.

The project features a paired comparison of the only two established democracies that introduced mixed electoral systems in the early 1990s and that have since then continuously applied these systems. Six general elections took place between 1996 and 2012 under the respective versions of mixed-member electoral systems in both Japan and New Zealand (e.g. Barker et al. 2001; Reed and Thies 2001; Köllner 2006) in the light of more recent evidence. By doing so it will also complement recent comparative research on the effects of electoral change on party and party system change in Italy and Japan (Guzzetti and Grotman eds. 2011).

The project proposes to study party and party system change in Japan and New Zealand by combining quantitative assessments of party-system change (as reflected e.g. in the development of the respective effective number of parties since 1993) with qualitative approaches to understanding party development (such as tracing the responses of major and minor parties to institutional as well as social changes since the early 1990s). Funding permitting, we will also investigate how important niche parties have adapted to relevant changes in Japan (case studies on the Japanese Communist Party and the neo-Buddhist New Komeito) and how the party-based representation of ethnic minorities has evolved within changed institutional and social parameters in New Zealand (case studies on representation of Maori and ethnic Asians). In sum, we seek to combine cross-regional and within-case analyses in this project.

**Research Questions**

- To understand how the use of multi-member electoral systems has, in conjunction with concurrent social change, impacted political parties and party systems in Japan and New Zealand since the early 1990s.

**Theoretical Approaches**

- Comparative Study of Electoral Systems’ Effects
- Historical Institutionalism

**Research Design and Methods**

- Mixed quantitative-qualitative approach
- Data Collection: Data on effective number of parties (partly available from Michael Gallagher’s election indices database), Election surveys in Japan and New Zealand since 1996, Semi-structured expert interviews
- Data Analysis: Pattern Matching (Congruence Analysis), Process Tracing
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis (party level), Medium-N Analysis (party-system level)

**Cooperation**

- Dr. Bryce Edwards, University of Otago, New Zealand

**Partners**

- Japan: National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies (GRIPS), German Institute for Japanese Studies

**Publications**


**Funding**

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

**Duration**

2014–2016
Project Description

Research Questions
The main research questions of the ANTICORRP consortium are:
- What are the causes of corruption? What accounts for low levels of corruption?
- How do corruption levels differ between regions? What accounts for these differences?
- What impact does the behaviour of governmental and non-state actors have on the fight against corruption?

Contribution to International Research
While there is increasing awareness of corruption, as well as an interest in curtailing it, there has been no systematic research on questions relating to the transition of particularistic societies towards those characterised by ethical universalism – that is, public good-oriented governance. There is also a lack of consensus regarding definitions, possible causes, and effective measures of fighting corruption. The main aim of ANTICORRP is therefore to align different concepts and insights through interdisciplinary research. Existing research gaps shall be addressed by a comparison of EU countries’ trajectories with neighboring states and a global sample of countries. In this framework, the GIGA project comparatively analyses country cases in Latin America, Africa, Asia and the Middle East and studies patterns and trends of corruption within each of the four regions.

Research Design and Methods
In a first step governance regimes worldwide were diagnosed, using well known indicators of good governance and corruption. Based on this large-N quantitative study, countries were identified that were successful in reducing corruption and the respective countries’ trajectories were analysed in more detail. Based on these case studies, which were conducted in 2013, the most effective countries in fighting corruption will be analysed by means of process tracing. Furthermore, different world regions will be compared in order to discover and explain intra- and inter-regional variation. Based on these comparisons, it is expected to eventually gain a better understanding of why various societies developed different equilibria of political accountability and control of corruption.

Preliminary Results
Preliminary findings indicate that successful control of corruption results from a specific interplay of material and power opportunities as well as legal and normative constraints. The following countries were identified as regional achievers: Botswana, Chile, Costa Rica, Qatar, Rwanda, South Korea, Taiwan and Uruguay. The historical pathways of these countries will be analysed in the next research phase of ANTICORRP.
Intraparty Fractionalism and Party System Change: Lessons from Young Democracies in Asia

Andreas Ufen, Paul Chambers, Patrick Köllner

**Research Questions**

The research in the project centres upon one question:

- In competitive party systems of South and Southeast Asia, what accounts for the rise and strength of factionalism in some parties and party systems?

*In electoral authoritarian and electoral democratic systems, parties and party systems are often weakly institutionalised (Mainwaring and Scully, 1995; Randall and Svavandi 2002; Hicken 2009). Studies have argued that this phenomenon is generally due to local elites’ early domination of regionalised parties and an inability to clearly translate social cleavages into the party system (Ufen, 2008:342; Kuhonta 2009: 4-5). One symptom of such under-institutionalisation has been intra-party factionalism. This factionalism is significant because factions can act as building blocks which keep parties together, or alternatively, they can force coalitions and parties to collapse. Thus, in many party systems throughout the world, factions determine patterns of stability or instability in party systems.*

*However, little has been published on political parties in Asia, and a comparative empirical survey on factionalism in Asia has not yet been published. Additionally, a comparative operationalisation of the effective number of factions in different party systems has never been undertaken. Finally, except for the possible exception of works by Krauss and Pelekkan (2011) and Hellmann (2011), historical institutionalism has never been used to account for the rise of factionalism in terms of changes across party organisations and party systems.*

*The project compares cases of factionalism in selected regimes with competitive party systems in Southeast Asia (Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, Indonesia, Timor Leste), and South Asia (India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Pakistan). It combines historical institutionalism with qualitative and quantitative methodology. The entire evolution of each faction under study is traced, and these factions are also measured in terms of the effective number of intra-party factions and political parties within each party system case. To measure factions in each country case, the project’s researchers will interview academics, journalists, and retired or active politicians. This research will also involve the gathering of legislative data, which will either be available online or will require manual primary data collection in the particular country.*

**Project Description**

The project compares cases of factionalism in selected regimes with competitive party systems in Southeast Asia (Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, Indonesia, Timor Leste), and South Asia (India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Pakistan). It combines historical institutionalism with qualitative and quantitative methodology. The entire evolution of each faction under study is traced, and these factions can force coalitions and parties to collapse. Thus, in many party systems throughout the world, factions determine patterns of stability or instability in party systems.
GIGA Research Projects 2015

Articles in Refereed Journals


Contributions to Edited Volumes


Monographs and Edited Volumes

A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict Onset

Matthias Basedau, Carlo Koos, Annegret Mährer, Jan Pierskalla
Prior Consultation and Conflict Transformation in Resource Governance: Bolivia and Peru

Almut Schilling-Vacaflor, Riccarda Flemmer

Project Description

- To assess the characteristics of consultation processes and their results in Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador.
- To develop a database on 20 consultation cases in the Bolivian and Peruvian hydrocarbon sectors.
- To identify enabling and limiting factors for the implementation of comprehensive consultations.
- To explain the connections between prior consultations and conflict transformation.

Theoretical Approaches
- Contentious politics
- Development theory (participatory development)
- Legal pluralism/indigenous rights

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Ongoing Processes (participatory observation)
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Studies, Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Participatory Conflict Analyses, Observation/Ethnography, Documents (official ministry reports, statements from NGOs and indigenous organizations, media reports)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Hermeneutic Analysis, Process Tracing, QCA

Cooperation
- Prof. Dr. René Kuppe, University of Vienna

Partners
- Dr. John-Andrew McNish, UMB Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- Dr. Barbara Hogenboom, Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam
- CEJIS (Centro de Estudios Jurídicos y Sociales), Bolivia

Teaching
- "Prior Consultation: Citizen Participation in Andean Resource Politics", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (A. Schilling-Vacaflor)
- "Rethinking the Consultation-Conflict Link: Lessons from Bolivia’s and Peru’s Gas and Oil Sector", LASA XIX Conference, International Congress, Chicago, 22 May 2014

Publications

Research Council of Norway (NRC); sub-project: approved
- Deutsche Stiftung Friedensforschung (DFF) (German Foundation for Peace Research); approved
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development); funding for four periods: approved
- Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen); research grant (November 2011–February 2012); approved

Duration 2012–2017

Research Questions
- What are the characteristics of prior consultations and participation processes on planned hydrocarbon activities in Bolivia and Peru since 2000?
- Which factors enable or inhibit the implementation of comprehensive consultations?
- Which conflict constellations and conflict dynamics can be observed within consultation procedures?
- What is the influence of participatory consultation processes on socio-environmental conflicts?

Contribution to International Research
- A lack of systematic and comparative studies on consultation cases that are based on detailed knowledge of each case
- A lack of in-depth ethnographic case studies that explore formal and informal local processes as well as the perceptions, interests and strategies of the heterogeneous actors involved
- A lack of research that focuses on the substantial dimension of prior consultations/FPIC, especially on final consultation agreements and their implementation

The project brings together diverse theoretical strands. The dialogue between these theories and empirical data will contribute to the development of a middle-range theory on prior consultations/FPIC in extractive industries.

We already collected data from more than one hundred semi-structured interviews with experts and actors involved in consultation processes about hydrocarbon projects (from the state, local communities and extraction companies) and did participatory observation on consultation processes in Bolivia and Peru. In addition, we collected original data about consultation processes and the resulting final agreements; among them reports from the Ministry of Hydrocarbons and Energy on 30 cases in Bolivia and reports from the Peruvian Ministry of Energy and Mines and from the state oil agency彭斯托 on over one hundred participation processes in Peru’s hydrocarbon sector. During our long field research stays we applied innovative methods such as participatory conflict analysis, free-listing and pile-sorting. The data is analysed with the support of ATLAS.ti. The project carries out an intracountry - comparing participation and consultation rights and practices over time and at different local places – and cross-country comparisons.

Preliminary Results
- Consultations’ great potential for conflict transformation lies in the chance to make underlying tensions in state-indigenous relations and in local contexts visible, to build trustful relationships between the state, local communities and companies and to achieve compromises that are acceptable for all sides.
- However, in practice the risk of “empty consultations” is high and visible and hidden power asymmetries often hinder effective participation. A main obstacle is to hold intercultural dialogues about highly complex and technical issues such as hydrocarbon projects. Therefore, the role of technically and interculturally competent advisors of indigenous groups becomes crucial. In addition, the current design of consultations, together with lacking follow-up mechanisms about the compliance with achieved agreements, limits the possibilities of local groups to incite in the design and execution of projects.
- In both countries, consultations are one-off events and in Peru they are carried out at very early stage, before any concrete project could be discussed, while in Bolivia consultations are made after contracts with the company are signed and machines are contracted. In this context, in Bolivia consultations are used as a bargaining tool for achieving high compensations, while its rights protection function is low. A combination that tends to cause conflicts among diverse local groups. In the Peruvian Amazon, in areas with little or no state presence, consultations use to be the only channel to express demands about unsatisfied basic needs, which produces an overload of claims within these project-specific events.
- Hence, enhancing consultations’ transformative potential, these processes should be complemented with additional mechanisms to channel claims, effective participation should be a constant in the whole project and monitoring systems of companies’ compliance with achieved agreements should be improved.
### Project Description

#### Research Questions
- Ambivalence: Under what conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace?
- Multidimensionality of religion: What particular religious factors (group identities, religious ideas, religious organisations) lead to violence or peace?
- Non-religious context: What non-religious factors impact violence and peace independently from or in conjunction with religion?

#### Contribution to International Research
- Comprehensive empirical studies are scarce and quantitative analyses are generally based only on demographic data. Many case studies exist, but these are hardly comparable given the different research questions and theoretical and methodological approaches. Among the few comprehensive studies to date is a research project on religion and conflict in Africa which was conducted at GIGA (and funded by the German Foundation of Peace Research) and upon which this project can build.

#### Research Design and Methods
- The project uses a variety of methodologies and thus entails a pronounced multi-method approach:
  - A qualitative small N-comparison of several country cases in Africa, Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America (Nigeria, Niger, Nigeria, South Sudan, El Salvador, Philippines, Indonesia)
  - Local studies of so-called "hot spots" within the country cases, that are designed to shed light on the micro-level
  - A large-N comparison of almost all countries in the four regions that builds on a comprehensive database of 130 developing countries (an extension of an Africa database)

#### Preliminary Results
- The project has already yielded many results, which can be summarised as follows: Preliminary analyses of the database on developing countries confirm the assumption that religious factors beyond religious demographics impact the risk of armed conflict. These factors include the overlap of religious with ethnic and regional identities as well as horizontal economic inequalities between religious groups. Discourse also plays a role as, for instance, incitement to violence and grievances over perceived discrimination increase the conflict risk in some circumstances. Another important finding is that results differ according to the type of conflict and the role of religion therein. If warring factions have different religious affiliations, the aforementioned overlaps become more important. When an incompatibility over religious ideas is part of the conflict, discourse becomes more important. Interestingly, the research has found little evidence of a proactive impact of religious factors on peace. While the database (as well as the preliminary findings from the country case studies) reveals many instances of peace activism and interreligious dialogue on the part of religious actors, regression results do not indicate that these efforts have substantially reduced conflict risk. Apparently, other forms of religious institutionalisation count.

### RP 2

**VIOLENCE AND SECURITY**

**RT 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics**

Religion and Conflict: On the Ambivalence of Religious Factors in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East

Matthias Basedau, Georg Strüver, Johannes Vüllers

---

**Project Goals**
- To identify the conditions under which several religious factors lead to violence or peace.

**Theoretical Approaches**
- Rationalist approaches to the study of civil war, concept of collective action.
- Social psychology of intergroup dynamics (e.g. social identity theory).

**Research Design**
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational, National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

**Methods**
- Data Collection: Focus Group Discussions, Databases (creation of a new database on religion and conflict in 130 developing countries)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis

**Cooperation**
- Conflict and Religion Network (Netzwerk Religion und Konflikt)

**Partners**
- Jonathan Fox, Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel

**Teaching**
- "Bewaffnete Konflikte und Kriege im subsaharischen Afrika" (Armed Conflicts and Civil wars in Sub-Saharan Africa), IFSH Hamburg, Two-Day Seminar, January 2010, January 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)
- "Religion and Conflict" (Religion und Konflikt), University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)

**Knowledge Transfer**
- Presentation of results to the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), 31 October 2012

**Publications**

**Funding**
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development) (until February 2013): approved
- Deutsche Stiftung Friedensforschung (DSF) (German Foundation for Peace Research) (until May 2011): approved
- GIGA

**Duration**
- 2008-2015
From Quietism to Politics: The Egyptian Salafist Movement from 1970 to 2012

Henner Fürtig, Annette Ranko, Nikolai Röhl

Research Questions
- Which developments have Egyptian Salafist groups and thought demonstrated over the period from 1970 to 2012?
- How has the political trend within the Salafist movement emerged and developed? How has it been articulated vis-à-vis the movement’s mainstream, apolitical trend?
- What impact have other Islamist (but non-Salafist) actors (e.g., the Muslim Brotherhood) had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?
- What influence have transnational Salafist debates and thinkers had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?
- In how far does the Egyptian Salafist movement influence debates and developments within transnational Salafism?

Contribution to International Research
Political Salafism is a relatively recent phenomenon. Starting in the 1980s, Bahrain and Kuwait were the first countries to witness the entrance of Salafists into parliament. It was only after the fall of Mubarak in 2011 and the formation and electoral success of Salafist parties in Egypt however, that political Salafism has entered into the limelight. Scholarship on this phenomenon – though growing since 2011 – remains scarce, especially compared to more widely studied groups as the Muslim Brotherhood. This project, thus, aims to shed light on the genesis of this political strand within Egypt and to trace its historical roots beginning in the 1970s. It further seeks to trace the mutual influences, interactions, and transformations of national and transnational manifestations of Salafism, so as to contribute to the study on Salafism as a transnational phenomenon.

Research Design and Methods
The project will use summarising qualitative content analysis as its primary research method. It will analyse the most important programmatic writings, sermons, and fatwâa of Salafist leaders from 1970 to 2012 in order to discern the different ideational trends, their development and their articulation in relation to each other. In order to analyse these developments, the project will utilise social movement theory approaches that integrate process tracing.
Power-Sharing in Multi-Ethnic Societies of the Middle East

>> Henner Fürtig, Stephan Rosiny

Project Description

Research Questions
The research project stems from the observation that some of the “Arab Spring” uprisings, namely, those in Bahrain and Syria, slipped into bloody crackdowns and/or civil wars. In other countries, such as Lebanon and Iraq, there were hardly any protests. In all four countries we find multiple ethnic-sectarian divisions within society. Yet, whereas Lebanon and Iraq have implied power-sharing arrangements, the other two (Bahrain and Syria) are mainly mono-ethnic autocracies.

The research questions are as follows:
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Contribution to International Research
While quite a lot of research has been carried out on the two existing power-sharing arrangements in Lebanon and Iraq, the ongoing debates on their reform or even their abolishment in these two countries as well as the possibility of transferring such power-sharing arrangements to Syria and Bahrain have not yet been investigated. The current project is innovative in this respect. Further innovative elements include the project’s comparative design and its aim of investigating future options for such reforms and arrangements for all four countries.

Research Design and Methods
In several steps, the project investigates the history and current situation of these divided societies, as well as their political structures. It also analyses the existing constitutions and laws, as well as the possible ways to transfer power-sharing arrangements from Lebanon and Iraq to Syria and Bahrain.

Research Questions
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Preliminary Results
In a series of workshops, participants identified several similar or comparable experiences between the cases under investigation. They also focused on the dynamics between the four countries and how the positive and negative experiences of each country influence the power-sharing debate in the subregion. Deeper research has been done in the conflict structure and the sectarian framing of the four societies as well as the ongoing debates about a reform of existing or the introduction of new power-sharing arrangements.

Project Description

The research project stems from the observation that some of the “Arab Spring” uprisings, namely, those in Bahrain and Syria, slipped into bloody crackdowns and/or civil wars. In other countries, such as Lebanon and Iraq, there were hardly any protests. In all four countries we find multiple ethnic-sectarian divisions within society. Yet, whereas Lebanon and Iraq have implied power-sharing arrangements, the other two (Bahrain and Syria) are mainly mono-ethnic autocracies.

The research questions are as follows:
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Contribution to International Research
While quite a lot of research has been carried out on the two existing power-sharing arrangements in Lebanon and Iraq, the ongoing debates on their reform or even their abolishment in these two countries as well as the possibility of transferring such power-sharing arrangements to Syria and Bahrain have not yet been investigated. The current project is innovative in this respect. Further innovative elements include the project’s comparative design and its aim of investigating future options for such reforms and arrangements for all four countries.

Research Design and Methods
In several steps, the project investigates the history and current situation of these divided societies, as well as their political structures. It also analyses the existing constitutions and laws, as well as the possible ways to transfer power-sharing arrangements from Lebanon and Iraq to Syria and Bahrain.

Research Questions
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Preliminary Results
In a series of workshops, participants identified several similar or comparable experiences between the cases under investigation. They also focused on the dynamics between the four countries and how the positive and negative experiences of each country influence the power-sharing debate in the subregion. Deeper research has been done in the conflict structure and the sectarian framing of the four societies as well as the ongoing debates about a reform of existing or the introduction of new power-sharing arrangements.
Institutions for Sustainable Peace: Comparing Institutional Options for Divided Societies and Post-Conflict Countries

Matthias Basedau, Sabine Kurtenbach, Andreas Mehler, Nadine Ansorg, Felix Haas, Julia Strasheim

**Research Questions**

- How can institutional engineering be effective and successful in post-conflict, and in particular, divided societies?
- Which institutions and which combination of institutions reduce the potential for violent conflicts and other types of violence?
- How do specific factors such as the character of divisions or the traumatic experience of violence impact the prospects for successful institutional engineering?

The project connects to the debates on institutional engineering (e.g., Light, Horowitz, Reynolds) and systematically analyses the effects and success of different institutional designs. Research on institutional conditions for and determinants of peace is often geographically and – due to a focus on the field of post-conflict studies – thematically fragmented. The present project aims to overcome this fragmentation by initiating cooperation between several research institutions, with GIGA as the main partner.

The project studies the success of particular institutional designs (decentralisation or federal systems, particular election systems, regulation of party systems, power-sharing) and undertakes integrative analyses of interactions among the entire set of institutions that influence the potential for violence.

The project furthermore identifies how societal divisions and/or post-conflict situations affect the prospects of particular institutional options: specific economic, cultural, political, and historical contexts are included in the analysis of institutions. Non-institutional factors such as ethnicity, religion or resources are also considered.

**Research Design and Methods**

Using a comparative area studies approach, the project carries out a comparative investigation of those societies that display a specific risk of conflict escalation, such as post-conflict societies and “divided societies” (societies divided along ethnic, religious or other social lines). The project brings international experts from this field of research together in one collaborative project. Individual findings are exchanged in order to systematically correlate them within a new research approach. Research agendas are discussed and developed at conferences and workshops, then carried out in concrete research and publication projects.

The networking process is institutionalised by an academic exchange programme and a substantive "research unit" at the GIGA. A further element of the project is the "Institutions for Sustainable Peace" database, which systematically links different findings from within the field and fills research gaps by including the complex set of institutional choices available as well as the exact character of divisions and conflict risks.

The network held four conferences: "Institutions for Sustainable Peace: From Research Gaps to New Frontiers" (Berlin, 7–8 September 2012), "Harmony or Caucophony? The ‘Concert of Institutions’ in Divided Societies" (Oslo, 13–14 June 2013), "Institutional Reforms in Post-war and Divided Societies" (Geneva, 27–28 May 2014), and "Why Institutions Matter: Linking Research and Practice on Institutions for Sustainable Peace" (Hamburg, 8–10 April 2015). GIGA staff published an annotated bibliography of datasets in the study of institutions and conflict in divided societies which is available at the GIGA website. Also the codebook for classifying the datasets included in the annotated bibliography and the datasets themselves are made available, following the principle of Open Access.

**Preliminary Results**

The network held four conferences: "Institutions for Sustainable Peace: From Research Gaps to New Frontiers" (Berlin, 7–8 September 2012), "Harmony or Caucophony? The ‘Concert of Institutions’ in Divided Societies" (Oslo, 13–14 June 2013), "Institutional Reforms in Post-war and Divided Societies" (Geneva, 27–28 May 2014), and "Why Institutions Matter: Linking Research and Practice on Institutions for Sustainable Peace" (Hamburg, 8–10 April 2015). GIGA staff published an annotated bibliography of datasets in the study of institutions and conflict in divided societies which is available at the GIGA website. Also the codebook for classifying the datasets included in the annotated bibliography and the datasets themselves are made available, following the principle of Open Access.
Research Questions
- Does the effective and equitable delivery of basic services affect levels of political trust in fragile situations?
- How should external/international support for service provision be designed in order to contribute to improvements in trust levels?

Contribution to International Research
Development agencies claim that international support to service delivery can contribute to state-society relations and state building. The rationale is that improved access to education, health care or drinking water increases output legitimacy and people's trust in state institutions. Ensuring access to basic services for the population is considered an essential welfare function of the state. Even more importantly, service provision is interpreted as a form of direct interaction between the individual and the state's institutions and actors. It may thus be understood as an "interface between citizens and the state". The state's ability or inability to deliver on this responsibility is directly felt by the population and will thus shape its attitudes and actions towards the state.

Academic debates on post-conflict state-building and conflict recurrence have largely been detached from research on political trust. The role of legitimacy and political trust is emphasised in peace and conflict studies; however, it is not adequately conceptualised and is seldom analysed empirically. Similarly, few studies on political trust explicitly consider how intrastate conflict might affect such trust. The research project aims to bring both areas of research together and to analyse the determinants of political trust in post-war societies by focusing on the role of basic service delivery.

Research Design and Methods
The project will combine quantitative and qualitative research methods. The first pillar will be based on household and village-level surveys from three different country contexts: Afghanistan, Burundi and Peru. Opinion surveys will target a minimum of 100 villages and 1,500 households per country and will be designed as so called "population based experiments". Questionnaires will focus on people's perceptions of various state institutions and of current service provision (with respect to quality, quantity, providers, and responsiveness). Qualitative analyses at the subnational level will constitute the project's second pillar. In addition to the quantiative techniques, in-depth comparative analysis will take place in selected subnational regions of the countries under investigation. Through focus group discussions and semi-structured interviews, the researchers will cross-check the correlations found in the quantitative analyses.
Local conflict and the local state – public service delivery and political violence

>> Alexander De Juan, Eva Wegner, Viola Lucas

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does public delivery of basic services impact the risk of political violence against the state?
- How do the qualitative characteristics of basic public service delivery (equity, quality) affect the risk of anti-state violence?
- What are the causal mechanisms that link basic public service delivery to political violence against the state?

Contribution to International Research
This project aims to contribute to a better understanding of how the state influences the occurrence of anti-state violence. Peace and conflict studies focus on violence that is directed against state actors and institutions: riots, armed conflicts or civil wars. In analyzing the causes of violence, previous research has investigated the role of grievances, but without adequately addressing why people direct their anger against the state. Anti-state violence cannot be explained adequately by solely focusing on what people are fighting for; one also has to consider whom they are fighting against. Nonetheless, the role of the state as the object of violence has received comparably little attention in previous research on political violence.

The project aims to address this gap. It is particularly interested in the motives and actions of the broader population: What makes people engage in violence against the state? In answering the question, it focuses on a central element of state capacity that has thus far not been captured adequately – the public delivery of basic services. The provision of water, electricity, health care and education is an essential welfare function of the state. Furthermore, it can be considered an interface between the state and the population: through the provision of services the state becomes tangible. Thus, the state’s capacity or incapacity to deliver these services in an effective and equitable way will likely shape people’s perception of the state and influence their behavior towards it.

Research Design and Methods
The empirical part of the project will primarily be based on subnational geospatial analyses. It will make use of two interlinked techniques for causal inference: (1) quantitative analyses and (2) qualitative analyses combined with in-depth process tracing. Analyses will be performed separately in two countries: Nepal and South Africa. No direct cross-country comparisons will be made due to the country-specific data sources and operationalization of variables. However, findings from within-country analyses will be compared across countries to provide for general conclusions regarding the role of service delivery in political violence.

The quantitative component of the project has three principal aims: (1) to serve as an initial empirical test of the hypotheses, (2) to evaluate the explanatory power of competing hypotheses, and (3) to contribute to the selection of subnational administrative units for the subsequent small-n analyses. These analyses will mainly be carried out using pooled time-series cross-sectional data (i.e. Ordinary Least Squares estimations or Logit Models). Whereas statistical analyses will allow for the identification of general patterns with respect to the geographical distribution of service delivery and engagement in violence against the state, it leaves essential questions open when it comes to the conclusions that can be drawn from these findings. Given the large number of potentially competing explanations and the related control variables, causal inference should not be based on analyses of covariance alone. Thus, the plausibility of the underlying causal assumptions will be assessed through qualitative in-depth studies.
The Territorial Dynamics of Colonial State-Building

Alexander De Juan, Jan Pierskalla, Max Montgomery

Research Questions
- What factors influence the spatio-temporal patterns of colonial state-building?
- How do geo-strategic considerations, the potential for resource extraction and instances of violent opposition influence colonial state-building?

Contribution to International Research
Penetrating territory, establishing presence and imposing order is part and parcel of state building. The less states are able to reach throughout their territory, the less they will be able to defend their monopoly over the legitimate use of force, to extract resources from the population or establish state-society relations. The state’s ability to penetrate society and implement its decisions across its territory is an essential dimension of the “power of the state”. However, in many cases states do not effectively project their authority across all areas within their national borders. We are interested in the determinants of sub-national spatial variations in state penetration: why is the state able or willing to penetrate some sub-national regions, while others defy state presence?

The project performs statistical geo-spatial analyses of historical state-building processes to advance current debates on state-building in the context of violent conflict and low economic development. It focuses on connections between the spatial unevenness of the state and the temporal patterns of its extension. The project develops and analyses original hypotheses focusing on the dynamics of three main explanatory variables: accessibility, extraction and acceptance. Cooperation of the project with development agencies ensures knowledge transfer into practical debates on state-building in fragile and conflict-affected states.

The former colonies of German East and Southwest Africa serve as empirical cases. The wealth of statistical data on various dimensions of state presence and socio-economic characteristics of both colonies represent a unique opportunity for an unparalleled spatio-temporal analysis of state building. The empirical part of the project will primarily be based on sub-national statistical/geo-spatial analyses. They will be performed in two former German colonies separately. No direct cross-country comparisons will be made due to country-specific data-sources and operationalisation of variables. However, findings from within-country analyses will be compared across countries.

Data analysis will rely on standard multivariate regression models, as well as non-parametric matching approaches. In each type of analysis it will be essential to take into account problems of spatio-temporal variation in core independent variables. For example, we will leverage information from surveying reports, ranging from initial exploration trips prior to colonisation, to later commercial prospecting ventures, to identify specific events of valuable resource discovery. This allows us to compare strategies of state-building before and after news of successful prospecting has reached the colonial administration.
Governing People’s Safety in Areas of Extremely Limited Statehood: South Sudan and the Central African Republic

Andreas Mehler, Tim Glawion, Lotje de Vries

Project
- To explore causes for the varying effectiveness of security production in areas of extremely limited statehood, more precisely in local arenas in South Sudan and Central African Republic (CAR).
- To comparatively explore the following factors: actor constellations (and their varying institutionalization), presence/absence of external actors of state-building, social capital/social integration of the local population, national policies in terms of claims to power, socio-spatial distance to country capitals.

Theoretical
- Theory of Governance in areas of limited statehood (to be developed by SFB 700)
- Oligopolies of violence concept

Research Design and Methods
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups/Villages, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis (6 subnational arenas)

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Focus Group Discussions, Non-participatory Observation, Documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports, UN documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), SFB 700, dritte Phase (German Research Foundation; Collaborative Research Center 700, Third Phase): approved

Duration
2014–2017

Research Questions
- What are the success factors of effective security provision on the local level (in situations of extreme state weakness)?
- What is the role of specific context conditions like ethnic homogeneity (resulting in social capital), spatial distance to the country’s capital, and presence/absence of external actors (particularly peacekeepers)?
- What kind of policy implications can be drawn from strong local variance in countries invariably characterised as extremely weak?

Contribution to International Research
This project aims to close some gaps in the relevant research. Empirically, there are immense gaps with regard to effectiveness of local security production (only scattered results by a few researchers, including the project leader), conceptually there is no appropriate differentiation of the “container term” of hybrid peace orders (MacGinty 2010; Boege 2009 etc.). The discipline of political science only recently began to focus on local arenas, arguably decisive when it comes to post-conflict peace. Existing case studies fail to link up and produce some level of generalisation. This project aims to contribute in closing those gaps while also trying to combine the strengths of anthropology and political science.

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Within-Regional Comparison
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups/Villages, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis (6 subnational arenas)

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Focus Group Discussions, Non-participatory Observation, Documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports, UN documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), SFB 700, dritte Phase (German Research Foundation; Collaborative Research Center 700, Third Phase): approved

Duration
2014–2017
Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace

Andreas Mehler, Martin Ottmann, Johannes Vüllers

Project Description

While the majority of civil wars during the Cold War culminated in the military victory of one conflict party, the 1990s saw the rise of negotiated solutions to internal strife. Provisions for power-sharing between former adversaries figure prominently in almost all of these settlements, and consequently underlie both the constitutional arrangements in many recent peace agreements and political settlements in countries that have not experienced full-blown civil war. The problem with post-conflict power-sharing is that it has a very mixed track record. While there are some examples of apparent success, there are also many cases of outright failure – as well as some in-between cases where power-sharing remains fragile but nonetheless offers grounds for (cautious) optimism.

These differences give rise to a number of research questions:

- Are specific post-conflict power-sharing institutions associated with lasting peace?
- What are the institutional prerequisites for successful post-conflict power-sharing? Which powers need to be shared and to what extent? And which actors need to be included?
- Which contextual factors are important in explaining the resolution of violent conflict? And how do these contextual factors interact with the effects of power-sharing institutions?

Research Design and Methods

To allow for generalisation and particularisation, the study employs a mixed-method research design that combines econometric techniques with qualitative case studies. It will begin with a statistical study covering all instances of post-conflict power-sharing since the end of the Cold War (1989–2011). Using event history analysis, this statistical study will explore the impact of promises of power-sharing, as well as their eventual implementation, on civil war recurrence. The necessary data for this analysis will be taken from a newly constructed database on power-sharing institutions in post-conflict countries. The project’s researchers will then conduct comparative case studies of post-conflict power-sharing in four selected countries to explore in more detail the causal mechanisms linking power-sharing institutions and peace. The final selection of cases for this qualitative part will be informed by the statistical results.

Preliminary Results

At present, the project team has completed two data collections on post-conflict power-sharing. The first one is the Promises of Power-Sharing Dataset (PROMPS) which collects detailed data on the power-sharing arrangements contained in all peace agreements concluded between government and rebel representatives from 1989 to 2006. The second data collection is the Power-Sharing Event Dataset (PSED) which includes information on when particular power-sharing arrangements between government and rebels have been introduced or abolished during a five-year post-conflict period. This dataset has a global coverage and spans from 1989 to 2011. A preliminary analysis of both datasets allowed the project team to identify certain patterns of post-conflict power-sharing. It appears, for example, that political power-sharing is especially frequent in the first six months of a post-conflict period whereas military, economic and territorial power-sharing arrangements take place at later stages. The project team is currently further exploring these patterns and dynamics of post-conflict power-sharing in a series of statistical analyses and fieldwork stays in four selected countries.
The Local Arenas of Power-Sharing, Patterns of Adaptation or Continued Disorder?

By Andreas Mehler, Franziska Zanker

Project Description

- To explore the contested dynamics of power-sharing and peace-building in countries affected by violent conflict.
- To deepen our understanding of post-conflict peace-building through power-sharing related institutional reform by considering the conditions under which the effective translation and local adaptation of top-down institutional reforms occur.

Theoretical Approaches
- Consociationalism
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Focus Group Discussions, Documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports, UN documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Denis Tull, Claudia Simons, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin

Publications
- Theoretical Approaches
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Research Design and Methods

Project Description

Research Questions
- How does the local adaptation of national peace agreement provisions affect local peace?
- If local peace has taken hold in the wake of power-sharing, what are the main determinants of this success?

Contribution to International Research
- This project aims to close the gap between the theory of power-sharing and its concrete effects on sustainable peace (e.g. Walter 1997; Hartelt & Hoddle 2005; Paris 2004; Roeder 2005). Research on the effects of power-sharing agreements at the local level and the repercussions for the national level is lacking (for a notable exception see Heitz 2009). This is despite the understanding that conflict is often rooted in local dynamics and that the latter are important to national dynamics, and thus play a key role in peace processes. In addition, the project aims to give empirical substance to the concepts of adaptation and political hybridity as they relate to political order (peace). In the second phase, the project builds on the growing body of literature on peace "engineering", local ownership and "hybrid" peace by closing an important gap in this literature, which has often linked the disappointing results of peace-building to a lack of "capacity" or "local ownership". The latter are technocratic notions that tend to depoliticise the interests of those involved in reordering war-torn societies.

We apply a mixed-method research design that is based on qualitative methods of empirical enquiry, combining a case study approach with subnational comparative analysis. We have identified peaceful and non-peaceful local arenas in four countries (Burundi, DRC, Kenya, and Liberia) in order to test our hypotheses according to the variance in the levels of peacefulness. In the first phase we conducted fieldwork in all four countries, including focus group discussions and semi-structured interviews with a variety of local and national stakeholders. This data will be analysed using process tracing and will be juxtaposed against the previous content analysis of the relevant power-sharing agreements. In the second phase of the project, which includes desk studies for all four countries, we will conduct further fieldwork, this time in Burundi and Liberia only.

Preliminary Results
- Findings from the first phase indicate that the production of political order and the reordering of sociopolitical relations in war-torn countries by means of power-sharing has been fairly successful when measured against the very modest concept of "negative peace". Our findings suggest a weaker degree of autonomy for local arenas and their actors, processes, institutions, and interests than we assumed at the outset of our project. We found that local groups and decision-makers were waiting anxiously for the implementation of major institutional reforms, but that the expected positive effects on local conviviality between conflicting groups could also be subverted by unintended negative effects. Decentralisation, and police reform (potentially also land reform and others) have – not yet well explored – consequences for power relations on the local level, all probably more salient for sustainable peace than the immediate power-sharing arrangement.
Ineffective Sanctions? External Sanctions and the Persistence of Autocratic Regimes

Christian von Soest, Matthias Basedau, Julia Grauvogel

Project Description

Research Questions
- Which factors account for the long-term stability of authoritarian regimes in the face of international sanctions and specifically those which aim at democratisation?
- Which structural power resources (e.g. repression, claims to legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they use them in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes pursue?

Contribution to International Research
Researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of international sanctions on the persistence of authoritarian regimes. In response, building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the project identifies the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable and unstable in the face of such external pressure. Of particular interest are authoritarian regimes’ international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions.

Research Design and Methods
The project combines several research methods. Qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) served to establish the characteristics of persistent authoritarian regimes under sanction pressure and to select country case studies for further in-depth analysis. These case studies will be analysed comparatively. In addition, two data sets were created. One provides information on the different sanctions implemented by the UN, the US and the EU, and the other focuses on the legitimacy of non-democratic regimes. The data sets allow for further quantitative-statistical analyses of the effects of sanctions.

Preliminary Results
The results of the fsQCA study attest (1) the importance of legitimation in almost all configurations; (2) the relevance of the interplay between regime characteristics, sanctions and further international factors; (3) the varying effects of certain conditions, for instance, hard repression, as a result of their specific interactions with other conditions; and (4) the different causal pathways, which were used to select the case studies Belarus, Eritrea, Zimbabwe, Colombia, Syria, North Korea, Iran, and Burundi.
Challenges of Peace-Building

Sabine Kurtenbach, Soledad Granada

**Project Description**

Research Questions

The transition from war to postwar contexts is conceptualised as a path dependent transformation including the political regime, access and distribution of economic resources and norms regarding the legitimisation of violence (Jarstad and Olsson 2012). This project analyses the peace-building processes initiated by external actors and their impact on local institutions and public policies in different settings. External strategies for peace-building aim at the stabilisation of postwar societies through the promotion of state-building and the relevant institutions for the delivery of public goods (most of all security or economic development initiatives). This approach fails in many contexts due to the neglect of local ownership and institutional path dependencies. The project wants to investigate different outcomes of the interaction between external influences and local dynamics, e.g. the diffusion of crime, high levels of state repression or armed violence by non-state actors.

Contribution to International Research

The project contributes to the international debate on the limits and possibilities of external peace-building strategies (e.g. Paris 2004; Barnett and Zürcher 2009; Jarstad and Belloni 2012) including the historical dimension to these processes (Newman 2013). This innovative approach will help to identify the conditions under which external peace-building policies generate the reduction of violence (beyond pacification) and interact with key actors for the provision of security at the local level.

Research Design and Methods

The project uses qualitative methods for the small N-study at the country level and quantitative methods (e.g. QCA) for the medium N-cases at the local level to analyse the trajectories in postwar societies. While quantitative data serve to identify similarities and differences at the structural level across cases, a process-tracing design seeks to identify the path-dependent processes shaped by local actors. In cooperation with local partners (e.g. Interpeace in Central America) we will develop a common case study design.

Cooperation/Partners

- Dr. Otto Argueta, Interpeace Guatemala
- Dr. Judith Vorrath, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin

Workshops/Conferences

- “State-Building and Violence in Latin America”, panel at the LASA Annual Conference, Washington DC, 26 May 2012 (S. Kurtenbach)

Publications


Funding

- GIGA

Duration

2014–2016
Youth in Post-War Societies – Pathways out of Violence

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Janina Pawelz

Project Description

Research Questions
- How can we explain variations in youth violence in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies?
- How can young people transition into adulthood without using violence?
- Which actors and processes are relevant for successful youth transitions in post-war contexts?

The project contributes to the research on conflict and transformation, which until now has rarely systematically investigated the role of youth in post-war contexts. Most research on youth in post-war contexts focuses either on deviant behaviour (violence) or on youths’ peace-building potential. Research on youth in situations of political transition seldom investigates developing countries or post-war societies. The specific mechanisms and processes influencing youth transitions to adulthood in these contexts are particularly under-researched, even though the interface between youth and society is highly relevant there. From a quantitative and a qualitative perspective, youth are extremely important actors in the social space of post-war societies. At the same time, patterns of youth integration (or exclusion) mirror the broader developments in the society in question.

Research Design and Methods

Theoretically the project combines two strands of research that have generally been unconnected to date: theories on youth violence and concepts on youth civic engagement. Using the question of how young people can perform central status passages into adulthood as a point of departure, the project will identify different patterns of youth integration or exclusion. The research will utilize a nested design. As a first step, it will collect data on the risks of youth participation in violence (e.g. youth bulge, rapid urbanisation, lack of economic growth) for a sample of 27 post-war countries. From this group a small-N sample of post-war societies will be identified according to a most similar design regarding risk factors. The second step will consist of a structured comparison of the ways young people manage transitions into adulthood (particularly into economic independence and political citizenship) in these difficult contexts. This comparison will focus on similarities and differences between and inside the regions and cultures, urban and rural contexts, and male and female youths. Methodologically, this theoretically grounded structured comparison will be done on the basis of field research (expert interviews, focus groups, primary data collection in collaboration with local partners). This multifaceted approach promises to generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth).

Project: VIOLENCE AND SECURITY

RT 5: Forms of Violence and Public (In)Security

Project Description

Research Questions
- How can we explain variations in youth violence in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies?
- How can young people transition into adulthood without using violence?
- Which actors and processes are relevant for successful youth transitions in post-war contexts?

The project contributes to the research on conflict and transformation, which until now has rarely systematically investigated the role of youth in post-war contexts. Most research on youth in post-war contexts focuses either on deviant behaviour (violence) or on youths’ peace-building potential. Research on youth in situations of political transition seldom investigates developing countries or post-war societies. The specific mechanisms and processes influencing youth transitions to adulthood in these contexts are particularly under-researched, even though the interface between youth and society is highly relevant there. From a quantitative and a qualitative perspective, youth are extremely important actors in the social space of post-war societies. At the same time, patterns of youth integration (or exclusion) mirror the broader developments in the society in question.

Research Design and Methods

Theoretically the project combines two strands of research that have generally been unconnected to date: theories on youth violence and concepts on youth civic engagement. Using the question of how young people can perform central status passages into adulthood as a point of departure, the project will identify different patterns of youth integration or exclusion. The research will utilise a nested design. As a first step, it will collect data on the risks of youth participation in violence (e.g. youth bulge, rapid urbanisation, lack of economic growth) for a sample of 27 post-war countries. From this group a small-N sample of post-war societies will be identified according to a most similar design regarding risk factors. The second step will consist of a structured comparison of the ways young people manage transitions into adulthood (particularly into economic independence and political citizenship) in these difficult contexts. This comparison will focus on similarities and differences between and inside the regions and cultures, urban and rural contexts, and male and female youths. Methodologically, this theoretically grounded structured comparison will be done on the basis of field research (expert interviews, focus groups, primary data collection in collaboration with local partners). This multifaceted approach promises to generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth).
Public Security and the Transition to Democracy

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Hanspeter Mattes, Annegret Mählер

Project Description

Research Questions

Political transformation processes towards democracy impact conceptions of public security as a result of new requirements and demands for accountability, transparency and the rule of law. The project explores this relationship, focusing on the following questions:

- Which transition-related contextual factors cause public security problems (e.g. violent or non-violent events)?
- Which actors are responsible for the production of security or insecurity during transition periods, when old institutions are being dismantled and new ones are not (yet) functioning (e.g. state actors, non-state armed actors, criminal actors)?
- Are there general patterns identifiable in the relationship between public security problems and transformation processes?

Contribution to International Research

Theoretical as well as quantitative empirical studies on political transformation claim that different forms of violence decline after democratisation (see civilisation theory, the democratic peace debate, and criminology). At the same time, evidence abounds on the conflictive and possibly violent character of the democratic transformation process itself (Cederman 2008, Hegre et al. 2001). Transformation theory has primarily analysed the different patterns and challenges in security sector reform (Caubhráin and Luckham 2003; Pion Berlin 2011; Crossant 2011), whereas conflict research has focused on the transformation of armed actors (e.g. Schnaible 2008). Nevertheless a comparative analysis of the mechanisms at work in the relationship between violence and democratisation for countries of the third wave of democratisation is still lacking.

Research Design and Methods

Based on transformation and civilisation theories, the project will first identify potential relationships between transformation and different forms of violence. It will then develop a conceptual framework for the comparative analysis of public security problems. This framework will be applied as follows: In a medium-N study of public security problems in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa, following the coding of existing data, the identification of interesting cases in both regions will be done via fuzzy set QCA. In a small-N cross-regional comparison of public security during transformation in two Latin American and two sub-Saharan African countries. In a small-N study of public security in three of the “Arab Spring” countries (Egypt, Libya, Tunisia).

Preliminary Results

The discussion of conceptual problems and different regional experiences identified a first set of similar challenges (regarding security sector reform) and differences (regarding the relationship between military, political and economic actors). It is necessary to clarify context specific concepts of the legitimate and/or legal use of violence as well as the challenges related to the changing rules in the political system (i.e. elections).
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**Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard**

**Project**
- To conduct a multidimensional investigation into the capabilities and constraints of informal entrepreneurs in the context of globalisation.

**Goals**
- Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard in the context of globalisation.

**Theoretical Approaches**
- The capability approach
- Theories on decision-making under uncertainty
- Multidimensional analysis of well-being

**Research**
- Comparative Design: Inter- and Intragenerational Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organisations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

**Methods**
- Data Processing: Stata
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis, Network Analysis, Content Analysis

**Cooperation**
- KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank)
- Expertise pour le Développement du Sahel (EDS), Burkina Faso
- Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda
- Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka
- International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands

**Workshops/Conferences**
- "Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard Stakeholder Workshop: Focus on the MDGs and Indicators of Decent Work" (planned for mid-2014)

**Publications**

**Funding**
- KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank): approved
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved

**Duration**
2012-2015

**Project Description**

**Research Questions**
- Regarding the multidimensional relationship between employment, empowerment, and living standard of poor individuals and households:
  - To what extent is access to certain types of employment determined by certain capabilities?
  - What functions and capabilities are created or restricted through employment and vice versa?
  - What employment-related agency options do people have and to what extent do people make autonomous decisions?
  - What can we learn about the definition of “good” or “decent” work?
- Regarding the social and cultural context that affects the transfer of employment features into capabilities:
  - How do specific social and cultural circumstances affect the relationship between employment features and the capabilities of informal workers?
  - What are the main points of difference in the capabilities of local and non-local informal workers? What role do minority networks play?
- Regarding policies to enhance access to “good” or “decent” employment: social and cultural context that affects the transfer of employment features into capabilities:
  - What are the policy implications regarding the usefulness of the existing Millennium Development Goal (MDG) indicators?
  - How can informal workers be specifically targeted and sustainedly supported to enhance their chances of accessing “good” or “decent” employment?

**Contribution to International Research**
The project makes a significant contribution to the contemporary academic and policy (MDG) debate on internationally comparable indicators of “decent work”. It also tackles considerable gaps in research on the constraints and capabilities of informal actors in the informal sector of the three target countries. Finally, the project will provide valuable insights into the process of advancement of micro-enterprises into small and medium enterprises.

**Research Design and Methods**
The project employs a mixed methodology designed to capture participants’ experiences both qualitatively and quantitatively in the context of informal employment. Multidimensional surveys have been designed to capture information on demographics, socio-economic networks, economic performance, and employment characteristics of entrepreneurs and their households across multiple sectors in each country. Time and risk experiments (N= 140 to 160) provide information on participants’ willingness to take financial risks and make intertemporal choices. Focus group discussions (8 per country) are used to explore participants’ perceptions of “decent work” in the context of informal employment and similarities with and differences to the ILO definition. Finally, life history interviews (40 per country) are employed to provide a deeper understanding of participants’ experiences relating to employment, empowerment, well-being and the course of their lives.

**Preliminary Results**
Initial survey and focus group results from Burkina Faso support literacy claims on the diversity and dynamism of developing urban informal economies and the connection between empowerment and experiences in the context of employment, adding weight to the project’s methodology. A critical analysis of the current employment-related MDG targets and indicators show that most indicators have serious weaknesses and are partly inadequate to evaluate progress towards achieving decent work. We proposed a new set of indicators and targets with a stronger emphasis on decent pay, labour income inequality and social security.

Analysis of focus group discussions across all three countries show heterogeneity of perceptions of ‘‘good work’’ across groups, varying with country, gender and profession. Results suggest the need to complement universal indicators of ‘‘decent work’’ with local, context-specific considerations that reflect the ‘‘reality’’ of work as it is performed. Preliminary survey results from Uganda suggest that entrepreneurs of Indian descent are much more successful in terms of employment creation, profits and capital accumulation than local Ugandan entrepreneurs and migrant entrepreneurs from other African countries. Preliminary experimental results reveal a large heterogeneity in risk preferences and time preferences. Preferences measured in experiments are only weakly correlated with survey-based measures of preferences. An in depth analysis on the relationship between preferences and economic performance is currently underway.
Micro- and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries: Opportunities and Constraints

Project Description

It is a well-documented fact that MSIs account for a large share of production, income and employment in urban areas of low-income countries (LICs). Against this background the project investigates the following questions:

- What is the role of behavioral factors, specifically risk aversion, time preferences, and overconfidence in determining MSE growth?
- Can savings devices mitigate the effects of high discount rates and/or self-control problems on savings and investment decisions in MSIs? Can savings accounts serve as commitment devices?
- How do MSIs innovate and adopt technologies and which are the implications for total factor productivity and investment decisions?

An active recent empirical literature has considerably improved the understanding of the microeconomics of urban small-scale activities, which constitute the main source of livelihood of most of the world's urban poor. Yet, the empirical puzzle of high marginal returns to capital and low capital stocks in MSIs remains largely unresolved. In particular the role of own savings, or rather the lack of it, is not well understood. It is, for example, still unclear which features of specific savings devices are most effective in addressing different constraints to save. In addition, neither the determinants of productivity in MSIs nor the role of perceived productivity for investment decisions has been thoroughly analysed. Saving and investment decisions strongly depend on behavioural factors such as attitudes towards risks, overconfidence, and locus of control. We investigate the relative relevance of these behavioural constraints for firm performance. Finally, it is particular uncomforting that most of the above findings rely on the empirical analysis of short-term relationships due to the lack of long-term panel data of MSIs.

We study MSE behaviour using state-of-the-art microeconometric methods. This includes in particular differences-in-differences and instrumental variable specifications, as common in the impact evaluation literature. The quantitative analyses will be informed by own survey data from Sri Lanka and Uganda. In both countries, a first survey was conducted in 2012 and a second wave was fielded in 2013. Funding for a third wave in both countries is being sought. While panel data on MSIs is very scarce, our data has another unique feature, as it combines firm surveys with lab experiments on risk and time preferences, and, in 2013, on overconfidence. The similar survey designs will allow for cross-country comparisons.

Three main insights have emerged from our research to date: (1) The typical informal MSE should not be considered a subsistence enterprise. This is evident from the very high marginal returns to capital that can be earned in these enterprises. The fact that relatively rich economies, for example, Peru, still exhibit high shares of informal employment places a big question mark behind those entrepreneurial activities that are perceived as comprising the subsistence sector. It can hardly be argued that 70 per cent of Peru’s labour force pursue subsistence activities. Rather, an important share of MSIs, even in poor economies, for example in the Sahel zone, can be considered “constrained gazelles”. (2) High returns in micro-enterprises remain unexploited due to a number of economic, institutional, and social constraints. While credit constraints are found to be a key constraint for MSIs, specific sectors are heavily constrained by access to public utilities. In addition, forced solidarity, i.e. social constraints, can also partly explain the lack of investment in MSIs. (3) These altered perspectives on the informal sector have important policy implications. From a policy perspective, these findings may accordingly be taken as an argument for providing households with credit, savings devices, and insurance. Savings devices and insurance would also enable households to insure themselves against business and non-business risks, thus channeling savings into productive investment instead of withholding liquidity for insurance purposes.
Poverty and Inequality Dynamics and the Role of Social Policies

>> Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Miquel Pellicer

Research Questions
- How and to what extent do determinants of poverty dynamics differ between countries and regions, and under what circumstances can general mechanisms be identified?
- What is the relationship between education, employment, and poverty dynamics?
- How is (chronic) poverty publicly perceived and how do poor people themselves experience poverty?
- How do risk and strategies applied to deal with these risks relate back to observed poverty dynamics?

Contribution to International Research
Research on diverging welfare trajectories on a micro-level stems from the macroeconomic literature on poverty dynamics. However, regional differences, the consequences of risks, and asset-based poverty traps on the micro-level have not been sufficiently captured empirically. In addition, development research has mainly been concerned with the direct effects of income on poverty. There is a lack of research on how the living standard of people sustainably improves, for instance through employment and education, both of which can be paths to upward mobility as well as factors solidifying existing inequality. The research on public opinions and subjective experiences of poverty and poverty determinants contributes to the international research on the subjective perception of well-being.

Our research is based on both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitatively, we use micro-level surveys (household surveys, schooling surveys, etc.). In this area, particular attention is paid to proper identification of causal effects by means of the use of natural experiments. Qualitative methods include life and employment histories, focus group discussions, open-ended interviews, etc.

Research on the public perception of poverty confirms a consistent global belief that poverty is persistent. We show that interests, position, knowledge, and shared values relating to social justice are important factors that shape public attitudes to chronic poverty.

Results for rural Mozambique, for example, show no evidence for a poverty trap based on multiple equilibria in the medium term. However, rural households converge to a very low equilibrium and are rather collectively trapped in generalised underdevelopment. Risks (a drought) and household coping strategies help to explain the observed poverty dynamics.

From our research in Ghana we learn that poorer households have less access to formal insurance, savings and credit options. Evidence also suggests that the use of microinsurance and other formal financial services is mutually reinforcing. At the same time, more universal strategies, such as risk sharing within social networks, do not lose their significance in poor households’ efforts to manage with the multitude of risks they are exposed to.

Preliminary results from education research in South Africa suggest that the country is caught in an inequality trap partly caused by the combination of skill scarcity and large skill premia. These features, in turn, can be traced to skill distributions diverging over primary and secondary school, due to large differentials in school quality.

Results on the role of education in Tunisia show strong returns in terms of occupational status. The estimates we obtain are purged from potential ability and other biases and thus reflect the causal effect of education. Education in Tunisia thus appears to foster mobility. However, we also find some evidence suggesting that these returns might be driven more by “credentialism” than by genuine human capital accumulation.

Project Description
- To identify and explain poverty dynamics and poverty traps with a focus on (1) the role of development during infancy (especially education), (2) the role of employment, (3) the role of risk and risk management (including insurance), (4) subjective perceptions.
- To analyse policy options to break out of poverty traps in the context of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).
- To analyse new theories on poverty dynamics and poverty traps.
- To assess the capability approach.
- To assess asset-based poverty dynamics.
- To assess subjective well-being attitudes to poverty.
Research Questions

The project investigates, with the case studies of Senegalese and Ghanaian transnational entrepreneurs in China, the kind of transnational practices that shape the encounters with and the experiences of urban Chinese modernity for various groups of West African traders. It addresses the marks of the personal experience of China left on African traders through their economic sojourns to the Chinese supply centres of global capitalism (material objects and/or abstract concepts alike) and analyses the ways in which the African traders’ experiences and interpretations of China are formed by various social actors and influenced by social formations (networks) and belief systems (religion) relevant to them. The project seeks to understand how the traders individually select, interpret, translate, and redefine “things Chinese” (ranging from material objects to abstract concepts, lifestyles, ideologies) within the context of their home societies by enacting their social capital as members of a virtual community and in what way the discursive processes of translation and creative appropriation might impact negotiating social change and re-ordering (institutions, practices, social formations, policies) in urban China in an era of accelerated and increasingly accessible economic globalisation.

Cooperation and Partners

- Project partners within the Priority Programme 1448: “Adaptation and Creativity in Africa”
- Africa: University of Dakar and FAN, Dakar; University of Ghana, Legon
- China: Chinese University of Hong Kong; Jinan University Guangzhou

Teachings

- “Commerce et opérateurs économiques au Sénégal”, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Summer Semester 2012 (L. Marfaing)
- “Chinesische MigrantInnen in Afrika – gesellschaftliche Auswirkungen einer neuen Präsenz” (Chinese Migrants in Africa), University of Hannover, Winter Semester 2012/13 (L. Marfaing)
- “Histoire des migrations en Méditerranée et en Afrique de l’Ouest”, l’Université F. Rabelais, Tours, 25 March 2013 (L. Marfaing)

Workshops/Conferences

- “Mobilités tuaregues et sous régionales au Mali”, round table, IRD, Marseille, 22 March 2013 (L. Marfaing)
- “Commerçantes sénégalaises hier et aujourd’hui”, Forum social Senegal, Dakar, 9 February 2013 (L. Marfaing)
- “Managing Complexity in Times of Uncertainty: Social Governance with Chinese Characteristics” (SF), panel at the ICAS, Macao, 24-27 June 2013 (K. Giese)
- “China, Africa, and Labor”, panel at the ICAS, Macao, 24-27 June 2013 (K. Giese)

Publications


Funding

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation), SPP 1448 “Adaptation and Creativity in Africa”, approved

Duration

2013–2015
The Productivity Effects of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) of North–South and South–South Firms: The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa

Project Description

Research Questions
- What is the importance of North–South and South–South firms in terms of market shares (turnover, employees) in different industry sectors in the 12 sub-Saharan African countries?
- To what extent do size, productivity, sector specialisation, and business strategy differ between domestic firms and MNCs from different countries in sub-Saharan Africa?
- What can we learn about the presence and extent of productivity effects of South–South and North–South firms on domestic firms in sub-Saharan African countries?

Contribution to International Research
The project aims to provide new insights into the importance and productivity effects of foreign firms from different home countries in sub-Saharan Africa.

Research Design and Methods
We use firm-level panel data from 12 countries in sub-Saharan Africa from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys (WBES). Using these survey data in a cross-country setting requires a considerable effort in data preparation and cleaning. Moreover, a detailed ownership analysis will be carried out. In order to identify the different characteristics as well as the productivity effects of FDI from North–South and South–South firms, different types of production functions are considered and appropriate panel-data methods are applied.
Globalisation of Chinese Companies

Project Description

The project investigates the following questions:
- What are the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese outward foreign direct investment (OFDI)?
- What is the relationship between the Chinese diaspora and Chinese OFDI?
- What are the implications of Chinese OFDI for the business climate in the EU?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies “going global”?
- What are the implications of Chinese R&D investments in Europe, especially in Germany?

International management theories are still focusing on the FDI of Western, industrialised countries. In our analysis of Chinese OFDI in the EU, we complement existing approaches by adding the perspective of the latecomer economies and by applying a new theoretical approach. In our analysis of the relationship between migration and FDI, we study the role of the Chinese diaspora in Germany and their impact on Chinese OFDI. The international research on diaspora networks has just begun and offers a fresh look at both migration and OFDI.

We follow a mixed-methods approach by collecting data through surveys and expert interviews. For the research paper on Chinese OFDI in the EU (for the European Commission), we conduct a M&A analysis to reveal the patterns of Chinese FDI with regard to the geographical and sectoral distribution, payment preferences, and other important characteristics of M&A transactions. For the German-Chinese Innovation Platform activities, we will conduct a survey with the German restaurant. The international research on diaspora networks has just begun and offers a fresh look at both migration and OFDI.

Contributions to International Research

- To understand the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) in the EU.
- To what extent are German companies investing in China involved in R&D?
- To study the relationship between Chinese migration and FDI in Germany, expert interviews will be conducted with Chinese academic and commercial associations and German and Chinese companies.
- What are the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese OFDI?
- What is the relationship between the Chinese diaspora and Chinese OFDI?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies “going global”?
- What are the implications of Chinese R&D investments in Europe, especially in Germany?

Preliminary Results

Chinese investments in Europe, especially in Germany, reveal a strong move toward market and asset-seeking investments. German companies acquired by Chinese investors, especially in the machinery industry, are often not only leaders in their fields, but also strongly focused on R&D. This investment motive seems to be the predominant M&A strategy of Chinese companies in Germany. Interviews and surveys conducted by the authors have confirmed that recent investment activities of Chinese companies in Germany were driven mainly by technology-seeking. In addition, greenfield investments by high-tech Chinese companies, especially from the telecommunications industry, have also strongly increased. These investments are focusing on Germany as an important market and on specific companies and R&D centres in order to jointly develop new technologies. Given the significant growth in the size of the Chinese population living in Germany on the one hand and the “liability of foreignness” for Chinese companies in Germany on the other, the diaspora plays a crucial intermediary role in attracting FDI. The involvement of the diaspora in the investment decision-making process helps to overcome the “psychic distance” that exists between China and the target location, a distance that results in high information costs related to investment opportunities, government regulations, and/or the trustworthiness of potential business partners.

Additional Contributions

- Project team members from various disciplines and institutions, including Chinese and German experts.
- Collaboration with Chinese and German academic and commercial associations.
- Engagement with German and Chinese companies.
- Data from surveys, interviews, and M&A transactions.

Publications

- Schüller, M., and Y. Schüler-Zhou (2012), Chinese OFDI Patterns: Drivers and Implications for Host Countries, ERM Research Seminar, University of Rotterdam, 9 May 2012 (M. Schüller).
- Schüller, M., and Y. Schüler-Zhou (2012), Chinese OFDI Patterns: Drivers and Implications for Host Countries, ERM Research Seminar, University of Rotterdam, 9 May 2012 (M. Schüller).

Research Questions

- What are the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese OFDI?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies “going global”?
- What are the implications of Chinese OFDI for the business climate in the EU?
- What is the relationship between the Chinese diaspora and Chinese OFDI?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies “going global”?
- What are the implications of Chinese R&D investments in Europe, especially in Germany?
Large-Scale Land Acquisitions: Data, Patterns, Impact, and Policies

- What are the patterns and causes of large-scale land acquisitions?
- How are local smallholders affected by large-scale land acquisitions?

The project aims at better understanding the patterns, causes and consequences of large-scale land-based investments. This means in particular that context factors that determine the effects on rural livelihoods are clearly identified and transmission channels, specifically the (lack of) linkages between large-scale farming operations and smallholders, empirically assessed.

One main aim of this project is to maintain and improve the Land Matrix Global Observatory, a global open database on large-scale land acquisitions. As part of the Land Matrix Initiative (LMI), we provide basic analyses of the Land Matrix Global Observatory’s data.

At the country-level, we aim to assess socio-economic impacts of large-scale land acquisitions by linking data from the Land Matrix to national household surveys (or similar micro data, for example on the farm-level). This approach will not only allow to relate the presence of large-scale investments to welfare outcomes of nearby households, but also to shed light on the transmission channels through which these investments may (or may not) affect rural livelihoods, in general, and smallholders, specifically. Methodologically, we will rely on standard impact evaluation techniques, in particular differences-in-differences estimates at a small geographical scale (community, village).

Finally, the project complements the quantitative assessments by qualitative case studies of individual investment cases. Specifically, we intend to identify and document best-practice examples and/or cases that are exemplary in other respects.
Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CiMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations?

Project Description

Project
- To analyse the relationship between climate change mitigation and poverty reduction in developing countries from a multidisciplinary perspective.

Goals
- To analyse the relationship between climate change mitigation and poverty reduction in developing countries from a multidisciplinary perspective.

Theoretical Approaches
- Development and environmental economics
- International relations
- Multidisciplinary social science approach

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (various micro-survey data sets, e.g. National Sample Survey India; various macro data sets, e.g. World Development Indicators)
- Data Processing: STATA, MATLAB, GAMS
- Data Analysis: Equilibrium Analysis, Regression Analysis, Simulation Methods

Cooperation
- Energy Research Centre, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
- Public Policy Studies Institute, Chiang Mai University, Thailand
- Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education, Mexico
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation), Compagnia di San Paolo and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Joint Research Programme “Europe and Global Challenges”); approved

Funding
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation), Compagnia di San Paolo and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Joint Research Programme “Europe and Global Challenges”); approved

Duration
2012-2015

Research Questions
- Does the implementation of climate change mitigation policies in developing countries always involve a trade-off between economic development, poverty reduction, and climate protection, or is there space for "win-win policies"? This question is relevant for today's growing middle-income economies, which are already or will soon become very significant contributors to global warming.
- The project will analyse these economies from three different angles: a comparative politics perspective on domestic climate governance and mitigation policy options, an economics perspective on the poverty and distributional impact of mitigation policies, and an international relations perspective on the global discourse surrounding mitigation and economic development (see project in RP 4). The project staff will cooperate closely with domestic partner institutions in South Africa, Mexico, and Thailand, the three case study countries.

Contribution to International Research
Despite the increasing role of today's developing world in GHG emissions, "climate and development" research to date has largely focused on developing countries' vulnerability and adaptation to climate change, and on climate-related transfers in these countries, such as those of the Joint Implementation and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM).

Meanwhile, the critical issue of mitigation in developing countries becomes a hotly debated issue in climate negotiations. This focus on mitigation requires a shift in the analytical perspective. While the technological and natural science perspectives that tend to dominate the climate change discourse (with a focus on adaptation) are clearly important, a social science perspective is warranted as well. This is particularly true because of the latter's usefulness in analysing the possible trade-offs between mitigation and socio-economic development.

The project adopts a multidisciplinary social science approach with a comparative and global perspective. While they will remain firmly theoretically and methodologically grounded in their respective disciplines, the three study areas – (1) domestic climate governance, (2) poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies, (3) global perspective and the mitigation-development discourse – will interact continuously.

The investigation of domestic climate governance will rely mainly on qualitative methods. These will include interviews with policy-makers, experts, and practitioners to investigate their motivations and the driving and constraining forces behind their actions in climate change mitigation policy processes. We then plan to assess the poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies, i.e. the possible trade-offs between mitigation and socio-economic development, in the three case study countries using incidence-focused general equilibrium models, simulation models based on micro-data, and a combination of these two modelling approaches. As mitigation policies in the case study countries are rare and recent, the limited availability of data means that the analyses will typically be ex-ante modelling exercises. In a final step, shaped by the lens of international relations, a combination of qualitative and quantitative content analysis will allow us to identify the "frames" or "templates" according to which the problem of developing country mitigation and related themes are presented. This analysis will demonstrate what types of solutions different actors or actor groups offer for these issues.

Preliminary Results
Simulating the welfare effects of fossil energy subsidy reform in Indonesia makes clear that the distributional impact depends on the subsidised energy carrier and the corresponding price schedule. Although all households suffer negative welfare effects in all policy scenarios without compensation schemes, the effects are progressive in the case of gasoline and electricity when keeping the current block/tariff schedules in place. The latter means, that the current cross-subsidisation with high demand users paying higher prices could be kept in place, combined with a general price increase this would lead to a slightly progressive distributional effect. Therefore, the preliminary conclusion of the micro studies is that energy price increases could create win-win situations for mitigation efforts and poverty reduction in the case of properly designed compensation schemes, redistributing from the rich to the poor.

These microeconomic analyses are quite important on their own, as they are able to describe heterogeneous household behavior in considerable detail. However, they miss out on important indirect effects induced by policy reforms such as price effects in production, the labor market and international trade. To reflect these effects, we use Input-Output (IO) and Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) models. Simulating a carbon tax for Thailand with an IO model, we find substantial indirect price effects resulting in a regressive scenario with significant impacts on poor households.
The Land Matrix

Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Christof Althoff, Martin Ostermeier

Project
- To maintain a web-based data system to systematically collate information on large-scale land acquisitions (the Land Matrix database).
- To promote transparency and accountability of land-based investments.
- To include publicly available data from a variety of sources as well as personal information and research findings.
- To provide data for analysis, research, policy-making, and advocacy.
- To promote open data.

Theoretical Approaches
- Database management

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional, Intraregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Land Deals
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Verbal Data, Documents (media reports, research papers, national inventories)
- Data Analysis: Provide Data for Quantitative Analysis

Partners
- International Land Coalition (ILC), Rome
- Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Paris
- Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (GIZ), Germany

Workshops/Conferences
- "Launch of the Land Matrix Global Observatory", 10 June 2013

Sources
- Records are derived from a variety of sources: media reports; reports by international and local organisations, NGOs, and field-based research projects; company websites; and government records. Sources are partly accessed through two active internet portals dealing with land transactions: www.commercialpressuresonland.org and www.farmlandgrab.org. Company websites and government records are also used where these are available. Moreover, the "crowdsourcing" function plays an increasingly important role.

Preliminary Results
- The Land Matrix database is a constantly updated data set that includes land acquisitions made primarily for agricultural production (for food or agrofuel production). Deals included in the database must meet the following criteria:
  - They entail the potential conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem services to commercial production.
  - They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
  - They were initiated sometime since 2000, when the annualised value of the FAO real food price index was at its lowest level.
  - They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
  - They entail the potential conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem-service provision to commercial production.
  - They are targeted at low- and middle-income countries.
  - They are targeted at low- and middle-income countries.

- The Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are also used where these are available. Moreover, the "crowdsourcing" function plays an increasingly important role.

- An improved version of the online Land Matrix database was re-launched in June 2013. It is currently the largest data set of its kind and generates wide public interest. The Land Matrix data shows that there is indeed a global trend toward land acquisitions, and that a large number of these projects are likely to materialize. However, implementation on the ground is slow and a huge number of projects fail. According to the Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are characterised by weak land governance and high incidences of hunger. Furthermore, targeted areas come from relatively wealthy countries, and countries that are net food importers. In all, the data suggests that in a large number of cases there are trade-offs environmental and social goals.

Research Questions
- What is the real extent, nature and impact of large-scale land investments?
- Who is investing and where?
- What is driving the increasing interest in land?

Contribution to International Research
- The project addresses the serious lack of data on global land investments by providing the currently most comprehensive online database on such deals (the “Land Matrix”). This information is demanded by a variety of actors: researchers, international and development organisations, NGOs, the media, and policymakers in host countries of such land deals.

Research Design and Methods
- The Land Matrix database is a constantly updated data set that includes land acquisitions made primarily for agricultural production (for food or agrofuel production). Deals included in the database must meet the following criteria:
  - They entail the potential conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem services to commercial production.
  - They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
  - They were initiated sometime since 2000, when the annualised value of the FAO real food price index was at its lowest level.
  - They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
  - They entail the potential conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem-service provision to commercial production.
  - They are targeted at low- and middle-income countries.
  - They are targeted at low- and middle-income countries.

- The Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are also used where these are available. Moreover, the "crowdsourcing" function plays an increasingly important role.

- An improved version of the online Land Matrix database was re-launched in June 2013. It is currently the largest data set of its kind and generates wide public interest. The Land Matrix data shows that there is indeed a global trend toward land acquisitions, and that a large number of these projects are likely to materialize. However, implementation on the ground is slow and a huge number of projects fail. According to the Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are characterised by weak land governance and high incidences of hunger. Furthermore, targeted areas come from relatively wealthy countries, and countries that are net food importers. In all, the data suggests that in a large number of cases there are trade-offs environmental and social goals.

- What is the real extent, nature and impact of large-scale land investments?
- Who is investing and where?
- What is driving the increasing interest in land?
Landscape-Level Assessment of the Ecological and Socio-Economic Functions of Rainforest Transformation Systems in Sumatra (Indonesia) (part of CRC 990)

>> Jann Lay, Elisabeth Hettig

Project Description

**Research Questions**

In Sumatra, Indonesia, what were previously lowland rainforest landscapes have been transformed into a mosaic landscape with patches of rainforest interspersed with rubber and palm oil plantations. In this context, the project’s guiding question is as follows:

- What kind of landscape mosaic optimises the ensemble of biodiversity, ecosystem functions, and economic benefit based on the synergies and trade-offs that we have to account for?

We plan to develop an integrated model of different aspects of ecological diversity, ecological functions, and socio-economic functions. We will use a MAS-LUCC modelling approach (multi-agent system models of land-use and land-cover change) because of its high suitability for the integrated modelling of ecological-economic systems. The model will be used to (1) integrate diversity, and functions and (2) scale up from the local level to the landscape level and broader scales.

We expect the project’s main result to be an integrated strategic model that links the ecological and economic processes of the transformation system to the landscape. We anticipate that we will identify the trade-offs and synergies within and between ecological and socio-economic functions, and how these trade-offs and synergies change across transformation systems, spatial configurations and scales. The project will thus contribute to the interdisciplinary research on rainforest transformation systems, which are playing an increasing role across the tropics. It will also illuminate the complex interplay between the environment and human action in a rapidly developing region that is characterised by global cash-crop cultivation.

The purpose of our model is to provide an integrated, explanatory tool for the analysis of spatio-temporal land-use scenarios with respect to the four transition systems. We will develop a coupled multi-agent system model of land-use and land-cover change – that is, a MAS-LUCC model. More specifically, we will adopt an agent-based approach (MAS) to model the behaviour and land-use decisions of individual households within the landscape. Households interact with the landscape with the aim of maximizing their economic benefit based on the synergies and trade-offs that we have to account for.

**Research Design and Methods**

The combined agent-based and grid-based approach provides the flexibility needed to model diverse ecological and socio-economic functions. Households and landscape will be linked by assigning land to households based on their current land use. Interactions between grid cells – for example, animal movement on the ecological side and intrahousehold dynamics on the economic side – will be explicitly included. With the goal of reaching an integrated understanding of the interaction between the ecological and economic functions of landscapes, we will conduct computer experiments using realistic and artificial landscapes and households.

Preliminary Results

We developed a prototype of an integrated ecological and socio-economic land-use change model for Jambi, Sumatra, and Indonesia. To model the relevant set of socioeconomic drivers of land-use change, we built a conceptual framework of micro-level drivers. Therefor we drew on a review of socio-economic determinants of land-use change in tropical regions. In this review we systematised the results of 70 recent empirical and theoretical studies which analyse land-use change at the farm-household level. Our review suggests that household endowments play a major role in driving land-use change. This result stresses economic growth as a strong catalyst of human induced land-use change. A refined model will test this result as one specific hypothesis.
Long-Term Land Use, Poverty Dynamics and Emission Trade-Offs (part of CRC 990)

Jann Lay, Katharina Trapp

Research Questions
- What are the long-term drivers of the poverty and vulnerability of rural households in transformed forested landscapes in Sulawesi and Jambi?
- To what extent are particular income packages able to sustainably reduce the poverty and vulnerability of households?
- What impact do production decisions (forest conversion and use intensification, crop and production choices, income portfolios, and technologies) as well as consumption decisions have on households’ GHG emissions?
- How can we best understand the drivers of GHG emissions and the heterogeneity among households to identify trade-offs and win-win situations between poverty reduction and emission reductions?

Contribution to International Research
The transformation of forested landscapes, poverty reduction, and GHG emissions are closely interrelated. Understanding the drivers of land-use change is key to understanding these interrelationships. However, due to shortcomings in data availability and methodological approaches, many questions remain open. Few studies have been able to analyse the dynamics of resource use in the medium to long term as this requires panel data and associated econometric techniques that control for household-specific effects, endogeneity, and initial conditions. In addition, the link between the dynamics of land and forest use and vulnerability has not been sufficiently examined. This vulnerability is also likely to differ by region and according to the crop type and the associated technologies. Therefore, this study’s comparative perspective, which assesses these issues using data from smallholder cocoa farmers in Sulawesi and rubber and palm producers in Jambi, will be particularly useful, as will further comparisons with national cross-sectional and panel data that will assess the relevance of the findings from the study areas at a higher level of aggregation.

Research Design and Methods
To analyse the drivers of long-term poverty and vulnerability in transformed forested landscapes, this project will combine long-term panel analyses, comparative surveys from two study regions, and national data. An existing panel survey was already extended by a fourth wave of the income and expenditure panel surveys in Sulawesi beginning of 2013. Using this panel survey, we will assess these long-term drivers applying advanced panel econometric methods. In a second group of analyses, the researchers will assess the drivers of (static) income poverty using an explicitly comparative framework. This step will draw on the data from Sulawesi, the household surveys generated by subprojects of CRC 990 in Jambi, and national cross-section (SUSENAS) and panel (RAND) household surveys.

Preliminary Results
In an empirical study on land-use change at rainforest frontiers in Sulawesi Indonesia, we analysed the drivers of land-use change with a specific focus on their impact on economic development. Using a three wave household panel survey from 2001 to 2013 we applied household fixed effects to estimate first the micro level drivers of households’ land use patterns, and second the determinants of households’ income portfolios. Preliminary results suggest that land use change in the Lore Lindu region is indeed determined by price signals. Households adopting the relevant cash crops within the region are significantly better-off comparing to households cultivating staple crops. Further we found that more land is put to cash crop cultivation in regions with higher immigration.
Socio-Economic Transitions

Erich Gundlach

Project Description

Research Questions

The research hypothesis is that many socio-economic and political transitions are primarily driven by a common factor, namely a persistent growth rate of per capita income. At the same time, it is obvious that all transitions also, in turn, affect the underlying growth rate of income. The basic challenge of this research agenda is twofold. First, we need to develop a framework that can help clarify how a given long-run growth rate simultaneously affects the transitions under consideration. From a theoretical point of view, such a framework separates correlation from causality. Second, we need to empirically identify the potential two-way causalities between the long-run growth rate and the various transitions.

Contribution to International Research

The research project deals with recent hypotheses that challenge modernisation theory, such as the hypothesis of the primacy of institutions advanced by Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (see their survey article in the Handbook of Economic Growth 2005) or the supply-side theory of religiosity advanced by Iannacone and co-authors. Research results are expected to be published in internationally renowned journals such as Kyklos, European Journal of Political Economy, Public Choice, and World Bank Economic Review.

Research Design and Methods

The project addresses three topics. (1) It will consider the transition of education from a traditional low-level equilibrium to a modern high-level equilibrium, and will focus on the ambivalent role of education as a consequence and a determinant of long-run growth. (2) From a theoretical perspective, it will also consider how previously identified transition variables such as democracy and religiosity interact with each other in the process of long-run development. (3) Finally, it will consider whether the recent empirical model that has been used to claim that there is no democratic transition is likely to produce biased estimates, also when applied to other transitions. The methods the project will use include general equilibrium analysis, regression analysis, and simulation studies.

Preliminary Results

The researchers’ work on the religious transition has been accepted for publication in Public Choice and in Theoretical Economics Letters. These papers show that there is robust empirical evidence for a fall in religiosity as a result of rising levels of income. The estimated transition parameter can be related to a model of the religious transition that emphasises the substitution of scientific knowledge for religious beliefs as the engines of long-run growth.
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Regional Powers Network

> Joachim Betz, Gero Erdmann, Daniel Flemes, Henner Fürthig, Anja Jetschke, Robert Kappel, Hartmut Mayer, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys, Leslie Wehner and the HIGS doctoral students

Project Description

Research Questions

The Regional Powers Network is guided by one overarching research question: How is the rise of actors such as Brazil, China, India or South Africa influencing regional and global power shifts?

In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the "differentiation of power", from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore. To date, the RPN's research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalisation and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

Contribution to International Research

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

Research Design and Methods

The topic "regional powers" can only be meaningfully analysed using divergent explanatory approaches within international relations. The RPN research network is defined by the research topic, a shared research question, and its linkages to specific research traditions (international relations, area studies), but not by a joint theoretical approach to international relations. We assume that within such a large research network, a pluralism of theory and methodology offers the best possibilities for generating knowledge.

Preliminary Results

As a result of these conferences, the network members' awareness of demographic, economic, and political shifts in influence between established and emergent powers has increased significantly. The participating researchers have analysed emergent regional powers across policy fields and regions – particularly in Asia, but also in Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East. This interaction and collaboration shall continue through further conferences and joint projects.

Project Description

The Regional Powers Network is guided by one overarching research question: How is the rise of actors such as Brazil, China, India or South Africa influencing regional and global power shifts?

In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the “differentiation of power”, from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore. To date, the RPN’s research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalisation and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

Research Questions

The Regional Powers Network is guided by one overarching research question: How is the rise of actors such as Brazil, China, India or South Africa influencing regional and global power shifts?

In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the “differentiation of power”, from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore. To date, the RPN’s research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalisation and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

Research Design and Methods

The topic “regional powers” can only be meaningfully analysed using divergent explanatory approaches within international relations. The RPN research network is defined by the research topic, a shared research question, and its linkages to specific research traditions (international relations, area studies), but not by a joint theoretical approach to international relations. We assume that within such a large research network, a pluralism of theory and methodology offers the best possibilities for generating knowledge.

Preliminary Results

As a result of these conferences, the network members’ awareness of demographic, economic, and political shifts in influence between established and emergent powers has increased significantly. The participating researchers have analysed emergent regional powers across policy fields and regions – particularly in Asia, but also in Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East. This interaction and collaboration shall continue through further conferences and joint projects.
Regional Security Governance for Afghanistan

>> Sandra Destradi

Project Description

Research Questions

- Ahead of the withdrawal of the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) from Afghanistan, which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2014, the international community started calling for “regional solutions” to the conflict. Regional actors are expected to take over responsibility for Afghanistan as the US and international troops withdraw. However, since a number of regions with their distinctive security governance mechanisms overlap in Afghanistan, the development of regional solutions is difficult. Against this background, the project addresses the following questions:
  - What impact do the policies pursued by an external great power, the United States, have on the policies on the regional actors India, Pakistan, China and Iran?
  - Why does the overlap of different regional security governance mechanisms hamper regional cooperation?

Contribution to International Research

In the recent past, regions and regional security have generated a great deal of academic interest both in the fields of IR and peace and conflict research, but few studies have explicitly addressed the role of dominant regional states in the management of security within their regions. This study addresses this gap and takes into account the peculiarity of Afghanistan’s position at the crossroads of different regions by arguing that the problems of regional cooperation can be best explained by taking into account the overlap of those dynamics.

Research Design and Methods

The analysis focuses, in a first step, on the development of hypotheses on the impact of external shocks on mechanisms of regional security governance and on the interplay of those mechanisms. These hypotheses are tested for the South Asian region with reference to the announcement of the ISAF troop withdrawal. The analysis is based on expert interviews, the qualitative analysis of official documents and of secondary sources as well as on process tracing. In a second step, the project provides an assessment of the regional security governance mechanisms of the regions that overlap in Afghanistan (South Asia, Central Asia, East Asia and the Middle East). This part of the analysis is carried out in close cooperation with scholars from GIGA’s partner institute IDSA.

Project Partners

- Institute of Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA), New Delhi, India

Cooperations

- Institute of Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA), New Delhi, India
- Bangladesh Institute of International and Development Studies (BIIDS), Dhaka, Bangladesh
- Institute for Security Studies (ISS), Prague, Czech Republic
- German Institute for Global and Security Studies (GIGA), Hamburg, Germany

Methods

- Data Collection: Documents (for the part on South Asia: official government documents: speeches, statements, etc.; publications by major think tanks; reports from the main national newspapers), Interviews during fieldwork in New Delhi in October-November 2013, Databases (Lexis Nexis)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Teachings

- "Das Ende von Bürgerkriegen (Ending Civil Wars)", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013
- "Regionalmächte in der internationalen Politik (Regional Powers in International Politics)", University of Göttingen, Summer Semester 2012
- "Mediation in Bürgerkriegen (Mediation in Civil Wars)", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (with J. Vüllers)
- "Innestaatliche Gewaltkonflikte in Südasien (Intrastate Wars in South Asia)", IFSH, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12

Workshops/Conferences

- "Regional Dynamics and Afghanistan in 2014", international workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 26-27 May 2014
- "Regional Security for Afghanistan? The Implications of Overlapping Regions", Lecture at Jama Masjid bagh, New Delhi, India, 25 November 2013
- "Making Sense of Afghanistan’s Neighbourhood: A Research Agenda", IDSA, New Delhi, India, 13 March 2013
- "Regional Powers’ Strategies: India’s Afghanistan Policy", Chinese Foreign Affairs University (CFAU), Beijing, China, 17 March 2013
- "Regional Security Governance for Afghanistan", European University Institute (EUI), Fiesole, 31 May 2013

Publications


Funding

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation), grant to support the initiation of international collaboration: approved.
- GIGA

Duration

2013-2015
Contested Leadership in International Relations: Power Politics in South America, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa

>> Daniel Flemes, Georg Strüver, Hannes Ebert

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does regional acceptance or contestation influence regional powers’ chances of success in global affairs?
- In short, does regional support matter?
- How do regional orders shape the global strategies of regional powers?
- How do the relations between regional and external players (the US, China, Russia, the EU and Germany) impact regional power distribution?
- Which factors motivate secondary powers to accept or contest regional powers’ leadership claims?
- In short, why do followers (not) follow?

First, the interregional comparison of the relations between regional and secondary powers shall explain why potential followers tend to contest regional leadership. The proposed study will focus on both the leaders and the followers (or contesters of leadership) and will contribute to the theoretical IR debate on the sources of leadership. Second, the research project will add to the existing knowledge on the nexus of regional and global orders. It will analyse the global impact of secondary powers’ regional strategies (acceptance or contestation) using a comparative perspective. A direct or indirect impact of secondary powers’ foreign policies at the global system level would support the thesis of a multi-regional world order. This view would be compatible with predictions of a systemic transformation into a “non-polar world” (Haas 2008) or a system of “multi-multipolarity” (Friedberg 1994). Third, the interregional comparison will disclose if and how different regional environments shape regional powers’ global strategies. In addition to cultural and historical factors, economic interconnectedness and regional security settings will be the focus of the analysis.

We will compare three dyads in regional relations: India vs. Pakistan, Brazil vs. Venezuela, and South Africa vs. Nigeria. Additionally, we will analyse the bilateral relations of each of the regional and secondary powers with extraregional powers – namely, the US, China, Russia, the EU, and Germany – in order to capture the external influences on the regional relationship patterns. Conversely, we will explore the impact of bilateral relationships with external powers and regional patterns (cooperation, competition, conflict) on the global order. Those factors are assumed to be determinants of the actors’ foreign policies and, hence, of the relationships between regional and secondary powers – which are marked by different types of “contested leadership” – will be the focus of the comparison: resources, interests, strategies and perceptions of foreign policy.

The preliminary results identify the following drivers as the decisive variables in the development of secondary powers’ foreign policy strategies:

- The central explanatory factors for secondary powers’ contestation strategies in the realm of foreign policy are as follows: (a) diverging interests between the primary and secondary powers at the regional level; (b) a relatively symmetric distribution of power and resources between primary and secondary power. In contrast, indirect forms of contestation/opposition are predominantly observed in regions marked high polarity in terms of political and economic resources.

Contribution to International Research
- The preliminary results identify the following drivers as the decisive variables in the development of secondary powers’ foreign policy strategies. A secondary power’s choice of regional strategy is primarily dependent on structural drivers such as its relative position in the regional hierarchy. Direct, usually military contestation strategies correlate with a relatively symmetric distribution of power and resources between primary and secondary power. In contrast, indirect forms of contestation/opposition are predominantly observed in regions marked high polarity in terms of political and economic resources.

- In relatively peaceful regional orders (e.g. security communities), domestic actors exert more influence on the regional strategy of a secondary power than in regions characterised by violent conflicts. The central explanatory factors for secondary powers’ contestation strategies in the realm of foreign policy are as follows: (a) diverging interests between the primary and secondary powers at the regional and bilateral level, (b) the regional power’s unwillingness to provide public goods, and (c) the regional power’s non-transparent defence and arms policies.

- Project: To identify the challenges emerging from regional leadership projects in South America, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa.
- Goals: To examine the impact of the People’s Republic of China and other external powers on these dynamics and thus contribute to a better understanding of potentially conflictive regional relations and their impact on international politics.
- Theoretical Approaches: Neorealism, neoclassical realism - Linear institutionalist approaches, e.g. cooperative hegemony - Constructivism, e.g. leadership theories, discursive and consensual hegemony
- Research Design: Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study - Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries - Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Methods: Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (foreign policy documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, GIGA
- Cooperation Partners: Latin America: BRCIS Policy Center; Fundacion Getulio Vargas; Pontificia Universidade Catolica (all: Rio de Janeiro)
- Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota; Universidade de Brasilia; Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas
- Asia: South Asian Institute of International Affairs, Johannesburg; University of Johannesburg; Capetown University
- Volkswagen Stiftung, Schumpeter Fellowship (Volkswagen Foundation): approved Funding
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- “China and Latin America: Strategic Partners in a Multipolar World?”, Bogota, Colombia, 3–4 September 2012
- “Strategic Reactions of Secondary Powers in South America”, Caracas, Venezuela, 1 December 2011
- “Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders”, Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011
- Duration: 2010–2015
Contested World Orders

Kristina Hahn, Wolfgang Hein, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys

Project Description

Research Questions
- What are the implications of the increasing influence of “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organisations and their contestation of international institutions for a “new world order”? 
- How do “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organisations contest international institutions? 
- What differences and similarities do these contestations demonstrate, particularly with regard to underlying norms and concepts of legitimacy? 
- What are the repercussions of these contestations for the authority of international institutions?

While the increasing influence of transnational non-governmental organisations and the new “rising powers” has been the subject of academic research, there are very few systematic analyses which take both phenomena into account and study their interrelation. Those studies which focus on the role of states in international politics neglect the importance of non-governmental actors. On the other hand, studies on transnational non-governmental organisations do not pay attention to the new “rising powers”.

The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organisations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project will unite the expertise of the three Leibniz institutes involved, drawing upon their previous work on the authority of international organisations (WZB), the legitimacy claims of NGOs (HSFK), and the “rising powers” (GIGA). As a first step, the project will develop its basic concepts for a joint publication on the “multiple sites of resistance” against international institutions. In addition to the topic of resistance, the publication will also investigate the authority and legitimacy of these institutions. As a second step, the researchers will create a database that systematically assesses forms of authority and corresponding contestations and legitimacy claims over time and in different fields.

Preliminary Results
In the framework of the project a high-ranking edited volume will be published, under the guidance of the cooperation partners from the WZB. The contributions to that volume will focus on the preferences and strategies of NGOs and BRICS states in eight different policy fields ranging from security to issues related to health etc. Based on a (quantitative or qualitative) statement analysis, the case studies will reveal similarities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organisations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project will unite the expertise of the three Leibniz institutes involved, drawing upon their previous work on the authority of international organisations (WZB), the legitimacy claims of NGOs (HSFK), and the “rising powers” (GIGA). As a first step, the project will develop its basic concepts for a joint publication on the “multiple sites of resistance” against international institutions. In addition to the topic of resistance, the publication will also investigate the authority and legitimacy of these institutions. As a second step, the researchers will create a database that systematically assesses forms of authority and corresponding contestations and legitimacy claims over time and in different fields.

In the framework of the project a high-ranking edited volume will be published, under the guidance of the cooperation partners from the WZB. The contributions to that volume will focus on the preferences and strategies of NGOs and BRICS states in eight different policy fields ranging from security to issues related to health etc. Based on a (quantitative or qualitative) statement analysis, the case studies will reveal similarities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organisations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project will unite the expertise of the three Leibniz institutes involved, drawing upon their previous work on the authority of international organisations (WZB), the legitimacy claims of NGOs (HSFK), and the “rising powers” (GIGA). As a first step, the project will develop its basic concepts for a joint publication on the “multiple sites of resistance” against international institutions. In addition to the topic of resistance, the publication will also investigate the authority and legitimacy of these institutions. As a second step, the researchers will create a database that systematically assesses forms of authority and corresponding contestations and legitimacy claims over time and in different fields.

In the framework of the project a high-ranking edited volume will be published, under the guidance of the cooperation partners from the WZB. The contributions to that volume will focus on the preferences and strategies of NGOs and BRICS states in eight different policy fields ranging from security to issues related to health etc. Based on a (quantitative or qualitative) statement analysis, the case studies will reveal similarities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organisations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

The project will unite the expertise of the three Leibniz institutes involved, drawing upon their previous work on the authority of international organisations (WZB), the legitimacy claims of NGOs (HSFK), and the “rising powers” (GIGA). As a first step, the project will develop its basic concepts for a joint publication on the “multiple sites of resistance” against international institutions. In addition to the topic of resistance, the publication will also investigate the authority and legitimacy of these institutions. As a second step, the researchers will create a database that systematically assesses forms of authority and corresponding contestations and legitimacy claims over time and in different fields.
Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CiMiP)
– Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations? (Work Package 3: International Relations)

Project Description

The global climate regime is often seen as site for redistributive strivings between industrialised countries and the developing world. By the latter, the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions is associated with lack of growth and historical injustices. The former are, above all, concerned about their economic competitiveness. These arguments suggest a rigid zero-sum game mentality on both sides that has so far prevented the emergence of ‘feasible mitigation policies’. Since the Bali Summit, however, this long-standing rift seems to softened and deliberation about developing country mitigation has become more common – a new norm may even have emerged, and we argue that strictly rationalist explanations do not suffice to understand these shifts.

The key question of this project is thus whether – and if so how – the discourse on developing country mitigation and its relation to poverty eradication shifted. We trace the emergence of a potential norm of developing country mitigation as well as the associated erosion of the strict division between Annex I and Non-Annex I countries. While there is much descriptive work on these developments, the project approaches the subject from a ‘realist constructivist’ perspective and puts these potentially far-reaching changes into the context of an assumed redistribution of power in global governance as a whole. Keeping these important structural shifts in mind, we particularly discuss the ‘agency’ behind this potentially highly significant shift in prescribed responsibilities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges. While historically it was industrialised countries that produced the majority of greenhouse gas emissions, the accelerated growth of some emerging countries has increased their absolute and relative shares. Simultaneously, many emerging countries still face major poverty eradication challenges.

The project’s main contribution will be to identify the potential emergence of win-win solutions that allow for economic growth and development while also addressing mitigation targets. This is an important subject for policy and research in international relations, as the issue of poverty eradication and mitigation has most frequently been viewed as dividing developing and developed countries according to “historical responsibilities”, the “right to emit”, and “fair global carbon shares”. This project instead argues that we – at least – also need to take into consideration how ideas and norms about solutions to complex problems of global governance emerge in international negotiations and whose discourse becomes dominant by which means.

Various theories emerging from IR offer contradictory outlooks regarding feasible mitigation actions for developing countries as an outcome of global negotiations. We adopt an approach which is best described as ‘realist constructivism’ to analyse the emergence of the previously unthinkable notion that at least some developing countries have responsibility in climate change mitigation and that this may be constructed in a way that is ‘sustainable’, i.e. that allows for poverty eradication aims to be reached at the same time. Rather, however, than focussing on acts of argumentation and persuasion alone, we also ask who holds the power (for example, to shape the negotiation agenda and to frame key terms and understandings), what strategies are used by the powerful and the powerless across time and thus complement constructivist research with ‘realist’ elements.

We will analyse statements of state representatives at the UNFCCC meetings, but also for domestic audiences, minutes of, for instance, working group meetings and round table discussions during and in preparation of Summit meetings, as well as public relation and other campaigning materials by the most important non-state actors and complement this analysis by interviewing experts and decision-makers as a form of triangulation. This will allow us to track how specific terms, concepts and solutions take on a particular salience at given points in time and how they are spread, for instance, geographically or by actor type. We will identify actor groups with similar arguments and interests, and we will temporally sequence the arguments on developing country mitigation. Furthermore, we will look at the impact of the various ‘frames’ or ‘discourses’ on the actual outcomes of negotiations.

Research Questions

- To map and explain the development of, shifts within, and the impact of the discourse on the relationship between emerging countries’ mitigation commitments and the goal of poverty eradication in these states.
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (governmental documents, documentation of climate negotiations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, process-tracing
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, Graduate School of Public Administration and Public Policy (EGAP), Mexico; Energy Research Centre (ERC), University of Cape Town, South Africa; School of Development Economics, National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA), Bangkok, Thailand; Public Policy Studies Institute, Chiang Mai University (CMU), Thailand
- ISA 2013, Toronto, 26-29 March 2013
- Malisager, J., and M. Prys (forthcoming), Regional Agreements in International Environmental Politics, in International Environmental Agreements
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation), Compagnia di San Paolo and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Joint Research Programme “Europe and Global Challenges”)
- Project's main contribution will be to identify the potential emergence of win-win solutions that allow for economic growth and development while also addressing mitigation targets. This is an important subject for policy and research in international relations, as the issue of poverty eradication and mitigation has most frequently been viewed as dividing developing and developed countries according to “historical responsibilities”, the “right to emit”, and “fair global carbon shares”. This project instead argues that we – at least – also need to take into consideration how ideas and norms about solutions to complex problems of global governance emerge in international negotiations and whose discourse becomes dominant by which means.
- Various theories emerging from IR offer contradictory outlooks regarding feasible mitigation actions for developing countries as an outcome of global negotiations. We adopt an approach which is best described as ‘realist constructivism’ to analyse the emergence of the previously unthinkable notion that at least some developing countries have responsibility in climate change mitigation and that this may be constructed in a way that is ‘sustainable’, i.e. that allows for poverty eradication aims to be reached at the same time. Rather, however, than focussing on acts of argumentation and persuasion alone, we also ask who holds the power (for example, to shape the negotiation agenda and to frame key terms and understandings), what strategies are used by the powerful and the powerless across time and thus complement constructivist research with ‘realist’ elements.
- We will analyse statements of state representatives at the UNFCCC meetings, but also for domestic audiences, minutes of, for instance, working group meetings and round table discussions during and in preparation of Summit meetings, as well as public relation and other campaigning materials by the most important non-state actors and complement this analysis by interviewing experts and decision-makers as a form of triangulation. This will allow us to track how specific terms, concepts and solutions take on a particular salience at given points in time and how they are spread, for instance, geographically or by actor type. We will identify actor groups with similar arguments and interests, and we will temporally sequence the arguments on developing country mitigation. Furthermore, we will look at the impact of the various ‘frames’ or ‘discourses’ on the actual outcomes of negotiations.
- The project’s main contribution will be to identify the potential emergence of win-win solutions that allow for economic growth and development while also addressing mitigation targets. This is an important subject for policy and research in international relations, as the issue of poverty eradication and mitigation has most frequently been viewed as dividing developing and developed countries according to “historical responsibilities”, the “right to emit”, and “fair global carbon shares”. This project instead argues that we – at least – also need to take into consideration how ideas and norms about solutions to complex problems of global governance emerge in international negotiations and whose discourse becomes dominant by which means.
- Various theories emerging from IR offer contradictory outlooks regarding feasible mitigation actions for developing countries as an outcome of global negotiations. We adopt an approach which is best described as ‘realist constructivism’ to analyse the emergence of the previously unthinkable notion that at least some developing countries have responsibility in climate change mitigation and that this may be constructed in a way that is ‘sustainable’, i.e. that allows for poverty eradication aims to be reached at the same time. Rather, however, than focussing on acts of argumentation and persuasion alone, we also ask who holds the power (for example, to shape the negotiation agenda and to frame key terms and understandings), what strategies are used by the powerful and the powerless across time and thus complement constructivist research with ‘realist’ elements.
- We will analyse statements of state representatives at the UNFCCC meetings, but also for domestic audiences, minutes of, for instance, working group meetings and round table discussions during and in preparation of Summit meetings, as well as public relation and other campaigning materials by the most important non-state actors and complement this analysis by interviewing experts and decision-makers as a form of triangulation. This will allow us to track how specific terms, concepts and solutions take on a particular salience at given points in time and how they are spread, for instance, geographically or by actor type. We will identify actor groups with similar arguments and interests, and we will temporally sequence the arguments on developing country mitigation. Furthermore, we will look at the impact of the various ‘frames’ or ‘discourses’ on the actual outcomes of negotiations.

Miriam Prys
### Project Description

**Research Questions**

Goverance complexity implies that regulatory attempts to solve a particular policy problem transcend jurisdictional, spatial, and analytical levels. A complex network with uncertain impacts upon, for instance, policy outcomes, the effectiveness of particular institutional mechanisms and the underlying constellation of power[s] is created. In this context, the research project seeks to answer the following questions:

- Which patterns emerge in cross-scale governance complexity beyond the state in selected issue?
- Which variables can we identify that give rise to such specific patterns?
- How can the diverse policy outcomes of governance complexity be categorised and explained?

Even though all significant actors in world politics (ranging from states to a broad set of non-state actors) are entangled in multiple cooperative arrangements, there is very little empirical and theoretical knowledge on the causes and implications of (potentially) growing governance complexity.

This complexity implies that these actors, above all states, are members of overlapping, nested, simultaneous, and parallel institutions and need to "navigate" an increasingly densely populated network spanning various levels and dimensions. Uncertainty about how this affects the efficiency of institutions, empowers particular (groups of) actors, and is beneficial or detrimental overall in the attempt to resolve important challenges to humanity adds to the urgency of this research proposal.

The project makes the following key contributions. (1) Challenge the "level-neutral" assumptions of the literature on "regime" (or other forms of) complexity. For instance, in the literature on institutional interplay, any type of interplay beyond the nation-state is considered to be "horizontal" interplay. Previous research on the entanglement of regional powers in both global and regional networks shows, however, that actors and institutions are embedded in multiple structural layers (global, regional, inter-regional); that each of the these layers is potentially characterised by different norms, discourses, and practices; and that different modes of interaction among players on the particular fields prevail. (2) Contest how much of the literature has taken either a structural or – more frequently – an agent-focused rationalist approach to the study of complexity. Again, while this has taken scholarship further in terms of assessing particular mechanisms of institutional interplay, the argument here is that we need to understand governance complexity as a result of the co-constitution of structure and agency. We lack knowledge about what actually happens to actors when simultaneous governance of issues across scales occurs and about how, in turn, these actors intentionally or unintentionally impact the particular structure of complexity. (3) Close gaps in this research related to the analysis and explanation of variance across issue areas remain – for instance, in terms of global or regional institutional clustering in the network of governance arrangements. By making the comparative aspect of the project central, the project complements existing research further.

**Contribution to International Research**

Multi-method designs have only recently received more attention in political science at large; most studies on governance complexity are qualitative single-issue or parallel non-integrated case studies or large-N studies, though the latter are the minority. This project will use two complementary methodological approaches to combine different theoretical perspectives. It will look at institutional relations across issue areas and time with the help of a (mostly) quantitative network analysis and it will conduct in-depth analyses of particular cases with the help of a qualitative approach, in order to approximate the co-constitution of governance complexity through structures and agents. Three issue areas have been chosen for the first phase of descriptive mapping along the regional-global nexus: climate change adaptation and in particular water management, financial governance, and maritime security.

---

**Crossing the Lines: Complexity at the Nexus of Regional and Global Governance**

**>> Miriam Prys**

---

**Project**
- To examine the causes and implications of this governance complexity, i.e. institutions, actors, norms, and discourses to regulate particular policy challenges that cut across scales and give rise to outcomes that are hard to control and predict.
- To compare these processes across three policy fields: climate change adaptation, maritime security, and international financial regulation.

**Theoretical Approaches**
- Regime Theory
- Institutional Interplay

**Research Design and Methods**
- Comparative Design across Issue-Areas
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Unit of Analysis: Individuals (e.g. experts), Countries, Institutions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

**Data Collection**
- Questionnaires, Interviews, Participant Observation Narratives, Databases on International Partners

**Data Analysis**
- Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, Equilibrium Analysis, Network Analysis, Process Tracing

**Cooperation**
- Prof. Dr. Frederik Söderbaum, University of Gothenburg
- Dr. Jörg Balsiger, University of Geneva
- James Hollway, University of Oxford

**Publications**
- Balsiger, Jörg, and Miriam Prys (forthcoming), Regional Agreements in International Environmental Politics, in: International Environmental Agreements

**Funding**
- GIGA

**Duration**
- 2014–2015
Is Regionalism Contagious? Regional Integration and the Diffusion of Institutions and Policies

>> Anja Jetschke, Tobias Lenz

Project Description

- To develop and continuously update a database on the formal organisational structure of regional organisations across five world regions.
- To test a series of theoretically derived hypotheses on the diffusion of institutions and policies among regional organisations.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of international relations
- Causal mechanisms of diffusion (learning, social learning, mimicry, competition, soft coercion)

Research Design
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Regional
- Level of Analysis: Organisations
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Databases (Comparative Regional Organisations Project; to be established)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Network Analysis, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Tanja Börzel, Prof. Dr. Thomas Risse, “The Transformative Power of Europe” Kolleg-Forschergruppe, Free University of Berlin
- Prof. Dr. Liebset Hoogh, Free University of Amsterdam

Teachings
- “Comparative Regionalism Research in International Relations”, Summer Semester 2012, University of Göttingen (A. Jetschke)
- “Regionalorganisationen und internationale Sicherheit (Regional Organisations and International Security)”, Summer Semester 2013, University of Göttingen (A. Jetschke)

Workshops/Conferences
- “Comparative Regionalism: Conflict and Governance in International Relations”, Berlin, 8 November 2010 (A. Jetschke)
- “The Transformative Power of Europe” Kolleg-Forschergruppe, Berlin, 18 November 2010 (A. Jetschke)

Publications

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2014–2016

Research Questions
- What determines the institutional design of regional organisations?
- How can we explain similarities in institutional design among regional organisations?

Contribution to International Research
The existing research on regional integration and regional institutions has generally neglected diffusion processes among regional organisations. This has occurred for two reasons:

First, most regional integration studies have focused on explaining the differences in de facto regional integration among regional organisation schemes. Even where they have noticed the similarities in institutional design or referred to attempts by member states to replicate other organisations’ successes (in most cases the EU), they have gone on to explain different outcomes by arguing that existing formal institutions do not implement the same rules for the regulation of integration. The dependent variable in these studies has often been practices or level of integration and not formal institutional design.

A second reason is methodological. For analytic purposes, the approaches outlined above have conceptualised regional organisations as phenomena that do not influence each other and can therefore be treated independently. Studying diffusion requires us to abandon this view and to conceive of regional organisations as phenomena that are interdependent and in which the decision makers (usually governments) decide upon institutional design based on the decisions about institutional design made in other regional organisations. These decisions are frequently based on decision-makers’ perceptions of other regional organisations or these organisations’ model character. In contrast to the existing research, this research project therefore argues that as a first step, it is necessary to conceptualise regional organisations as potentially interdependent phenomena and to develop a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organisations.

This project will systematically collect data on the formal organisational characteristics of regional organisations in order to (1) determine how similar these organisations actually are, (2) test diffusion hypotheses in order to explore what drives the adoption of similar institutional designs, and (3) assess which aspects of institutional design are most susceptible to international diffusion.

Research Design and Methods
In a first step, a dataset will be developed that allows us to describe and analyse in detail the pattern of emergence and institutional development of regional organisations, as indicated by their agreements. This step requires the set-up of a simple (monadic) regional organisation dataset. In a second step, our different hypotheses will be tested. This step requires the development of a second (dyadic) dataset, comparing any two agreements of regional organisations over time. Both datasets together then establish an overall database for regional organisations.

We will combine the following quantitative methods for the analysis of regional organisations and their contexts: a quantitative content analysis of formal policy documents – international agreements, founding documents of institutions and their amendments – will be undertaken with a structured questionnaire. We will use statistical methods for the descriptive analysis of CROPDA I. The inferential analysis of our dataset CROPDA II will be done using spatial statistics, which specifically address issues of autocorrelation caused by spatially or otherwise related units (Anselin et al. 2004; Beck et al. 2006; Franzese and Hays 2008a).
The Constitutional Quality of Regional Governance. The Case of South America and UNASUR

Project Description

Research Questions

The project will explore whether deep-rooted norms and practices in South America are the baseline for processes of constitutionalisation at the regional level. It will also analyse the diverse challenges that trigger the adoption or adaptation of norms (including the re-interpretation of the meaning of old norms). Likewise, it will identify the actors (drivers) that are pushing for the institutionalisation of practices by means of regional governance structures. The project will investigate the following main questions:

- How is constitutionalisation unfolding in South America?
- To what degree is regional governance in South America constitutionalised?
- What is the constitutional quality of the norms and policies that UNASUR supports and puts into practice?

Contribution to International Research

This project contributes to the debate and theory-building on global constitutionalism by examining the regional dimension of norm-mimicking, norm-adaptation, norm-creation and norm-rejection processes. It also contributes to global constitutionalism processes by focusing on South America as a regional political space in which supranational institutions do not exist but where normative mutual understandings are still strong enough to regulate the conceptions and practices that govern the region, especially in the area of security. The project thus transcends the Eurocentric approaches that view supranational institutions as critical and as facilitators of the process of global constitutionalism.

Research Design and Methods

This project will use qualitative methods, that is, process tracing and content analysis, to detect and analyse situations of constitutionalisation and contestation. The project will identify historical periods of tectonic strain (moments of contestation) by looking at documents of various kinds from governments and regional groups. The purpose is to identify the moments of contestation (critical junctures) by conducting content analysis from where new practices and norms emerged and interacted with the previously existing ones. The project will also explore the constitutional quality of regional governance structures in South America by combining the dimensions of constitutionalisation and contestation about the content, interpretation and application of norms, both within the regional context and in differentiating the regional realm from the global realm.

Project Goals

- To analyse the evolution of South America’s regional governance architecture as a process in which a plurality of actors establish institutional arrangements, practices, and foundational normative structures in a formerly non-constitutional realm (i.e., constitutionalisation).
- To explore the constitutional quality of regional governance structures in South America by combining the analytical dimension of constitutionalisation with the analytical dimension of contestation regarding the content, interpretation and application of norms, both within the regional context and in differentiating the regional realm from the global realm.

Theoretical Approaches

- Analytical Eclectic Approach
- Social Constructivism (the influence of norms)
- Neorealism
- Global Constitutionalism
- Regime Complexity (neoliberal institutionalism)

Research Design

- Comparative Design: Intregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Regional Organisations
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis

Methods

- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (green papers from regional organisations, regional organisations' foundational declarations, summit declarations, official documents from regional organisations and member states, regional organisations' and states' press declarations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners

- Prof. Dr. Antje Wiener, University of Hamburg, and the members of the Constitutionallism Unbound research project
- Dr. Brigitte Weiffen, University of Konstanz

Workshops/Conferences

- "Foreign and Security Policies in Regional Organisations", panel at the General ECPR Conference, Bordeaux, 4–7 September 2013 (L. Wehner, B. Weiffen)
- "Institutional Overlapping in Regional Security Organisations: The Case of UNASUR and the OAS", IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 8–12 July 2012 (L. Wehner, D. Nolte, B. Weiffen)

Publications

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Funding

- GIGA

Duration

2012–2016
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## Academic Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Regional Institute</th>
<th>Research Programme</th>
<th>Regional Focus</th>
<th>Thematic Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ansorg, Nadine, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Regional dynamics of conflict and violence, causes of war</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank, André, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Jordan, Syria, Palestine/Israel, Turkey (Near East Politics)</td>
<td>Authoritarianism, statehood, processes of war and peace, regional conflicts and regional order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basedau, Matthias, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, Mali, Niger, Chad, Botswana</td>
<td>Resources conflicts, religion and violent conflict, civil-military relations, political institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betz, Joachim, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>South Asia, India, Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Official development aid, social policy, economy, political development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bondes, Maria</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Environmental politics and environmental activism, social movements and civil society, social activism, ideological change and political legitimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunselmeyer, Elisabeth</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Transitional justice, democratisation, state-building, peace and conflict research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Geoffrey Chun-fung</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Governance in China, rational choice institutionalism theory, comparative energy and environmental politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darwich, May</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Foreign policy analysis, identity politics, alliances and threat perception, authoritarianism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Juan, Alexander, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Middle East, Sudan</td>
<td>Institutions in intra-state conflicts, religion and ethnicity in intra-state conflicts, external state-building efforts in fragile states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Vries, Lotje, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>South Sudan, Central African Republic, Horn, West Africa</td>
<td>State-building, borders and borderlands, state-society relation in post-conflict settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destradi, Sandra, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>India, South Asia</td>
<td>India's foreign and security policy, International Relations in South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodiova, Maria, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America, Africa</td>
<td>Political institutions, pro-poor policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebert, Hannes</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Pakistan, India, Afghanistan</td>
<td>Foreign Policy analysis, BRICS and international order, state fragility and transformation, cyber foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elscher, Sebastian, Jr.-Prof., Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Ghana, Kenya, Cameroon, Niger, Namibia</td>
<td>Systemic comparisons of hybrid regimes in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemes, Daniel, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>South America, especially Brazil and Colombia</td>
<td>International relations, foreign and security policy, regional cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemmer, Ricardo</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 2</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Human rights, environmental rights, rights of indigenous people, prior consultations, resource conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flesken, Anaid, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 2</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Political movements of ethnicity, ethnic identification and relations, indigenous and regional mobilisations in Bolivia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fürlig, Henner, Prof., Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Egypt</td>
<td>Domestic and foreign policy, social and political transformation, democratisation, programs and structures of political Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garling, Stephanie</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Foreign aid, religion and politics, critical theory, discourse analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gephart, Malte</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Corruption and Anti-Corruption Programmes, processes of political participation, discourse theory and analysis, international cooperation for development, North-South-relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbert, Lena</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>East Africa, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda</td>
<td>Poverty and economic development, micro-level poverty traps, social protection, microfinance, microinsurance, employment and well-being, informal sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giese, Karsten, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, Taiwan</td>
<td>Migration, socio-cultural change, Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glawion, Tim</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Somalia, South Sudan, Central African Republic</td>
<td>&quot;Failed States&quot;, security and diplomacy in areas of limited statehood, alternative practices of state-building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobien, Simone</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>South-East Asia</td>
<td>Impact evaluation, experimental economics, economics of well-being, land allocation and settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grauvoget, Julia</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Ghana, Simbabwe, Burundi</td>
<td>International sanctions, persistence and change of autocratic regimes, qualitative methods, framing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grundlach, Erich, Prof., Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>International productivity differences, global transitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haasnoo, Cornelis W.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Fiscal Federalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haß, Felix</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Peacebuilding, coordination and effectiveness of UN peace operations, institution building in post-conflict societies</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hein, Wolfgang, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Agriculture and development, technology and industrial promotion, development theoires, environmental problems, health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettig, Elisabeth</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Indonesia, Sumatra</td>
<td>Modeling the socio-economic driver of land-use change at rainforest margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyl, Charlotte</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>Judicial independence in West Africa, accountability institutions in processes of democratisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffmann, Bert, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America, Cuba</td>
<td>Transnational migration and diaspora policies, EU-Latin America relations, Cuba’s transformation: crisis, continuity and change in socialist Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holbig, Heike, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Domestic policy, interest politics in China’s business sector, political legitimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoyo, Henio</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Migration, ideological framing, political rights to migrants and double nationals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jetzkke, Anja, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Comparative regionalism, transitional justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josua, Maria</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Algeria, Jordan</td>
<td>Authoritarian rule, authoritarian learning, legitimation of authoritarian regimes, repression of protest movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappel, Robert, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa, South Africa, Nigeria</td>
<td>Economic development in Africa, development of companies, value chains, industry, BRICS, global shift of powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Köllmer, Patrick, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Japan, North and South Korea</td>
<td>Formal and informal institutions, political parties and organisations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Regional Institute</th>
<th>Research Programme</th>
<th>Regional Focus</th>
<th>Thematic Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koos, Carlo</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Political economy of resource conflicts, local resource governance, ethnic conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunterbach, Sabine, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Central America, Colombia</td>
<td>Postwar societies, violence and social change, youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay, Jann, Jun.-Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Economy, development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenz, Tobias, Jun.-Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>International and regional governmental organisations in comparative perspective, EU in global regionalism, diffusion theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang, Kely Si Miao</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Research Project “West African traders as translators between Chinese and African modernities”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linde, Sarah</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Sri Lanka, Uganda</td>
<td>Relationship between employment and well-being, empowerment and Sen’s capability approach, informal employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llanos, Mariana, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America, Argentina</td>
<td>Political institutions, presidentialism, Latin American congresses, congressional oversight, bicameralism, upper chambers, political recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorch, Jasmin</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Burma/Myanmar, Bangladesh, Philippinen</td>
<td>Civil society in autocracies, political change, state failure and ethnic conflicts in Southeast Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas, Viola</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Resilience of authoritarian rule, economic development theory, modernisation theory and transformation theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marfaing, Laurence, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>Migration, China-African relations, small business owner, informal practices and norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattes, Hanspeter, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Libya, Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, the Maghreb, the Sahel</td>
<td>Political systems and their transformation, aspects of stability, foreign policy, soft security problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mau, Karsten</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>PR China</td>
<td>Trade, economic growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehler, Andreas, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 2</td>
<td>Frankophone Central and West Africa</td>
<td>Power-sharing after peace agreements, violent conflicts and conflict prevention, state and statehood, democratisation processes, German and French Africa policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery, Max</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>State-building and statehood, long-term consequences of colonialism, development policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narlikar, Amrita, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA President</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>India, Brazil</td>
<td>Rising powers, BRICS, international trade, international negotiation, World Trade Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedza, Justyna</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Takfir in militant Salafism, transnational Salafism, history of ideas, Islamic law and theology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neff, Daniel, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>South Asia, India</td>
<td>Economy and society, socio-economic development, poverty, well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noeselt, Nele, Dr. Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Chinese foreign policy and China's role in world affairs, EU-China relations, Chinese IR theory, governance in China, transformation/ transition of socialist systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolte, Detlef, Prof. Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1 RP 2</td>
<td>Latin America, especially Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Paraguay</td>
<td>Forms of government, developmental cooperation, EU-USA-LA relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolte, Keratin</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Extent, processes and effects of large-scale agricultural investments, land governance, institutional change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostermeier, Martin</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Employment-related Millennium Development Goals and the potential post-2015 development agenda, large-scale agricultural investments and Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottmann, Martin, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>One-sided violence in African civil wars, rebel organisations, power-sharing in post-conflict countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palop, Pau Garcia</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Research project “Politis beyond borders. The new dynamics of emigrant politics and policies in Latin America”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawelz, Janina</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Indonesia, Timor-Leste</td>
<td>Youth, violence, violent groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedroza, Luciy</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>Immigration policy and integration of migrants into the polity across Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peetz, Peter, Dr.</td>
<td>GIGA/ ILAS</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Peru, Honduras, Central America</td>
<td>Insecurity, violence and discourses of violence; political, economic and social development; democracy issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellicer, Miquel, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Causes of poverty and inequality in developing countries, economics of education, political economy, political clientelism, Islamist parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfeiffer, Birte, Dr. (née Pohl)</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Productivity effects of foreign direct investment, renewable energy in developing countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagemann, Johannes, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>India, Mozambique</td>
<td>Emerging democracies, sovereignty, India’s foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediger, Sebastian</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Cooperation and social preferences, management of common-pool resources, informal sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pris, Miriam, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>India, BRICS</td>
<td>Regional and emerging powers, global and regional environmental politics, global climate regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranko, Annette (née Büchs)</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Egypt, Yemen</td>
<td>Political Islam, the Muslim Brotherhood, transnational Salafism, regional policy and regional order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renner, Sebastian</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Brazil, China, India, South Africa</td>
<td>Environmental and development economics, poverty reduction and low carbon economic development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richter, Thomas, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Small Gulf states, Egypt, Jordan</td>
<td>Rentier state theory, stability and change in authoritarian regimes, foreign trade reforms in developing countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Röhi, Nikola</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Transnational Salafism, Islamism in Kuwait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosiny, Stephan, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Bahrain, Lebanon, Palestine, Syria, Iraq</td>
<td>Political Islam and Islamic movements, Sunni-Shia relations, contemporary Shia Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilling-Vacatier, Amin, Dr.</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru</td>
<td>Extractive industry, indigenous peoples, community participation in resource governance, socio-environmental conflicts, constitutional change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmunk, Michael, Ambassador</td>
<td>GIGA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Conflict regions, failed states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schucher, Günter, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Social development, employment, social security, health, education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Regional Institute</th>
<th>Research Programme</th>
<th>Regional Focus</th>
<th>Thematic Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schüll, Margot, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>PR China, SAR Hong Kong</td>
<td>Economical development, financial sector, industrial policy, EU and Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soest, Christian von, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Southern Africa, South Africa, Zambia, Botswana</td>
<td>Comparative research on international sanctions, authoritarian regimes, foreign policy and development policy, neopatrimonialism, state and public administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strasheim, Julia</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Interim governments in post-conflict societies, democratisation, conflict resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh, Alexander, Dr.</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Francophone West Africa, mainly Benin, Burkina Faso and Togo, Rwanda</td>
<td>Political parties and party systems, political institutions in processes of democratisation, comparative research on democracy, elections in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strüver, Georg</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 4</td>
<td>China, Latin America</td>
<td>Legitimation and stability of authoritarian regimes, foreign policy of authoritarian states, Chinese foreign and security policy, natural resources and religion in intra-state conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiel, Alena</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>China-Africa relations, socio-economic practices and change, market trader activism, citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomann, Vita</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Afrika</td>
<td>Resource management strategies and their impact on collective violence, politics of lootable resource extraction, peacebuilding and democratisation in resource-abundant contexts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Regional Institute</td>
<td>Research Programme</td>
<td>Regional Focus</td>
<td>Thematic Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibi Weber, Cordula</td>
<td>ILAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Chile, Paraguay</td>
<td>Judicial independence in Latin America with a special focus on Chile and Paraguay, the role of courts in the political system, development of democracy in Paraguay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapp, Katharina</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Research project “Collaborative Research Centre 990: Ecological and Socioeconomic Functions of Tropical Lowland Rainforest Transformation Systems (Sumatra, Indonesia)”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uffen, Andreas, PD Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1, RP 2</td>
<td>Indonesia, East Timor, Malaysia, Philippines, ASEAN</td>
<td>Democratisation, Islamisation, political parties, weak states and political violence, regional integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warkotsch, Jana</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Egypt, Tunisia</td>
<td>Political economy of mass protests in the Arab Spring, relationship between EU assistance and governance in Egypt, Tunisia, Ghana and Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegner, Eva, Dr.</td>
<td>IMES</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Political parties in developing countries, Islamist parties, demand for redistribution, accountability in dominant party systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wieczorek, Iris, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 3</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Innovation processes and networks in Japanese economy, science and society in international comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wischermann, Jörg, Dr.</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>Civil society action in Southeast Asia, comparison of authoritarian regimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witt, Lukas</td>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>RP 1</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Governance in the People’s Republic of China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanker, Franziska</td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>RP 2</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Research project “Local Arenas of Power-Sharing”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Doctoral Programme (Fellows)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Doctoral Thesis</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bunselmeyer, Elisabeth</td>
<td>The Elite and the Local. Transitional Justice and Democratisation in Post-Conflict Societies (working title)</td>
<td>GIGA Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS) and Thorsten Bonacker (University of Marburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burilkov, Alexandr</td>
<td>High Seas Challenge: Maritime Strategy in China, India and Iran</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewert, Insa</td>
<td>The China Watchers: Perspectives of Policy Makers, Knowledge Generation and Policy Formulation within the European Institutions</td>
<td>PRIMO-Network</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>García, Daniela</td>
<td>Solar Energy and the Problem of Path Dependency in Costa Rica’s Energy System</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garzón, Jorge</td>
<td>Hierarchical Regional Orders: Theory, Politics and Strategies toward the Construction of Benign Regional Unipolarity</td>
<td>Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerrero Valencia, Carolina</td>
<td>Political Power and Gender: A comparative analysis of First Ladies in Latin America between 1990 and 2012</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffmann, Anne</td>
<td>Institutionelle Bedingungen strategischen Handelns südamerikanischer Regierungszentralen im Verbundsystem der UNASUR (working title)</td>
<td>Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirchschlager, Markus</td>
<td>Third Party Intervention in International Conflicts. A Theoretical Model of International Mediation and its Practical Implication</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Anja Jetschke (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Köß, Maren</td>
<td>Islamist Organisations and normative Change: Analyzing Hezbollah’s and Hamas’ Identity and Behavior</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medha</td>
<td>The Self and the Other: Islam in India’s Identity and Foreign Policy</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Doctoral Thesis</td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mijares, Victor</td>
<td>Multipolar Challenges to Regional Security Institutions, The case of the South American Defense Council</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negrete Garcia, Ana</td>
<td>Micro and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Jun-Prof. Dr. Jann Lay (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostermeier, Martin</td>
<td>Employment, Decent Work and Human Development: The application of selected decent work indicators on emerging and developing economies, their compatibility with social policies and potential welfare effects with a particular focus on the youth (working title)</td>
<td>GIGA Research Fellow</td>
<td>Jun.-Prof. Dr. Jann Lay (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawelz, Janina</td>
<td>Young, violent, organised: Vanguard of today’s politics? Political activity of non-state armed groups. A comparative study (working title)</td>
<td>GIGA Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Kai-Uwe Schnapp (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters, Mascha</td>
<td>Media and Politics in South Korea. Assessing the Impact of Media in the Context of Political and Societal Transformation Processes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Patrick Künler (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosales, Maria Isabel</td>
<td>Emigration Policies in Central America</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schotte, Simone</td>
<td>The rise of the new middle classes in emerging and developing countries: Patterns, causes, and consequences</td>
<td>Evangelisches Studienwerk Viadr</td>
<td>Jun-Prof. Dr. Jann Lay (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotz Landivar, Ana</td>
<td>The bilateral strategic partnerships of Argentina, Brazil and Venezuela with China (working title)</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomann, Vita</td>
<td>Managing Lootable Natural Resources: What Makes Successful Strategies of Reconstruction after Armed Conflict? (working title)</td>
<td>GIGA Research Fellow</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Matthias Basedau (IAA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velosa, Eduardo</td>
<td>China’s influence on regional roles: the cases of Thailand and Colombia (working title)</td>
<td>DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wojciechowski, Thorsten</td>
<td>India in the World Order: Conceptions of ‘Order’ in the Foreign Policy Discourse</td>
<td>Heinrich Böll Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concluded Doctoral Studies 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Doctoral Thesis</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrera, Anna</td>
<td>Promoting Change in Legally Plural Settings: Domestic Violence and Indigenous Women’s Quest for Justice in the Andes</td>
<td>Cusanuswerk</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothmann, Astrid</td>
<td>Drawing a Line Under the Past. Transitional Justice in Nicaragua 1990-2012</td>
<td>Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frausorffer, Markus</td>
<td>Global Solutions from the Tropics. Brazil’s Increasing Influence in Global Sectoral Governance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess, Natalie</td>
<td>The Strategic Partnerships between the European Union and Regional Powers (Brazil, India, South Africa)</td>
<td>Friedrich-Naumann-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korte, Nina</td>
<td>The Political Economy of Public Administration Reforms in Southeast Asia: A Comparative Analysis of the Tax Administration in Indonesia and the Philippines</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>PD Dr. Andreas Uten (IAS), Prof. Dr. Joachim Betz (IAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seemann, Miriam</td>
<td>Water Security and the Politics of Water Rights Formalization in Peru and Bolivia. The Struggle for Water Justice in Andean Water User Communities</td>
<td>Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolle, Christina</td>
<td>Brazil in Africa – Extraregional Engagement as Stepping Stone to Great Power Status?</td>
<td>Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wodrig, Stefanie</td>
<td>Discourses of Intervention: The Crises in Burundi and Zimbabwe as Arenas of Regional Politics</td>
<td>GIGA</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobitz (University of Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Members of the Academic Advisory Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution and Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Michael Zürn (Chairman)</td>
<td>WZB Berlin Social Science Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Anna Leander, PhD</td>
<td>Copenhagen Business School, Department for Management, Politics and Philosophy, Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Ummu Salma Bava</td>
<td>Jawaharlal Nehru University, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Sebastian Helmann</td>
<td>Mercator Institute for China Studies (MERICS), Berlin and University of Trier, Political Science Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Béatrice Hibou</td>
<td>Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS) / CERI-Sciences Po, Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Kathryn Hochstetler</td>
<td>University of Waterloo, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Andrew Hurrell, PhD</td>
<td>University of Oxford, Balliol College, Department of Politics and International Relations (DPIR), Great Britain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Jane Wanjiku Kabubo-Mariara</td>
<td>University of Nairobi, Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Louis W. Pauly</td>
<td>University of Toronto, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Angelika Retffberg</td>
<td>Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Diana Tussie</td>
<td>Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Buenos Aires, Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Antje Wiener, PhD</td>
<td>University of Hamburg, Institute for Political Science, Centre for Globalisation and Governance (CGG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cooperation Partners in Research Projects

1. Universities

- Aarhus University
- Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel
- Bogor Agricultural University
- Chiang Mai University, Thailand
- De La Salle University, Manila
- Delhi School of Economics
- Duke University
- East China Normal University, Shanghai
- European University Institute, Florence
- Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Quito, Santiago de Chile und San Jose
- Free University of Amsterdam
- Free University Berlin / Collaborative Research Center 700
- Graduate School of Public Administration and Public Policy (EGAP)
- Harvard University
- Hertie School of Governance
- International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Jacobs University Bremen
- Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka
- Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi
- Jinan University Guangzhou
- Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
- Leibniz University Hannover
- Murdoch University Australia
- Payap University, Chiang Mai
- Pontificia Universidad Católica, Rio de Janeiro
- Renmin University, Beijing
- School of Oriental and African Studies (London)
- Singapore Management University
- Tadulaku University
- Temple University, Philadelphia
- The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- UMB Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile
- Universidad Torcuato di Tella, Buenos Aires
- Universidade de Brasília
- Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil
- Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo
- Universidade Nacional Timor-Lorosae
- Universität Duisburg-Essen
- Universität Frankfurt
- Universität Göttingen
- Universität Greifswald
- Universität Hamburg
- Universität Heidelberg
- Universität Kiel
- Universität Konstanz
- Universität Marburg
- Universität Passau
- Universität Trier
- Universität Tübingen
- Universität Wien
- Universität Würzburg
- Universität Zürich
- Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar
- Université d’Alger
- Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Benin
- Université d’Antananarivo, Madagascar
- Université d’Oran
- University College London, UK
- University of Bergen, Norway
- University of Birmingham
- University of Bristol
- University of British Columbia
- University of California at San Diego
- University of Cape Town
- University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka
- University of Denver
- University of Ghana
- University of Gothenburg, Sweden
- University of Johannesburg, Institute for International Affairs
- University of Liège
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- University of Otago, New Zealand
- University of Oxford
- University of Rotterdam
- University of Southern Denmark in Odense
- University of Stellenbosch, Südafrika
- University of Stockholm
- University of Texas at Austin
- Uppsala Universitatsl
- Wake Forest University, North Carolina, USA
2. Research Institutes

- Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Al-Sharq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ)
- Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda
- Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern
- Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam
- Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS), Kathmandu
- Center for Rising Powers, Cambridge
- Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), Jakarta
- Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Paris
- Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (unit in India)
- Centro de Estudios Judiciales del Paraguay, Asunción
- China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (GIZ), Germany
- East Asian Institute, National University of Singapore
- European University Institute, Italy
- Expertise pour le Développement du Sahel (EDS), Burkina Faso
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
- International Development Institute, King’s College
- Institut für Friedensforschung und Sicherheitspolitik (FSH), Hamburg
- Institut für Weltwirtschaft (IfW), Kiel
- Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka
- International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands
- International Land Coalition (ILC), Rome
- InterPeace Guatemala
- Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW)
- Land Matrix Partnership
- Monterey Institute of Technology and Higher Education
- National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies (GRIPS), German Institute for Japanese Studies
- National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
- Peace Research Institute Frankfurt (HSFK)
- Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), Norway
- School of Development Economics, National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA), Bangkok
- Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- Southern Institute for Sustainable Development/Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences (SSD), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- The Graduate Institute Geneva, Centre of Conflict, Development and Peace-Building, Genf
- Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (WZB)

Associations

- Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS)
- African Studies Association (ASA)
- American Political Science Association (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutsche Lateinamerika-Forschung (ADLAF)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Pazifische Studien Aachen (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Kriegsursachenforschung (AKUF)
- Arbeitskreis sozialwissenschaftliche Chinasforschung (ASC)
- Arbeitskreis Politische Geographie
- Asia Europe Foundation (ASEF)
- Asociación Española de Ciencia Política y de la Administración (AECPA)
- Asociación Latinoamericana de Ciencia Política (ALACIP)
- Association for Asian Studies in Europe (AKSE)
- Association of Asian Studies
- British Association for Korean Studies (BAKS)
- British Association for Japan Studies
- British International Studies Association
- Chinesisch-Deutsche Gesellschaft
- Consejo Europeo de Investigaciones Sociales de América Latina / Europäischer Rat der sozialwissenschaftlichen Lateinamerika-Forschung (CEISAL)
- Deutsche Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vorderer Orient für gegenwartsbezogene Forschung und Dokumentation (DAVO)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Asienkunde (DGA)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Soziologie (DGS)
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Chinastudien
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Politische Wissenschaft (GVPW)
- Deutsch-Koreanisches Forum
- East Asian Economic Association
- ELI-LAC Foundation
- Euro-Mediterranean Study Commission (EuroMeSCo)
- Europäische Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Lateinamerika-Information und -Dokumentation (REDAL)
- European Alliance for Asian Studies (Asia Alliance)
- European Association for Chinese Studies (EACS)
- European Association for Japanese Studies (EAJS)
- European Association for Southeast Asian Studies (EASAS)
- European Association of Development Research and Training Institutions (EADI)
- European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR)
- European Economic Association (EEA)
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- Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies (ACCSS), Cairo, Egypt
- Al Sharaq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies (SCRISS), Beirut, Lebanon
- Centre for International Conflict Analysis and Management (CICAM), Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBR), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE), Mexico City, Mexico
- China Foreign Affairs University (CFAU), Beijing, China
- City University of Hong Kong (CityU), Hong Kong, China
- Dag Hammarskjold Foundation, Uppsala, Sweden
- Department of Comparative Politics, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO Ecuador), Quito, Ecuador
- Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Fundación Global Democracia y Desarrollo (FUNCLODE), Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic
- Fundación para las Relaciones Internacionales y el Diálogo Exterior (FRIDE), Madrid, Spain
- Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD), Accra, Ghana
- Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA), New Delhi, India
- Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies (IAPS), Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing, China
- Institute of International Relations (IIR), National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan
- Instituto de Ciências Sociais da Universidade de Lisboa (ICS-UL), Lisbon, Portugal
- Instituto de Estudios Internacionales (IEI), Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- L’Institut Royal des Études Stratégiques (IRES), Rabat, Morocco
- L’Observatoire Tunisien de la Transition Démocratique (OTTD), Tunis, Tunisia
- Laboratoire d’Études et de Recherches sur les Dynamiques Sociales et le Développement Local (LASDEL), Niamey, Niger
- Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (PUC-Chile), Santiago, Chile
- Pontificia Universidad Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-RJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Javeriana), Bogotá, Colombia
- Renmin University of China (RUC), Beijing, China
- School of International Relations and Public Affairs (SIRPA), Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- School of Political Science and International Relations (SPSIR), Tongji University (TU), Shanghai, China
- Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (UAEM), Toluca, Mexico
- Universidad de la República (UdelaR), Montevideo, Uruguay
- Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

- European Sociological Association
- German Economists Association
- Inter-American Dialogue
- Interdisziplinärer Arbeitskreis für Entwicklungsländerforschung (IAE)
- International Institute of Sociology
- International Network of Economic Research (INFER)
- International Society for Cultural History
- Latin American and Caribbean Economic Association
- Latin American Studies Association (LASA)
- Latin American Studies Association / Europe and Latin America Section (ELAS)
- Letzigrundstiftung (LGL)
- Middle Eastern Economic Association (MEEA)
- Middle East Studies Association (MESA)
- Midwest Political Science Association (MPSA)
- Ostasiatischer Verein e.V. (OAV)
- Paufler-Netzwerk e.V.
- Philippine Political Science Association (PPSA)
- Political Geography Research Group
- Poverty Reduction, Equity and Growth Network (PEGNet)
- Prasad Adhikari Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS)
- Red de Seguridad y Defensa de América Latina
- Red Euro-Latinoamericana de Gobernabilidad para el Desarrollo (RedGov)
- Regional Powers Network (PPN)
- Religion and Conflict Network
- Social Science History Association (SSHA)
- Société des Africanistes
- Society of International Economic Law
- The Academy of Political Science (APSA)
- Verein für Sozialpolitik
- Vereinigung für Afrikawissenschaften in Deutschland (VAD)
- Vereinigung für sozialwissenschaftliche Japanforschung (VSJ)
- Wissenschaftliche Vereinigung für Entwicklungstheorie und Entwicklungspolitik

GIGA
German Institute of Global and Area Studies
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GIGA
German Institute of Global and Area Studies
Universidad de Salamanca (USAL), Salamanca, Spain
Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia
Universidad del Salvador (USAL), Buenos Aires, Argentina
Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile
Universidad Santiago de Cali (USC), Cali, Colombia
Universidad Torcuato Di Tella (UTDT), Buenos Aires, Argentina
Universidade de Brasília (FUB), Brasília, Brazil
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, Brazil
Université Gaston Berger (UGB), Saint Louis, Senegal
University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, South Africa
University of Virginia, Charlottesville, US
The GIGA is one of the leading European research institutes for area studies and comparative area studies. It is a publicly funded institute and a foundation under the civil law of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. As a member of the Leibniz Association, the GIGA is committed to academic excellence and research-based knowledge transfer.

The GIGA stands for:

- Excellent research on political, economic and social developments in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East, combined with
- Innovative comparative approaches along cross-cutting themes
- Active knowledge transfer to decision-makers in the political, economic and societal spheres and to the general public
- Extensive provision of information through the GIGA information centre, the largest non-university information centre for area studies and comparative area studies in Germany

The GIGA is a member of the

President: Prof. Dr. Amrita Narlikar
Chairman of the Board of Trustees: State Councillor Dr. Horst-Michael Pelikahn
Chairman of the Academic Advisory Board: Prof. Dr. Michael Zürn